

INDEX: "Russell – Zersetzen"

October 8, 2013 3:47 PM

A: Zersetzen – An Overview **Page 3**

A1: My Zersetzen in Summary

A2: a Guise to hide Torture

Zersetzen Threats:

B: Zersetzen Starts in Vancouver **Page 10**

B1: Stalking/ Surveillance

B2: Computer Interference

C: Zersetzen in London & Yarm, UK **Page 17**

C1: Motorbike Death Threat et al

C2: Watching, Stalking & Spying

C3: Phone Tapping - Computer Hacking

D: 2004 Zersetzen in Manchester **Page 25**

D1: Laser Incidents

D2: Death Threats

D3: Rat-Gag Threats

D4: Complaint to Grosvenor

E: 2005 | 2006 Manchester (Cont.) **Page 35**

E1: Blue Screen Threats

E2: The Guardian

E3: Complain & Threats Follow

F: 2006 | 2008 Zersetzen in Calgary **Page 43**

F1: Amy threatened again

F2: Apartment Harassment & Much More

F3: Lies, Threats & Cover-up

F4: CHRC | CSIS

F5: McMaster Univ. Confirmation

G: 2009 | 2010 Calgary (Cont.) **Page 58**

G1: Computer Intrusion

G2: Suicide Smear

- H1: Skidding Truck
- H2: Mercedes Incidents May 2012
- H3: Zurich Incidents Aug 2012
- H4: A Subtle "Accident" Threat

My Communications:

- J1: Telephone | Telus
- J2: Email | Computer

Cover-up:

- K1: CSIS - MI5 / MI6

- L1: Police / Home Office
- L2: Minister Hazel Blears
- L3: Complain & Threats Follow
- L4: IPCC / Denial of Justice
- L5: The Crooked English Judge

- M2: Prime Minister Harper
- M3: Minister Van Loan
- M4: CHRC | CSIS Complaint
- M5: SIRC | CSIS Complaint

A: Zersetzen - An Overview

September 26, 2013 5:51 PM

What is Zersetzen

Zersetzen is about lies, intimidation, harassment, death threats, surveillance and telephone tapping - and it never stops. Zersetzen (or *Zersetzung*) means "**Oppression by systematic decomposition**" and its purpose is to destroy individuals and break up families. Zersetzen is always a crime of the intelligence agencies - as a result all normal support structures and avenues of grievance are removed.

Zersetzen is not the bloodier old fashioned medieval form of torture that leaves marks, but a more modern "**Orwellian**" 1984 equivalent that was developed by the former East German secret police "The STASI" to cause "**severe and prolonged suffering**" without leaving marks. For this reason, Zersetzen is sometimes called no-touch torture.

It also goes by other names in the USA, UK and Canada - Cointelpro, D & D (Disrupt & Discredit), Vigorous Harassment, Zersetzung, etc. But whatever Zersetzen is called, it poisons every aspect of a person's life.

In typical Stasi-style the formula used is -- *First to slander & character assassinate -- Then to threaten, intimidate & harass -- Then to cover-up by ensuring no investigation takes place -- Then to say you are nuts when you complain about the cover-up.*

And then there is OMERTA – a shutdown of help. A **cover-up conspiracy** to pervert the course of justice, by ensuring there is no investigation. Once the secret intelligence agencies are involved, people are scared to help - police, politicians, and human rights agencies - the justice system just seizes up. A complete "failure to protect" by the Authorities. The Authorities who should be protecting, instead are persecuting and that is a **serious human rights abuse**.

Zersetzen is:

A process of character assassination and threats. Its purpose is to poison every aspect of a person's life. Canada's Spy Agency CSIS (Canadian Security Intelligence Service) and the UK's Spy Agencies MI5 and MI6 are known to practice Zersetzen against innocent citizens.

Also known as "Cointelpro" or "Vigorous Harassment", CSIS calls "Zersetzen - "D & D": the 1975 Church Committee Report of the US Senate defined "D & D" as an acronym for "**Disrupt and Discredit**" -

- "**Disrupt**" the targeted victim and his family with a never ending program of intimidation, harassment and threats.

Former UK Police Chief John Alderson warned - "They [MI5]... infiltrate organizations, people's jobs and lives. They operate almost like a cancer. At the moment the acorn of a Stasi has been planted."

- "**Discredit**" him with professionally spread slanders and lies designed to render him unemployable, and impoverished, and to ostracize him from his friends and community.

Writing, copyrighted in 2,000, former CSIS Operative W.J. Baldrewit - "They try to isolate you. When you get into trouble with these guys [CSIS] you are all alone."

A Failure to Protect by Authorities in UK & Canada:

As outlined on Sections K to M (Cover-up) of this Report, there is a clear failure to protect by the authorities to whom well-witnessed complaints were made on many occasions but not acted on.

Indeed it's worse that simply "a failure to protect" since as the evidence shows, we also have been further threatened, harassed, and intimidated just to stop us from asking for justice.

This is not just a "denial of justice" by two States, or a "failure to investigate". It goes further than that. We have been persecuted by the very State authorities that should be helping us. Instead of protecting, they are persecuting.

Over 90 of the items outlined in this Report have independent sources of corroboration for verification purposes.

How to Read This Report:

1. The Report is split into sections that represent the main places where Zersetzen has occurred and lists them on a chronological basis - [Vancouver](#), [London & Yarm](#), [Manchester](#) & [Calgary](#).

In its last section, this Report outlines the degree of the **Cover-up** (denial of Justice) as well as further evidence.

2. A secondary division within each of the sections are sub-sections, or sub-pages, where longer items can be detailed. They will be summarized on the main page, but also described on a subpage where detail is warranted. They are all reference: A1 through M5.
3. This Report is my testimony, though only about 10% of the Zersetzen threats are described here.

Items that have an independent source of corroboration for verification purposes are indicated by a Color tag:



4. *To reiterate: Over 90 of the individual Zersetzen items in this Report, are verifiable and backed up by 3rd party and other sources of corroboration.*
5. Additionally, there is further 3rd party, often documentary evidence, that proves the existence of a cover-up conspiracy to deny justice. There has been a wholesale "failure to investigate".

Zersetzen starts with character assassination:

As the paper describes, these Zersetzen attacks start with character assassination and slander – It's about manipulating people or groups of people by typical STASI methods (hearsay, gossip, lies, spreading rumours about someone ... the list goes on).

Years of Intimidation, harassment, surveillance, telephone tapping, and death threats follow on. A classic STASI technique - with both oneself and one's children threatened. The different types of threats that we have experienced are described in the Paper.

The formula used is - First to slander, then to threaten, then to cover-up by ensuring no honest investigation takes place, then to say you're nuts when you complain about the cover-up.

A1: My Zersetzen in Summary

September 27, 2013 9:04 AM

This page is a very brief narrative overview of the events described in detail in sections B to M of the report.

It started for us with a Worldwide Slander Job

In my family's case it all started with a professionally executed defamation / blacklisting job in Vancouver, Canada after I decided to resign as Group Controller of Grosvenor International in 1986. Grosvenor denies any involvement either in the slandering, or subsequent other Zersetzen attacks that followed.

Over the years since leaving Grosvenor, I would never again gain permanent employment in Canada despite having applied, literally, for thousands of jobs. Some other Grosvenor leavers also found that their reputation was tarnished after leaving, and I was told by a headhunter that "I have a big problem with Grosvenor" though Grosvenor denies this.

The various differing defamation smears are separated over several years. Put all the smears together as if they had all happened at the same time, then rumours have been spread that wrongly paint me as -- a grossly incompetent employee, who has had some relationship with the drug trade, is a deranged suicidal maniac and a sexual deviant to boot. As others have found, Zersetzen smears have two things in common - they are 100% lies, and very nasty.

Since leaving Grosvenor back in 1986, despite literally thousands of applications, I never got a permanent job in Canada again. I had done an excellent job while at Grosvenor, and had had a first class reputation before joining them. When I left, I had no idea what was going on or why it was happening, since I have never done anything wrong. Friends and head-hunters who once eagerly sought after me for senior positions, now avoided me. I applied for thousands of jobs to no avail.

I had become unemployable. Gradually I became ostracized from all my friends and from the community. What effect did this have on my family? Well we were bringing up three children and began to run out of our savings. With our savings depleted and little money we were forced to sell our house in West Vancouver and rent, sell both our cars, and still the street beckoned us. We continued to survive because some relatives stepped in to help. I had gone from being a sought after senior executive to being close to living on the street.

This is Zersetzen Torture, developed by the former East German secret police to persecute dissidents and used by CSIS in Canada, and MI5/MI6 in the UK – but I didn't know that then.

Then Surveillance, Phone Tapping, Intimidation & Harassment

Then the "Zersetzen" tactics changed. We began to get hundreds of telephone calls with no one on the other end of the line. Yet people who genuinely phoned me could not get through, but were answered by shrieking fax tones. Stalkers began to follow us around quite openly. We were clearly under surveillance. People in cars staked out the house, and sometimes waited right in our driveway. Street Theatre acts were put on for us (a known intimidation tactic). Our Telephone was clearly being tapped and mail interfered with. My computer was going haywire with threats appearing on its screen. We feared for

our lives; yet not one word was spoken to us – this is the Zersetzen “no-touch” torture technique, though I didn’t know it at the time. One of the advantages of this technique to CSIS is that it is designed to mirror the complaints a paranoid would make, except that I have plenty of witnesses to corroborate.

Death Threats, Shots Fired, Children Threatened

Thinking it was just West Vancouver and Canada; we fled to the UK in 2001. The threats on my family got worse. The old implied threats continued, but now my children and I were getting death threat calls (some recorded) and threats from people in the street. Our apartment was smashed into, shots were fired at my eldest son (in front of an independent witness) and at myself. Now outside witnesses were also threatened. One woman was sexually harassed and threatened twice in 24 hours. Threats of violence or death were implied heavily against my children. Cars and motorbikes were run at me at high speed and right up onto the sidewalk. We were even threatened with helicopters (hovering close beside the bedroom window in the middle of the night).

We reported the crimes numerous times and each time it was covered up. Often the police start being honest; then they get the message – cover-it-up. Police cars fired firecrackers under my bedroom window in the middle of the night and set their sirens off, hoods pointed guns at me in the street

In 2006 we returned to Calgary and the intimidation, harassment and threats continue - as does the cover-up

Brought to the Attention of Authorities - The Cover-up in brief

The Canadian Cover-up

A failure to protect by the authorities has been described by others as a sign of corruption. It is certainly intimidation, since the authorities are turning a blind eye to serious crimes and making it obvious.

The fact is that normal police processes cannot function effectively where secret security / intelligence agencies are involved. Normal policing (or indeed our Courts) cannot deal with organizations like CSIS that are essentially above the law. It is a great mistake to pretend that what is a political issue, a systemic failure of justice after all, can be dealt with adequately in the legal system.

Just to recap - My complaints were formally brought to the attention of the Canadian Authorities on several occasions:

Police:

Initially brought to Calgary police attention back in 2006 by my daughter, the complaint resurfaced with the police in 12/9/09 concerning the obliteration of my main email account (and the criminal request for money to my address list), and I formally complained again in May 2010 respecting the "Suicide Smear". Police have in their possession Statements, Affidavits, Interview tapes, and a detailed formal complaint with related documentation (several hundred pages) up to May 2010.

SIRC / CSIS:

I initially complained to CSIS in December 2007.

My formal submission and related complaints to CSIS and the SIRC throughout the

Summer of 2011 are outlined in the page [M5: SIRC | CSIS Complaint](#)

Politicians:

The matter was also brought to the attention of our Member of Parliament and MLA by Peter Robertson and my daughter in 2006, and again by them and myself in 2007.

Indeed from January 2008 onwards the matter was brought to the attention of Stephen Harper, Prime Minister of Canada, through his PMO/PCO Offices and his constituency office.

Prior to that it had been brought to the attention of UK Police and, through a Member of Parliament (MP), to government officials at Cabinet Minister level.

The UK Cover-up

These crimes had started in Canada, and continued in the UK. It was in the UK that I first brought them to the attention of the Authorities:

Just to recap - My complaints were formally brought to the attention of the UK Authorities on several occasions:

Police:

Initially brought to Police attention back in 2003, the more formal complaint was bought to the Manchester Police in October 2004 in person and in writing. The complaint was renewed by myself and my wife several times until we left the UK in 2006. My elder son formally complained twice to the Birmingham police, where he was living at the time, and a crime number was issued. No witnesses other than ourselves were interviewed. Police cannot operate where MI5 / MI6 are involved.

IPCC:

The complaint was brought by a Member of Parliament to the IPCC who amazingly passed it back to the original Didsbury, Manchester Police station (who were the subject of the complaint). No witnesses were ever interviewed.

Politicians:

The matter was brought, through the good offices of two Members of Parliament, to the attention of several Cabinet Ministers who covered-it-up. Section "[L](#)" under the caption "[Cover-up](#)" outlines the cover-up in the UK, and Section "[M](#)" the cover-up in Canada.

All these matters / issues are described in detail in the body of this report.

A2: a Guise to hide Torture

September 27, 2013 9:04 AM

Involvement of the Secret Security / Intelligence Services

This Zersetzen attack is being carried out by the secret security/intelligence agencies, MI5 / MI6 in the United Kingdom and CSIS in Canada.

Proof of the "denial of justice" and "involvement of the security / intelligence services" is summarized on pages [K](#) and [K1](#)

Over the years these security / intelligence agencies have been gradually morphing into a secret police. One cannot easily be both since the job requirements are different:

- An intelligence service is about the quiet collection of truthful information and its analysis; an intelligence service's objective is getting at the truth.
- Contrast that with a secret police service who are agent provocateurs, spreaders of lies, abusers and torturers; a secret police service's objective is to hide the truth.

The plain fact is that these agencies are without adequate oversight since our politicians are scared of them - after all, Spy Agencies like MI5 / CSIS can find out everybody's secrets.

There is a cover-up conspiracy to pervert justice

Proven details of this conspiracy are outlined for Canada under the caption "Cover-up" in [Section M](#) "Canada Gov't | Cover up" and in more detail on the subpages M2 to M5 that tell each individual story.

Proven details of this conspiracy are outlined for the United Kingdom under the caption "Cover-up" in [Section L](#) "UK Gov't | Cover up" and in more detail on the subpages L1 to L5 that tell each individual story.

Zersetzen starts with Character Assassination

Zersetzen starts with character assassination and slander – It's about manipulating people or groups of people by typical STASI methods (hearsay, gossip, lies, spreading rumours about someone ... the list goes on).

Years of Intimidation, harassment, surveillance, telephone tapping, and death threats follow on. A classic STASI technique - with both oneself and one's children threatened. The different types of threats are described in the Paper.

Zersetzen as a Guise to Hide Torture Behind

Zersetzen was developed with plausible deniability in mind.

Though Zersetzen is primarily a crime of some of the secret / security intelligence services, nowhere is this attempt to hide the torture better explained than by looking at this extract from Stephen Knight's book "The Brotherhood":

you can trust. You can get no help because your story sounds so paranoid that you are thought a crank, one of those nuts who think the whole world is a conspiracy against them. It is a strange phenomenon. By setting up a situation that most people will think of as fantasy, these people can poison every part of a person's life. If they give in they go under. If they don't give in it's only putting off the day because if they

'There is no defence against an evil which only the victims and the perpetrators know exists.'

Indeed Zersetzen is deliberately designed to try and mimic the complaints of somebody suffering from mental illness.

Here is a full extract from pages 147 through 149 of Knight's book:



Brotherhood
d extract

Many Zersetzen attacks use a pattern of events - stalking for example - to generate a threat. A single incident in such a pattern may not be threatening on its own; indeed it may look strange as it's designed to do (to hide the torture for plausible deniability). Take a look at sub-paragraph [D3](#) or sub-paragraph [E1](#) - one can see the threat develop, though some of the individual components may look benign (even silly) on their own.

Another trick of Zersetzen is to try and conceal their harassment by using existing events that could also occur naturally such as phone calls with no answer. These can occur naturally, but a thousand of them as outlined on sub-paragraph [B?](#) As a former CSIS Operative has reported "**incessant phone calls to my line with no answer**" is in fact one of the Zersetzen techniques that CSIS uses.

However there were also some very direct threats - shots fired, cars run into my house, motorbikes run at me, my children threatened, etc..

In my own case:

- Over **90** of the incidents of **Zersetzen** are backed by independent 3rd party testimony to corroborate; so they are **provable as they can be verified independently**.
- The cover-up conspiracy by the State to pervert justice is provable from the 3rd party documentation alone. I would argue that a cover-up in itself is an admission of guilt. As often is the case it's not just the act, it's the cover-up that pins them.
- Several of the attacks went beyond normal Zersetzen: Death threats, shots fired, vehicles run at one, etc. and these are very verifiable threats with many witnesses.

B: Zersetzen Starts in Vancouver

August 11, 2013 7:49 AM

West Vancouver Incidents -1999 & 2000:

CSIS's (Canada's Spy Agency) illegal Zersetzen program of intimidation & harassment against us in West Vancouver, Canada, included:

- **Incessant phone calls to our home line with no answer**
- **Repeatedly following (stalking) me wherever I went**
- **Watching the family dwelling-house and making it obvious**
- **Regularly interfering with our Email / Computers**
- **A professional character assassination program**

1000 Incessant No-Answer Phone Calls

It started with telephone calls to our home phone line at our house then in West Vancouver, Canada - hundreds of calls - usually with nobody answering on the other end of the line. We would answer the call, hear nothing and then a few seconds later there would be a click with nobody answering on the other end of the line. On occasion (the exception) rather than no answer at all, we would hear deep breathing.

The calls had certainly started by Spring 1999 (probably a few months earlier; I can't be sure of their exact start date). Originally it was just the telephone calls, and I didn't pay much attention to them at first - since I thought it was probably just some "anonymous hoaxer" in the neighborhood. Then they came in sequences with the other forms of intimidation. Eventually - all on the same dates - we would be getting these no answer calls; our emails were being interfered with, our dwelling house was noticeably being staked out under "in your face" overt surveillance, and I was being persistently stalked and followed when I went out.

We would get 2 to 6 such calls a day on four to five consecutive days in a week, sometimes on weekends. This would happen about three weeks out of four. There was at least 800 such calls; probably around 1,000.

Writing around 2000, Former CSIS operative W.J. Baldrewit in his book refers to how he was a victim of "incessant phone calls to my line with no answer."

Back then (1999/2000) these no answer calls were standard CSIS practice for a Zersetzen persecution.

My wife and children witnessed many of these calls.

Stalking (following) and open surveillance on our dwelling house

Our dwelling house was openly under surveillance so that we knew we were being watched; and I was repeatedly followed (i.e. stalked) when I went out.

Click on the subpage, in the menu on the right-hand side, under the category "A -

Stalking/Surveillance" for a more detailed explanation.

● **Email/Computer Interference**

Our emails were being read, and CSIS made us aware that our computers were being interfered with as part of the intimidation process. My wife and I both witnessed this.

Click on the subpage, in the menu on the right-hand side, under the category " B - Computer Interference" for an explanation.

Later we learnt that all the above tactics are a standard part of CSIS's Zersetzen program that they call "D and D". However, at the time (1999 / 2000) we didn't know that security / intelligence agencies would operate against innocent citizens in such an evil manner on behalf of power-elites. Back in year 2000 we actually thought some form of organized crime gang (OCG) had been commissioned to threaten us. It was not until Spring 2005 (ref [Cover-up Minister Hazel Blears](#)) that we realised that the Security/Intelligence services were involved, and had been from the start.

Zersetzen is:

A process of character assassination and threats. Its purpose is to poison every aspect of a person's life. Canada's Spy Agency CSIS (Canadian Security Intelligence Service) is known to practice Zersetzen against innocent citizens (see separate Report "Zersetzen: 25 Precedents" for examples).

Also known as "Cointelpro" or "Vigorous Harassment", CSIS calls "Zersetzen - "D & D": the 1975 Church Committee Report of the US Senate (ref separate report "Zersetzen: 25 Precedents") defined "D & D" as an acronym for "**Disrupt and Discredit**" -

- "**Disrupt**" the targeted victim and his family with a never ending program of intimidation, harassment and threats.

Former Police Chief John Alderson warned - "They... infiltrate organizations, people's jobs and lives. They operate almost like a cancer. At the moment the acorn of a Stasi has been planted."

- "**Discredit**" him with professionally spread slanders and lies designed to render him unemployable, and impoverished, and to ostracize him from his friends and community.

Writing, copyrighted in 2,000, former CSIS Operative W.J. Baldrewit - "They try to isolate you. When you get into trouble with these guys [CSIS] you are all alone."

I was blacklisted after choosing to leave Grosvenor International Holdings in 1986, where I had been Group Controller. When I resigned I was asked to reconsider, but declined. I had done an excellent job for Grosvenor and can prove it. Yet despite making thousands of job applications (literally thousands), and having an excellent track record with Grosvenor and other previous employers, I never again got full time employment in Canada. Grosvenor denies any involvement in this. Grosvenor is owned by the Duke of Westminster who was Official Mentor to Prince William, and as the Daily Mail reported on Feb 12, 2007 has boasted about his intelligence connections. Various other Grosvenor Trustees are known to be pillars of the London establishment.

This Zersetzen attack is being carried out by the secret security/intelligence agencies, MI5

/ MI6 in the United Kingdom and CSIS in Canada which is what makes it a human rights issue.

Proof that the intelligence agencies are involved is summarized on [page K1](#)

There is a huge cover-up conspiracy to pervert justice. Proven details of this conspiracy are outlined on [Sections K, L and M - Cover-up](#)

Failure to protect by the State Authorities in UK and Canada

As outlined under the heading **Cover-up** there is a huge failure to protect by the authorities to whom complaints were made but not acted on.

Indeed it's worse than simply "a failure to protect" since as the evidence shows, we have been threatened, harassed, and intimidated to stop us from complaining to the Authorities about the injustice.

Not just a denial of justice, or a denial of our right to an investigation. We have been persecuted by the very State authorities that should be helping us. Instead of helping, they are persecuting.

B1: - Stalking/Surveillance

May 6, 2013 7:17 AM

Vehicle Stalking/Following when I Left Home

Around May / June 2000, I was walking from my house, then in West Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada to the (local) West Vancouver Library. It's about a two mile walk and I walked it regularly on a daily basis since I used the library to check my email, etc.

On the walk from our home to the Library, I noticed that the same car (a green coloured / midsized vehicle) passed me several times (I counted 7 times), even though I was walking along backstreets and varying my route to make the walk less routine. I recall thinking: "what a coincidence. I wonder what the mathematical probability of this coincidence is." As I waited at the cross walk just before crossing over to the library, the car passed me again. And again I thought - "what an amazing sequence of coincidences"

The car had been going at speeds varying from 15 to 30 mph, and I did notice that it slowed as it passed me.

On my way home from the library (about 1-1/2 hours later), the same green car passed in front of me just as I reached the cross-walk. I crossed the street and walked through a small park (150 yds., perhaps) and when I got to the road at the other end, the green car again passed just in front of me again.

Now I knew that this was no coincidence. I was clearly under surveillance.

I began then to recall other coincidences that were no coincidence. Other days where I had been surprised to see the same vehicle too many times. So I now knew that I was under surveillance (indeed had been for some time), but I couldn't think why.

Then I thought that they are not spying on me very well since even I see what is going on. The surveillance was overt. Then I understood that I was meant to see it and that it was intimidation. I was being stalked.

Indeed I had noticed numerous no name/no answer telephone calls and unusual computer happenings for some months before May (back as far as Spring or Summer, 1999) and had simply put the calls down to some nut in the neighbourhood (these things do happen).

I had even noticed cars parking at the foot of my driveway on several occasions, with an occupant (driver) just sitting inside the car; not getting out, just sitting in the driver's seat, but driving off immediately every time I appeared. I certainly thought it was odd and even a little sinister, but I didn't understand what was happening.

But following the green car incident I did - Now I knew: I was the victim of a Zersetzen / Cointelpro type campaign where the threats had actually started in Spring / Summer 1999, the year before.

The intimidation that took place in Canada, prior to 2001, was all very soft stuff. The "in your face stuff" did not start until I had moved to the UK in 2001 when a motor bike was run directly at me in London.

There were numerous other (I didn't count) car stalking events both before the "Green Car" incident and after. In each case the same car (different cars were used) would pass two or three times on a walk, slowing down as it passed me. I had wondered at the

frequency of these smaller events, because they were happening too often to be coincidental. But I didn't reach any conclusion until the "Green Car" incident, above, as this incident just made it so very obvious that I was being targeted. Nobody wants to know that they are being stalked.

I was being persistently followed whenever I walked from my home to the Library (4 or 5 times a week).

Writing, copyrighted in 2,000, (same time frame as my experiences in West Vancouver) former CSIS Operative W.J. Baldrewit refers to "vehicle stalking" as used by CSIS.

Family dwelling-house being watched

Many times I had noticed that a vehicle was parked at the bottom of my garden (there was no sidewalk). Nobody else parked there. Indeed there were better parking spots available nearby. I didn't see any purpose to this. However, it became clear that the drivers had me/my residence under overt surveillance and wanted me to know it.

At that time I smoked a pipe and would step outside on my front porch mid-morning, lunchtime and mid-afternoon to have a smoke.

Each time I stepped out, the vehicle would immediately start-up and drive off - only to be again at the bottom of my garden (about 15 yards from the porch) when I next went outside. On two occasions, in the early morning, a car was parked in my carport (right beside my daughter's bedroom window), rather than at the bottom of the garden, and drove off as soon as I opened the front door.

This happened in the Spring/Summer of 2,000 about three or four days a week; and a car would be there sometimes 3 times a day, sometimes only once. I didn't keep a record, but it was very obvious and there were approximately 75 + occurrences.

Occupied parked vehicles were repeatedly being used for overt surveillance of my residence. When the surveillance is overt rather than covert, your enemies want you to know it; so it is a threat.

It was clear that our enemies wanted us to know that our dwelling house (and family) were being repeatedly watched.

NB: A Precedent

Writing, copyrighted in 2,000, (same time frame as my experiences in West Vancouver) former CSIS Operative W.J. Baldrewit refers to "vehicle stalking" as used by CSIS.

Street Theatre as Stalking

Street theatre is a known tool of Zersetzen, where CSIS and others act out skits that are aimed at, and often threatening to, the target victim. For example, in one later skit a man acted having been stabbed in the throat (2004) and in another a man appeared to have had his head kicked in (2003).

In the West Vancouver skits the objective appears to have been to send me a message that I was under observation even when I was not being overtly stalked/followed about. Here are two incidents:

1. A middle age lady asked for help to cross the side-road by Safeway in West Vancouver as she said she was nervous crossing roads. So I took her arm and helped her across. She was very nervous until we got to the other side, when she breezed away, re-crossed the road comfortably and crossed another road

comfortably. It was an act (Summer 2000).

- 2. An older man fell down in front of me and my wife (I didn't think there was anything wrong with him, but he appeared to lose his balance) and lay stunned on the ground. I flagged down a car to take him to hospital. He immediately got up and breezed away, It was an act (Dec 2000).

B2: Computer Interference

August 11, 2013 6:13 PM

- Often when my wife and I opened our Hotmail accounts we found that the order that our mail had been sorted in had been changed; a form of intimidation making us aware that our email was being read and interfered with.

Interfering with computers is not only an invasion of privacy; it is also an implied threat to those targeted for Zersetzen as it is another way of suggesting that one has powerful / sophisticated enemies with a long reach who can do this type of interference. Indeed it is a form of cyber stalking. (Latterly we were able to prove that our telephones were also being illegally tapped, and our ordinary mail intercepted as well. Indeed all our methods of communication were being monitored as part of the Zersetzen program - but we didn't know that back then in year 2,000)

- A spy program was found that was transmitting files from a computer my wife used regularly at her place of work in West Vancouver, Canada. Nobody could understand why anybody would bother doing this, as her job was teaching children to use computers. Perhaps the reason was that CSIS thought we might be using her work computer to store private information on or to receive/send emails without their knowledge.

More information on sophisticated email - computer - telephone tapping and interference - is outlined on the Reports that were submitted in relation to the SIRC / CSIS complaint in 2011.

A detailed review of Computer & Communications Interference is available by [clicking here](#).

C: Zersetzen in London & Yarm, UK

March 31, 2013 11:11 AM

London & Yarm Incidents - 2001 to 2003:

In 2001 we moved to the UK to put it all behind us. This was not to be. The illegal Zersetzen program of intimidation & harassment against us continued, this time with the UK's secret security agencies MI5 and MI6. It included:

- **Driving a motorbike at me, making threats & other dangerous vehicle incidents.**
- **Watching the family dwelling-house, Following (stalking) us, and Spying**
- **Tapping our phones, hacking into our computers, reading our keystrokes, infecting us with malware/virus's.**
- **A professional character assassination program**

The Motorbike Incident et al:

A Motorbike was accelerated directly at me in London on June 13, 2001 – I jumped, it swerved and it missed me by a hair's breadth. 15 minutes later a car stopped beside me (I was walking) and the driver pointed out, in a threatening manner, how easy it would be to kill me. Over the next few days - I was noticeably under surveillance around London.

The message from these incidents was - be careful or you will get killed.

Over the next 9 months there were two other witnessed vehicle incidents - a vehicle run into our car's rear that seemed deliberate - and a large container truck nearly drove us off the road.

Click on the subpage, in the menu on the right-hand side, under the category " C1: Motorbike Death Threat et al" for details of these dangerous incidents.

Street Theatre as Stalking:

Street theatre is a known tool of Zersetzen, where MI5 / MI6 and others act out skits that are aimed at, and often threatening to, the target victim. For example, in one later skit a man acted having been stabbed in the throat (2004). Below in this one, a man appeared to have had his head kicked in.

Zersetzen is designed to make very real threats look bizarre. So in street theatre rather than deliver a threat to stab you by saying "I'm going to stab you" they instead act out a skit around you where a man gets stabbed in the throat.

In 2003 **in Yarm a man with head injuries** repeatedly came up to my wife and myself in Yarm (not to anybody else) drawing attention to his injuries. Details are on C2.

Watching, Stalking & Spying:

Details are on C2: Watching, Stalking & Spying

Again the method of letting us know that they were constantly watching our dwelling house was bizarre but nevertheless effective, and other victims of Zersetzen have reported similar unusual threats.

Phone Tapping - Computer Hacking:

Reference C3 outlines what was happening to our computers and telephone at that time. The security services managed to first hack into my computer - then they obliterated my anti-virus program - and then they replaced my standard screen that came up when I turned on the computer by a rather satanic looking "black sun" page just to show they were in control.

Details are on C3: Phone Tapping - Computer Hacking

Later with more experience, we were able to do a much improved analysis of the security / intelligence services ability to take control of all of one's communication - mail, email, telephone, computer - and this is detailed on [Section J: My Communications](#)

C1: Motorbike Death Threat et al

August 13, 2013 10:49 AM

Motorbike incident

This series of incidents took place between June 13th and 15th, 2001. I had tendered my resignation from A & K on June 11th, 2001 and so I thought it might have related to my job search (my cell phone was tapped; so MI5 / MI6 would have known immediately).

Here is what happened.

1. On Wednesday, June 13th I left my office on Eccleston Street just after 5 p.m. I was crossing the road at the junction of Ebury and Elizabeth Street on the way to my apartment in London. A few minutes later I noticed a large and powerful motorbike tucked between a car and the kerb. Unusually for a motorbike it was not revving its engine and was extremely silent, just ticking over; so I felt it safe to continue crossing the street. Suddenly **the motorbike engine roared and it came straight at me** with an acceleration faster than I thought possible.

I jumped, it swerved and missed me by a hair's breadth. The motorbike had been aimed deliberately at me.
2. 15 minutes later I was walking along Turks Row [a side street by York House] when a car drew up beside me, but on the other side of the road. The driver rolled down his window, appeared nonchalantly to stuff some biscuits into his mouth (as though he couldn't care less), and **he commented "that bike nearly killed you and you'll have to be more careful"**. Only a co-conspirator of the motorcyclist would have known of the incident (since it happened a mile away). He then beckoned me over in a manner similar to an actor trying to put on a tough-guy arrogant act. I told him to bugger off and walked on.
3. The following day just after 5 p.m. I came out of my office on Eccleston and turned right up the road. Just outside Jenny Lo's Teahouse, there was a man standing, dressed in a leather jacket and dark glasses, and just staring at me. I walked on and nothing was said. On Friday at about the same time the same man, dressed the same way, was standing in the same spot and staring at me just as on the day before.

The motorbike incident happened in Belgravia, London in broad daylight and a 2-minute walk from a large police station.

I didn't doubt that the message sent from this incident was - be careful or you will get killed; and that the criminals had the present ability to carry-out their threat.

Following news of this revolting incident, my wife (who has also had her children threatened over several years) had a seizure and was treated in hospital.

Other dangerous vehicle incidents in 2001/2002

- I left A&K Sept 2001 and moved to Yarm (North Yorkshire) for an assignment with another company. I had hardly arrived, when I was stopped at a traffic light in Stockton (on Tees) and a car drove into my car's rear. The other car stopped about a yard behind me, and then **the other car bounced forward into my car's rear. It seemed deliberate.** I got out and talked to the driver who said he would go through the traffic lights, turn the corner and stop to swop details. He took off and I never saw him again. Nevertheless I had his license number, and two witnesses (including a bystander) which I provided to the Police who

issued event number H213063.

- In 2002, the assignment in Yarm being over (most of the company's assets having been sold), my wife and I were returning to Yarm from a few days' vacation in Scotland. On the M6 going south, just by Carlisle, we came across a large truck also going south. The truck was in the slow lane (I was in the middle lane) and there was no other vehicle in sight on the south bound lane. I was going approx. 65 to 70 mph. I was just about to pass, when suddenly (and quickly) **the truck swerved into the middle lane where I was. I stood on the brakes and missed the truck only by inches** (really close). He had no reason to change lanes; nor did he change lanes slowly, but suddenly. Nor was he erratic (drivers who nod off are usually erratic) since his lane change though speedy was smooth.

C2: Watching, Stalking & Spying

August 13, 2013 2:34 PM

● Street Theatre

In 2003 in Yarm a man (an actor) who pretended to have a head injury repeatedly came up to my wife and myself (not to anybody else), drawing attention to his injuries, and acting as if he was somewhat silly (i.e. been mentally affected by his supposed injuries). For approximately a 10 day period, he appeared almost every time we left the apartment, and would come up joyfully to greet us. He didn't bother with anybody else though; and it seemed he was acting out a scenario of what could happen to us - bad head injuries.

This type of act is common in Zersetzen and has been called "street theatre".

Watching our dwelling-house

- In Yarm we lived in a low rise apartment on the banks of the River Tees. In the evening I would open the living room windows and lean out precariously on the small balustrade to have a smoke. I always stood in the same direction, looking directly down the River Tees. In the winter of 2003 when it was dark at night, I noticed that, when I came out on the balustrade, a "red light" (Lantern), about 200 yards off, would be turned on in my direct view on the other side of the river. When I went in it would be turned off. Indeed I could have it turned on or off just by moving onto or off the balustrade. I realized that someone was standing over there with a lantern (or a powerful torch), turning it on and off (as I appeared on the balustrade) to send me a message - that they were watching our dwelling house.

Egon Zehnder & Spies of the Train

Meetings with Egon Zehnder:

On August 28, 2002 I took a train (from Darlington) to London to meet with a Mr. C who worked for an offshoot of the head-hunter "Egon Zehnder" . During the meeting, Mr. C asked me if a company I had worked for had some sort of association with the drug trade. I was absolutely flabbergasted and said no.

C then lifted his hand and elbow clearly above his shoulder (with a pen in his hand) and then brought his arm down and recorded my answer. It was a very obvious gesture; either he was stretching or, as it looked to me, clearly drawing my attention to the fact that he was recording my answer – but why?.

On September 24, 2002 I again travelled to London by train to meet with Mr. C's colleague, Mr. H. Mr. H asked exactly the same question about the drug trade and made exactly the same gesture as Mr. C had. So it wasn't coincidence.

In Zersetzen some of the smears that are spread tend to be extreme and bear no relationship to the truth. MI6 / CSIS's only purpose is to isolate their victim from friends and keep him unemployed. Messrs. C and H may well have done me a favour in letting me know what one of the smears was. I sensed, however, that the security/intelligence agencies were somehow involved in this since asking the same (amazing) question and responding with the same hand signals suggests it was coordinated and rehearsed (by MI6?). I later talked to the police, asked them to talk to the head-hunters and then trace the lie back to its source. The police did nothing,

but this lie dropped.

Spies on the train:

On my train journey (from Darlington to London and back) to meet Mr. C, there were agents (spooks) placed on the train to have a conversation with me. Not too difficult to arrange since I had reserved seats some days in advance (phone tapping would reveal my schedule).

On my way to London, a woman in her 60's sitting across from me struck up a conversation. She kept repeatedly turning back to the question "what did I think of Prince Charles". The same basic question was posed in different ways, in different parts of the conversation, multiple times, the whole way to London. Then I noticed my answers were being recorded (by a man in the row behind me). Near the end of the journey he lent forward and held a microphone up close to me so that I couldn't miss it. I was clearly meant to know that this was a set up, but why.

On the return train journey I changed my seat from the reserved one. Another woman (50s) rushed onto the train, clearly looking for me, and made a point of sitting down across from me at the same table (I was in an empty carriage) and struck up a conversation. It hinged on trying to find out my views on a multiplicity of subjects: religion, environment, whatever - a very odd conversation. Could it have been some sort of character evaluation?

What to make of all this:

Well, It's certainly strange. But as former UK Prime Minister Harold MacMillan said, the spooks he knew were all "either weird or mad"

Whoever orchestrated this, knew that the drug trade message was nonsense and that I would know that, but they also knew that I would be shocked to hear this smear (and I was flabbergasted). The repeated questions on the train about Royalty are more sinister. I think they were trying to suggest some Royal involvement to scare me off. In view of the close relations between the Royals and my previous employer, it is not something to take lightly either. The second woman's questions (in a discussion on the train) were probably part of some character evaluation program, but she also asked questions that implied a knowledge of my background (and she was a complete stranger to me), so I was expected to realize that this was a put on show.

C3: Phone Tapping - Computer Hacking

August 13, 2013 2:34 PM

The clicking Incident - proof of phone tapping & hacking

December 2003~

I had made strenuous attempts to try and resolve this matter, all of which had come to nothing. So I decided to set the record straight, and broadcast the significant contribution I had made at Grosvenor. There had been far too many lies put around, and It was time for the truth to come out. So on Dec 11, 2003 I sent this circular email to 300 people:



Dec 11,
2003 Email

The email refers critically to Grosvenor only, so nobody else could have had an interest in it.

Shortly after I began drafting the email, we began to get a clicking sound on our telephone line. Amazingly these were warning clicks. MI5 were sending me a message that they knew what I was drafting, and warning me not to continue with the draft. As I got closer to finishing the draft the clicking on the telephone got more and more frequent.

People who phoned us noticed the warning clicks and commented on them.

It was clear that MI5 were both tapping my phone line in real time (listening in, not just recording) and hacking into my computer. They had to have hacked into the computer to know what I was drafting - and they had to be able to listen in (in real time) and control the line so that they could add the clicking sound whenever we were on the phone. The only way that any outsider could have known what I was drafting (prior to my actually sending this email) is by reading the drafts that I was saving on my database. So they must have had a spy program within my computer that recorded what I was doing, and had it transmitted to them when I put the computer online to check my email

How did they do it? Well I know now that MI5 run interception centres which a target's phone lines are routed through. We were using the same line for calls and internet (and email), as back then my computer internet was "pay as you go" and plugged in to the phone line. So all my phone calls, email, and connections to the internet were going through MI5's interception centre. They were hacking into my computer every time I turned it on. Apart from MI5's illegal tapping with the collusion of the phone companies, it is **also severe harassment inside one's own dwelling house.**

On Dec11, 2003 I got up in the middle of the night (when MI5's interception centre was only recording; not interfering) and sent all 300 emails out. The clicking on the line stopped immediately.

"Black Sun" Web Page / Anti-Virus Program fails

At one point in Summer 2003 when I turned my computer on, my standard WEB page was replaced by a rather eerie (almost satanic) "Black Sun" web page that MI5 had input into the computer to intimidate us. It took me ages to get rid of it.

Around August 19 to 22nd there were considerable illegal download problems that

seriously affected my computer. My daughter was working on the computer when she noticed that the antivirus program's logo has disappeared. MI5 had hacked in under/behind the Norton Anti-Virus Program and eliminated it.

We were able to sort the worst of the problems out with online support. I reordered an updated version of Norton Anti-Virus - Symantec Order # 2105072560; customer # 2101116147.

See [J1](#) and [J2](#) for more on telephone tapping and computer hacking.

D: 2004 Zersetzen in Manchester

March 28, 2013 10:42 AM

In January 2004 we moved from Yarm to Manchester, UK. Throughout 2004 the illegal Zersetzen program of intimidation, harassment & threats against us continued. It included:

A show of power by MI5 | MI6:

- **A Laser/Strobe fired into our living room**
- **Myself and a son threatened in Manchester | Daughter threatened in Aberdeen**
- **Stalking with a Rolls Royce**
- **Malicious computer downloads | Emails disrupted**
- **Complained to Grosvenor's Trustees & Intimidated for making the complaint**

Street Theatre as Stalking

Street theatre is a known tool of Zersetzen, where MI5 / MI6 and others act out skits that are aimed at, and often threatening to, the target victim. For example, in one later skit a man acted having been stabbed in the throat (2004) and in another earlier skit in Yarm a man appeared to have had his head kicked in (2003).

1. Earlier in 2004 in Didsbury, UK I was walking past a bus stop on my way to buying the Sunday papers when I saw an old man leaning slouched with a large **bloody wound in his neck. A lady rushed round the corner saying "oh, oh, oh, oh, oh"**. Since the wound was completely unrealistic, I ignored them (anyone with his throat cut like that would have fallen down; besides though bloody, the wound wasn't bleeding).
2. Just following the Laser sequence of incidents in 2004, I was walking up Barlow Moor Road towards Didsbury Village. When I was about two hundred yards up the road, a car accelerated very rapidly from a standing start at great speed making a lot of noise – Though it was not aimed at me it made me jump. The car was a brownish North American car of late 1960s / early 1970s vintage and I would recognise it again (looked like a Chevy Camaro).
- 3. On 27/5/04 a women had approached both myself and my wife in the library (quite separately) in a strange way, and did not approach anybody else. She was standing behind me while I was on the library computer, patently/deliberately staring at what I was doing so that I would notice that I was being watched; my wife had the same happen to her with the same woman a few minutes later. The woman didn't appear to have an interest in anybody else on a computer.

Laser Incidents

This involved having a Laser beam , or Strobe, fired into our living room three floors up; Stalking using a Luxury Vehicle; Malicious downloads to destroy my computer, and other incidents.

Click on the subpage, in the menu on the right-hand side, under the category "Laser Incidents" for a more detailed explanation.

Death Threats from "The Security Organization"

I made a critical call to my daughter in Aberdeen, from my phone in Manchester. Within minutes we had a call from Raymond at the "security organization" (MI5?) as he put it, and he threatened myself and a son. My daughter was also threatened in Aberdeen where she was a university student.

Click on the subpage, in the menu on the right-hand side, under the category "Death Threats" for a more detailed explanation.

Rat - Gag Threat

This was a threat to try and keep me quiet since it was becoming clear that I was drafting (on my computer) a complaint for the police.

They used cars dangerously gyrating around to draw attention to themselves, and sending a message using false license plates.

The use of false plates by CSIS in Canada and MI5 in the UK is a well-known tactic, and indeed a former CSIS agent has confirmed this in writing. In some instances they use plates off old vehicles taken out of use; in other cases they just manufacture them - it doesn't matter which.

Click on the subpage, in the menu on the right-hand side, under the category "Rat - Gag Threats" for a more detailed explanation.

Complaint to Grosvenor - Intimidation Follows

I sent my formal complaint to the Grosvenor Trustees using a confidential courier, and intimidation followed - read it for yourself.

Click on the subpage, in the menu on the right-hand side, under the category "Complaint to Grosvenor" for a more detailed explanation.

D1: Laser Incidents

August 16, 2013 11:41 AM

- **Laser or Strobe fired into our living room**
- **Watching our dwelling with a Luxury Car**
- **Malicious destructive computer downloads | Emails disrupted**

Late Thursday May 20th, 2004: I sent an email that was critical of the Grosvenor world organisation to a friend of Grosvenor, Adrian Dobbie at Numerica. This is the email that I sent:



Email to
numerica

Following his receipt of my earlier December 11th 2003 email (see ref. C3 - The Clicking Incident) Mr. Dobbie had telephoned me, angrily, to tell me that he had friends amongst the senior people at Grosvenor; so I was using the May 20th email to get a final message back to Grosvenor. I did not send this email to anybody else. The following happened immediately.

1. On May 21st, 2004 an **automatic download came that caused my computer to immediately start malfunctioning**.

I was using a pay as you go telephone connection, so I was only hooked to the internet when I needed to be. Normally at start up, I noticed bytes going out which I assumed to be my keystrokes since I was last connected to the internet being sent elsewhere. I hadn't been too much bothered since it was better that the criminals read what I was doing, than interfered. Besides if I really wanted to be confidential I just kept off the internet.

2. But on May 21st, the day after the "Dobbie" email, I noticed when I connected to the internet that a very large quantity of bytes were coming in and I tried to turn the computer off but couldn't. So I immediately physically pulled the plug on the telephone connection, and removed the computer's battery to turn it off. Nevertheless the damage was such that it took me several days to recover from this. The police were invited to examine this computer for evidence of the damage, but chose not to. *On Computer/PMR/RTMR **
3. On Saturday May 22nd, 2004 **two "No number calls"** were made to my mobile around **6.25 a.m.** & woke us up). This was unusual for early Saturday morning (however, we have noticed that no number / no message calls have been associated with sequences of intimidation). *PMR, RTMR**
3. At approximately 10.30 a.m. that morning a late model **Rolls Royce** stopped outside my apartment block for several minutes. Nobody got out. When I went down, the Rolls moved off. It had darkened windows and was a sophisticated light blue metallic colour. When the car moved with the change of sunlight the colour seemed to darken. This car could have been traced by the police - I have never seen a new Rolls Royce in this vicinity before or since.

- 4. **At around 12 noon a laser (possibly a Strobe) was fired into our sitting room;** both my wife and I were present in the room and observed this. RTMR / PMR

Because my flat was 3 floors up, and given the topography of the land, the beam must have been fired from at least 50 to 100 yards away - and could not be aimed directly at me because of where I was sitting. The beam had entered through the shut window at an angle and reflected off the mirror on the wall, also at an angle, and appeared to be aimed at where I was sitting working on the computer (to fix it - see 1, above). I don't know what it was technically. I do know that it was clearly a power threat. The room lit up with a very bright flash accompanied by a loud noise. The noise reminded us of one of these old fashioned photographers cameras used to take school pictures in the 1950s. Both my wife and I observed this.

I thought the beam/strobe had been aimed at me. I was dazzled by the very bright light and couldn't see for a few seconds. However, I was working to repair the computer at the time; so they may have been taking a 2nd shot (see 1, above) to destroy it. In any event my wife immediately took the mirror off the wall, thus precluding further attempts.

- 5. The following week my wife and I checked our email accounts in the Didsbury library. Our email accounts had been messed around with, as a demonstration of power, to intimidate. Although this was all very odd, what it did do was demonstrate in an intimidatory manner the incredible computer sophistication of these criminals.

My wife's email (Hotmail) had been resorted to show that somebody unauthorized had been into it. They had changed the order that messages appear in. I couldn't get into my own email (then a BT Internet) account at all when I was using my own library PIN number to access the library computer; though I could access other Internet sites using my own pin number.

Subsequently, I learnt that playing around with library access pin numbers, and messing around with emails is a trick often used by security agencies in both the UK and Canada. Its purpose is to further intimidate by demonstrating that they can access your email and hack into your computers, and play around with them anytime they want.

The next day everything was back to normal *PMR/RTMR**

- 6. On 25/5/04 my diary reference relates to an email I had sent about the findings of a Computer scan I had done using a downloaded **Spybot** program. We eradicated some unauthorised "spy" software that had a reference "**Aviva**" on it. I don't know why the reference is so important, but when I referred to it in an email there was further "soft" intimidation. On 27/5/04 my diary records "**Strange movements in library**". A woman was standing behind me while I was on the library computer, patently/deliberately staring at what I was doing so that I would notice that I was being watched; my wife had the same happen to her with the same woman a few minutes later. The woman didn't appear to have an interest in anybody else on a computer. We have had this form of "soft" intimidation happen since then.

This is just a small part of the sequence of intimidation that I have called the Laser Incidents and also included: firing a laser/strobe light into our living room, email interference, unusual no answer phone calls, a luxury car used to observe our dwelling house, and a malicious computer download.

D2: Death Threats

March 31, 2013 8:53 AM

Threats from the "Security Organization" - Is it a synonym for MI5?

- **Myself and a son threatened in Manchester**
- **An MI5 hoodlum, using a stolen phone, says he is "very worried about your security"**
- **Daughter threatened at her home in Aberdeen**
- **Three threats and a show of criminal power.**

Their purpose: To stop me from commenting on Grosvenor as the threats were a response to my comments on the Laser Incident (See the Laser Incident - D1).

On Friday May 28th, 2004 at around 5 p.m. **I made a mobile call to my daughter** during which I referred to the "laser incident", I mentioned a description of the Rolls Royce and suggested that it was foolish of them to have cased the place in such an identifiable car. Though I could not see who was in the car, I did mention that the only people whom I have ever met and who are resident within half an hour or so of this area and would likely own a late model Rolls Royce are the Duke of Westminster and / or the Grosvenor Hotel in Chester. I would stress that this was a private call to my daughter, and is only now in the public domain because of the threats that relate to it. *RTMR/AVR/Call record**

1. This elicited an immediate response from the OCG (Organised Crime Gang) who appear to have lost their cool as they **called me 40 minutes later** on a mobile phone from a traceable number [this is the first time that such a call has been made from a traceable phone]. The caller said his name was **Raymond**, that he was from "The Security Organization" and that he was a friend of one of my sons [which he is not - it was an **implied threat against my son**] and that he was **"very worried about your [my] security"**. He further stated that he was the person who is responsible for looking after my security.
- Since I have never heard of him, what **he was inferring is that he is responsible for the Zersetzen program** of intimidation, harassment and threats locally in Manchester, UK. I told him that he had the wrong number; he then responded "give me your other number then" – I hung up. Use of *stolen phone by Raymond confirmed by police**
2. An hour later **I called him back on my landline**, and he pretended he couldn't remember that we had talked, stating that he talked to many people. He did however ask me if I worked for the same **"security organisation."** [Is it a synonym for MI5?]
- This return call confirmed by *RTMR/TPR/PMR* who were on the line, and by the Phone bill which is available. The police later traced his number and confirmed that "Raymond" had used a stolen cell phone - written police confirmation also available for inspection.
1. The next day, on Saturday May 29th 2004 - Following this sequence of events, my daughter was intimidated in Aberdeen, 300 plus miles away, where she attended Aberdeen University. She commented on it by affidavit as follows:

In May of 2004 I was intimidated after a telephone conversation with my Father in which he referred importantly to certain Grosvenor International personnel (Please see Exhibit "A"). The day following the telephone conversation, I was sitting outside my bedroom in Aberdeen on the roof with some friends. They all went inside for only 10 minutes to get some drinks while I stayed outside. During this time, I was immediately intimidated by some men in a blacked out 2004 Rolls Royce.

The Rolls Royce went past my house, then backed up and stopped right outside the gate. It then sat there for a few minutes while flashing its lights at me. The window was being rolled down and the door opened when one of my friends came back onto the roof. The car suddenly sped off with the door being shut on the way. I was not able to gather who was in the car other than the fact that it was two (2) men.

The area where I was sitting looks out onto a blocked off lane in which I had never seen a car before this. I also had never seen and never saw again an expensive car in the area around my house.

(My diary notes (confirmed in part by phone bill) that on 28/5/04, I "Called Amy approx. 5.30 PM – Raymond call 6.18; called back 7.52 - "07944002970")

Further Soft Intimidation

The next day, Sunday, I was walking up Barlow Moor Road towards Didsbury Village. When I was about two hundred yards up the road, a car accelerated very rapidly from a standing start at great speed making a lot of noise – The car was a brownish North American car of late 1960s / early 1970s vintage similar to a Chevy Camaro. Its intent was just to draw my attention. Intimidation sequences usually have a few attention-getters as well as threats. What was unusual enough to draw attention: very fast acceleration/speed in a 30 mph limit; A North American car not usually seen in UK (it stands out); very noisy; and other incidents in this sequence of intimidation used unusual cars.

D3: Rat-Gag Threats

April 1, 2013 6:34 AM

RAT/GAG Incident:

Vehicles gyrating around us using false license plates that sent the threatening message RAT/GAG

Their purpose: to stop us from going to the police.

- ▲ On Sunday May 29, 2004 my daughter, then attending Aberdeen University, was intimidated in Aberdeen. For over a year I had been putting off going to the police for help (since there were serious threats if I did), but now I knew I had no choice.

But before going I decided to write the evidence in a report in case anything happened to me. I was reporting what I thought were threats by Organized Crime Gangs (OCGs), but I was beginning to wonder if it wasn't the State's Security/Intelligence agencies, as indeed it proved to be, since the threats were so sophisticated.

The background is that MI5 / MI6 were reading my computer's keystrokes (as I discovered later) and so they knew that, after years of silence, I was about to make a formal complaint (I was drafting it on my computer). They decided to stop us with a warning to keep silent: The **Rat/Gag** threats.

Here is what happened:

- On Friday July 23rd 2004 - my wife and I left the Didsbury Library (Manchester, UK) on foot by the Barlow Moor Road exit. **A car** (silvery colored Toyota Celica) **drove up very fast right onto the sidewalk in front of us, skidding to a stop**. The driver got out and walked directly at me; I stood my ground and he got back in his car. He then began gyrating the car backwards and forwards (i.e. reversing and going forward) drawing further attention to himself. I then walked towards the rear of the car, intending to walk between the car and a wall (at its rear) to reach Barlow Moor Road. He reversed right back leaving me with little space to walk through, which I did. I noticed, as I was meant to, the last three letters of its **license plate**, which were "**RAT**".
- The next day, approx. 5 PM, my wife and I were returning from a walk when we noticed a 4 by 4 parked near our apartment on the North East corner of the junction of Spath Road and Holme Road. When we were about 20 yards off it started up and began gyrating not just backwards and forwards in a straight line, but also right across the road (using a sort of an "S" trajectory) – drawing attention to itself. The last three letters on its license plate were "**GAG**".
- Later on (in 2006) the police were able to confirm that the first plate was false as there was then no silver Toyota Celica in the UK with the letters RAT as part of its license plate.

See Reference to [False Plates](#)

I now know that the use of false license plates is a common tactic used by both MI5 in the UK, and CSIS in Canada. Indeed a former senior Canadian intelligence operative included it in an article he wrote about CSIS.

There were several other "stalking" incidents in this series, but the message to my wife and I was plain - it was **RAT/GAG** - a threat to stop us from making a formal complaint.

The RAT incident happened during the day on a Friday in central Didsbury, one minute from

a police station. Like the earlier motorbike incident, it appeared to me that the criminals were so bold that they considered themselves above the law. I now know that they were above the law since they were MI5 / MI6 or subcontracts.

D4: Complaint to Grosvenor

April 1, 2013 6:37 AM

Sept. 14 2004 Letter to Grosvenor More Intimidation Follows:

On September 14, 2004 I sent - by UPS Courier- the following letter of complaint to "The Trustees of the Grosvenor Estate", together with an attachment outlining my complaint. Here is the letter that was dated and sent to Grosvenor on Sept. 14th, and Courier's report confirming that it was sent on September 14th.



My Letter
to Grosve...



Courier

Here is a copy of the Courier's Tracking Report confirming that the package was delivered at 11.10 AM on September 15, 2004.



CourierTrac
kingReport

The delivery date is important. Grosvenor received these documents on September 15th 2004 according to the couriers tracking report.

On September 17th, two days after Grosvenor received the documents, three further incidents of intimidation occurred:

1. A no name / no answer phone call to our line
2. Our Mail Box was broken into. As we showed the caretaker; the box was newly scarred and marked where an implement (shimmy?) had been used to break in.
3. My wife's email went haywire. For four days she was unable to access her Hotmail account on a library computer that we were using. It was not a problem with Hotmail as other people could access their accounts.

We thought that **the close proximity of these acts of intimidation to the receipt by the Grosvenor Trustees of my critical letter was relevant.** These acts of intimidation are a demonstration of power; showing that all my communications - telephone, mail, email - can be controlled or interfered with.

Mail Box RTMR/PMR/TPR/Caretaker
Email PMR/RTMR
Calls – RTMR

In October I received the following letter of denial from the Chief Executive of the Grosvenor Group.



Grosvenor
CEO Letter



Grosvenor
CEO Letter

The Grosvenor CEO's letter (see above) states that my letter to Grosvenor was sent on September 17, 2004. If the Grosvenor CEO's stated date was accurate, then the above acts of intimidation could not have related to my letter. But the CEO's date is wrong as the courier tracking report shows - my letter was sent on Sept. 14th, received on Sept. 15th, and the incidents occurred just 2 days later on Sept. 17th.

The question is to what extent are these events related to Grosvenor.

To what extent are they all just coincidental.

The following schedule that I sent to a UK Member of Parliament suggests that the **probability of all these events being unrelated to each other and just coincidental is approximately 1 in a Billion.**



Probability
Schedule

- **What this clearly shows is that we were being intimidated for making the complaint. It seems that the raison d'etre for this aspect of the ongoing intimidation was to stop us from complaining to the authorities - i.e. intimidation linked to a denial of justice.**

E: 2005 | 2006 Manchester (Cont.)

March 28, 2013 10:28 AM

2005

The Cover-up and Further Threats

2005 was the year in which I tried to get justice from the UK Home Office, only to be met by a cover-up and a barrage of additional threats and intimidation.

It started with my complaint to the Manchester Police in October 2004 which is outlined on reference L1: [Police / Home Office](#) – When the Police refused to investigate, I got in touch with the Home Secretary, the Cabinet Minister who is responsible for policing in the UK.

Details of this shocking cover-up, and failure to investigate, by the **United Kingdom** authorities are described in the "Cover-up section" under the sub-pages below. They can also be viewed by clicking on them:

[UK Gov't | Cover up](#) and
[Police / Home Office](#) and
[Minister Hazel Blears](#) and

Added to that, **we were getting threatened and intimidated to try and stop us from complaining**. This included the incidents described on this reference link:

[Complain and Threats Follow](#)

So much for British justice!

Blue Screen Threats

A series of stalking and computer incidents, including me being hit just above the eye by a pellet fired from a moving vehicle.

Click on the subpage, in the menu on the right-hand side, under the category " E1 - Blue Screen Threats" for an explanation.

The Guardian Incidents

On March 23, 2005 my wife and I took our story to the Guardian. This is what happened:

We were *threatened* in the name of His Royal Highness, a *car was run into our residence*, ***threatening calls were made to my children***, a ***truck was skidded at me***, and documentation that I had filed with The Guardian disappeared from their secure offices.

Click on the subpage, in the menu on the right-hand side, under the category " E2 - The Guardian" for an explanation.

2006

My Daughter Threatened - by Intelligence Operative in Calgary, Canada

My brother-in-law in Calgary attempted to provide help to look into this issue. It became abundantly clear that the help (lawyers, private eyes, etc.) were getting scared off the case - and there is huge 3rd party evidence of this. On July 24th 2006, by email and phone call, I asked my daughter in Calgary to write down all that was happening to the help.

- ▲ Two days later, on July 26th 2006 **she was accosted and physically manhandled** in downtown Calgary as she crossed under the 8th. street bridge. The hood had followed her from her place of work. As he stopped her from moving and banged her on the shoulder, he said "Don't do it. Just don't do it" (i.e. don't write the report that I had asked her to write about the "help" being scared off). This was the 3rd time my daughter had been threatened. The police officer involved said he could tell that from his modus operandi that **her cowardly assailant "had had intelligence operational training"** (CSIS).

[See F1 for details.](#)

● Spy In Withington Library

In 2005 / 2006 we were befriended by a retired gentleman who, like ourselves, frequented the Withington library. He said that he was a retired Major in Army Intelligence. He made little attempt to disguise that there was an official aspect to our frequent meetings; indeed he wanted us to know. He became somewhat sympathetic. For example just before Christmas, when I was home with flu, he sent me kind written message via PMR.

He did, however, make several critical comments on Monarchy saying that what we needed was another Cromwell. I did not prompt these conversations (or comment), but saw them as a hint.

● Polish Woman / False Plates

A woman, a stranger, who said she was Polish attempted to befriend us on the Street in Withington. For a while, she seemed to appear almost every time we went out. It was clearly "put on".

Like "Street Theatre" a common aspect of Zersetzen is to have a stranger befriend you, and then make it plain that they "know what is going on" (i.e. are part of the gang). They start off talking quite normally, and then introduce just a comment or a hint that shows that they know about the intimidation. For example, in Manchester I was having a problem with hoods who were sending threats using false car number plates (Rat/Gag, etc.). Suddenly, in mid conversation, the Polish woman said that she had a **friend who used false plates, and that the police were scared of him.**

This is a **variation of Stalking behaviour**. It is clearly meant to intimidate (she pretends she is a stranger; then lets you know that she knows about the Zersetzen) It was very obvious she had been put up to "befriend" us. I had little time for her, and let her know. So she changed her tactics and got a little threatening. I would make a critical comment (phone, letter, etc.) and the next time she appeared she would not approach us but would posture aggressively from across the street.

False Plates - Many, including former intelligence operatives, have commented on the use by CSIS and MI5 of false plates.

Variation of Stalking techniques - They also commented on the use of a variation of Stalking, where an operative pretends to befriend the victim, and then says (or does) something that lets the victim know they are part of the intimidation process.

- **Polish woman - a subcontract** - I though the "polish woman" from her modus operandi could have been trained as an operative in the former east-bloc. The woman whom I describe as Ex East European - CSIS subcontract in Calgary, also said she was Polish and used almost identical techniques to the "Polish Operative in Manchester" which is why my wife and I recognized her for what she was almost immediately she appeared on the scene in Calgary. Clearly the two woman had had similar training. See [Ex East European - CSIS subcontract?](#) for similarity.

E1: Blue Screen Threats

April 1, 2013 8:47 AM

Blue Screen Threats & Government Cover-up

When I did file my complaint with police, it was covered-up. So I complained about the lack of investigation to the UK's Home Office Ministry and to Minister "Hazel Blears" through my then Member of Parliament, The Rt. Hon Keith Bradley. He advised me that he had contacted Hazel Blears, and they were now investigating my complaints.

The background to this series of incidents is that on February 7th 2005 I wrote to Lord Bradley telling him that he had been misled by the Home Office who were not investigating as promised, but instead were covering up. Here is what happened:

- Wednesday February 16th 2005 Evening – I noticed a car just below my apartment window; its back seat was packed with electronics (like computer servers piled on each other), and the driver was viewing a laptop. After 15 seconds from my opening the window and looking at him, he drove off. The following day (Feb 17) walking to the Withington Library I noticed, as I was meant to, a 4 by 4 making it plain that I was being watched. Both typical **stalking incidents** because they let their target victim know he/his residence are being watched.
- ▪ The Library being closed, I walked along the Wilmslow Rd. to the Didsbury library. 15 minutes later, at the junction of Lapwing Lane with Wilmslow Rd., **a pellet was fired at me from a moving vehicle and hit me on my left temple just above my eye** – it bruised me, and caused a break in my skin. PMR saw the blood. When you get hit on the head, even by a pellet, it is a significant threat as you hear a huge bang, your head is jerked rapidly backwards by the force of the impact, and you are stunned for several seconds.
- I looked up my email in the Didsbury Library, and found a fraudulent email, purportedly from the US government (obviously not) accusing me of viewing unauthorized sites (total rubbish). Normally there is one or more email/computer issues in any intimidation sequence, but not usually of this type.
- The following Saturday (Feb 19th) after 10 PM. A car (two men in it) drove up the driveway and stopped with its headlights on full beam for about one minute just where it would shine at our window (my son had just gone out for the evening a couple of minutes before). I stared out the window at it. It then drove up right underneath our window, turned its headlights on and off several times, and then started flashing them continuously – nobody got out, or into the car. I came away from the window and it drove off immediately. They had no purpose in the driveway, except to draw attention to themselves (headlights on/off several times, then continuous flashing) - **A clear stalking tactic but one also timed to imply a threat against my younger son who had just gone out for the Saturday night.** Threats against your children are a known Zersetzen tactic.

So the backdrop to this Zersetzen sequence was the very clear threat that shooting me in the head with a pellet implied - STOP complaining about the cover up to pervert justice.

The "blue screen incidents", as the police called them to belittle them, were Stasi-style Zersetzen tactics in response to my complaining with evidence about the cover-up; 4 stalking incidents, a computer incident, a clear implied threat, and an act of violence that also implied more.

**On Feb 23 2005 I sent this circular email to 700 people
as it was long overdue that the truth came out.**



23 Feb 05
Circular E...

E2: The Guardian

April 1, 2013 6:37 AM

The Guardian & MI5 / MI6 - 2005 in UK

- Threats in the name of His Royal Highness
- Car run into our residence
- Telephone Death Threats (recorded) to Son
- Documentary proof of government cover-up disappears from The Guardian's office
- Truck skidded at me

On March 23 2005 My wife and I went to The Guardian in Manchester, UK in person and arranged to meet with a trainee journalist, Mark Smith, the following day (March 24 2005). At that meeting we described how our family was being illegally persecuted, and the government covering it up. We gave Mr. Smith documentary evidence that a Cabinet Minister in Tony Blair's government was involved. Mr. Smith advised that he would brief a more senior journalist and pass my documentation on.

Returning home on March 24 from The Guardian's Office my **wife and I were threatened** in the name of His Royal Highness. A week later **my eldest son** (then in Birmingham, UK) received a series of very nasty **telephone death threats** (April 1-2 2005), which he recorded (police crime number 20/E2/3302/05); See "Transcript of Recorded Threats" at end of this page for details of the threats. That same night my wife was woken by the cell ringing with two weird text messages - "network message please delete" Gobbledegook but the timing of these texts shows they were sent to *emphasize that the threats in Birmingham were linked to the threats in Manchester.*

24 hours later **a vehicle was smashed into my house** in Manchester (April 2 2005). Meanwhile the **documentation** we had left with The Guardian **disappeared from their secure office** - this was the documentation that proves that a UK Cabinet Minister was covering up our complaint. A year later I filed copies of this same documentation with a Court in Manchester, and it disappeared yet again (MI5).

I subsequently met with their then Northern Correspondent, David Ward. I showed him another copy of the documentation that had disappeared from their offices -- It contains correspondence from then UK-Cabinet Minister Hazel Blears (then responsible for the MI5 Secret Security Services and Special Branch Policing) that proves that my complaints are being covered-up at high levels. He was appalled and told me that he would recommend to his Editor that an investigative journalist be put on the case. The Editor turned him down

Nobody except my wife and I and The Guardian knew we had visited their office since we were very discrete. **What corroborates:** The telephone threats were recorded, the property damage had dozens of witnesses, and the Guardian's Editor apologized in writing (April 19, 05) for having lost my documentation. A transcript of the death threats is on the Internet. What the Guardian "lost" was letters that prove that then UK Cabinet Minister Hazel Blears, who had oversight for MI5, was trying to cover up my case.



Letter-Editor
Guardian



My letter to
Guardian

Two sequels to the "Guardian" story:

1) 6 years later in Calgary

The skidding Truck incident (Calgary): threatened for telling the Washington Post about my 2005 "The Guardian" incidents" ~

March 4/5th 2011. I corresponded with Jeff Stein of the Washington Post about my "Guardian" story. I was now living in Calgary, Canada

At 12:05 on Sunday 6 March 2011, I was walking down 30 Avenue near the corner with 14th Street SW. Two hoods **skidded across the road deliberately and rapidly in a truck just as I walked past them.**

They then got out of the truck. When I questioned the larger of the two guys (6' 4" plus) about his dangerous driving asking him if it was deliberate and aimed at me, he started swearing and got very threatening. I was told that I "don't know my place".

Probably just a hired on for the job, I don't think they knew what it's about. So I told them that it's about basic justice and rule of law. As the smaller guy walked over to get into his own car (a smaller 4 by 4) to drive off I asked him to tell his handlers what I had said, and he said he would.

2) 7 years later in Zurich

And yet another Guardian/MI6 incident in 2012:

On Aug 17 2012 I published commentary in "Media Lens" entitled "Guardian is too close to MI5 / MI6". That same day (PM) a car nearly drove my eldest son and a friend (who was driving) off the road where they were driving near Zurich (RMR / JE).

Transcript of the recorded Threats

Originally sent to John Leech MP:

Point 2- With regards to my death threats Kings Norton/ Kings Heath Police originally compiled a statement that runs several pages. The crime reference number you will need to follow up on and obtain this report is 20/E2/3332/05. Since PC Turner enthusiastically made this report I never heard from them again. Only after I visited a police station in regards to the photography and the pellets was I shown that any investigation was never undertaken. The death threats are black and white solid evidence backed up by several witnesses who have seen them, two people that were in the house when I received them (i (no relation)), one further person whom I was on the same phone to when they first got sent; they are concrete evidence that I still have in my possession and further corroborated by police crime numbers. They are also, as you can see, racist, criminal and hugely violent threats. The police report and my previous email to you surmise some of the detail and the messages are as follows:

01/04/05 22:30:51- Nigger

01/04/05 22:50:04- Touch my women again and I'll rip your head off. You cunt! Watch your back.

01/04/05 23:53:45- Touch my women again and I'll rip your head off. You cunt! Watch your back.

01/04/05 23:56:51-

01/04/05 23:58:40- Don't fuck with me. I'll rip your fucking head off!

02/04/05 00:01:59- Don't fuck with me boy! You know who this is. You're fucking dead!

02/04/05 00:20:53- Fuck you Rod. You've been fucking with my girl again. And I don't take that kind of shit!

(and on my insistence that I don't know who it is (when of course I did realize it is obviously the secret services))

02/04/05 00:37:12- You fucking know. Best prepare for your knee-capping. Now, fuck off.

These messages were interspersed with two phone calls both containing only the line "Fuck you, you're fucking dead" yelled loudly at me several dozen times.

NB - The threats avoid the real reason, since the criminals know that their target victim knows what they are calling about, and they want to muddy the waters for any recording. So they wear a false flag. They start with a racial smear. But since the target victim in this case is white, a racial smear doesn't suite their purpose; so they quickly change to a sexual one. The criminal was English, but used a false North American accent.

E3: Complain | Threats Follow

April 1, 2013 6:40 AM

Linkage between Intimidation & my Complaints. Complain - and intimidation follows:

- 1. Feb 7th 2005 - complained about Home Office slowness – pellet + 5 other incidents followed**
- 2. March 16 2005 - ordered to stop – same date as Blears 1st letter.**
- 3. March 22 2005 - complained about Blears letters and went to Guardian. Documentation disappearance and Guardian incidents followed.**

Linkage to earlier complaints to Government:

One three occasions in 2005 when I tried to press the UK Home Office for an investigation, I was met by a barrage of further criminal intimidation. Here are the facts.

1. On Feb 7th 2005 I wrote to former MP & Home Office Minister Keith Bradley complaining about the slowness of the Home Office who had been telling us for two months that they were investigating my issues.

I stated that it was clear that the Home Office were deliberately sitting on it to cover it up. I suspect Mr. Bradley passed my letter on to The Home Office. Between Feb 16th and Feb 19th five incidents of intimidation took place, including my being hit on the head by a pellet fired from a gun. My wife saw the bruising and blood on my forehead. (See my email Feb 25th to Keith Bradley for the details of the other incidents).

I thought the gangs didn't like my complaint that the investigation appeared to be stopped; hence the intimidation – yet they could not have known about my comment from me! Click on "Blue Screen threats" for more detail (E1)

2. On March 16th 2005 I was "ordered" to STOP. I could not see the significance of this order at that time. Several days later, I received through Mr. Bradley a letter from Hazel Blears that put a stop to the Home Office's "pretended investigation" – Ms. Blears stated reason for stopping the "pretended investigation" has now been proven to be untrue.

The date on her letter was March 16th and now I knew what the STOP signal I had received on that same day was about. *They couldn't even wait until the ink was dry on Ms. Blears signature before the gangs had rushed out and ordered me to stop from making further complaints.*

3. On March 22nd I wrote to Mr. Bradley complaining about Ms. Blears' letter pointing out the significant errors of fact that are within it, and stating that it was just a cover up – I also stated in this letter "*I can only assume ... that they don't want to find the truth. Presumably the Organised Crime Gangs can be relied on to keep me silent*" and I'm sure he passed it on to The Home Office.

Two days later I went to The Guardian and saw Mr. Ward, the Northern Correspondent. The crime gangs reacted and the intimidation included: (1) The death threats against my eldest son which were recorded and reported to the Kings Norton Police, (2) the Diana death threat which Patricia and I witnessed, and (3) having a vehicle (in the middle of the night) smash into and destroy the brick porch that was directly under my bedroom. Four people witnessed this. Coincidentally the documentation (just photocopies) that I deposited with The Guardian all disappeared from their offices, and there is a letter from their Editor apologising for this and stating that he cannot explain how it happened.

3 times threatened by Home Office/MI5 for complaining about Minister Blears's role in the cover-up.

[1] – Wrote Bradley re HO slowness; pellet incidents.

[2] – STOP incident; same day as Blears signed rejection letter

[3] – Wrote Bradley complaining about Blears, went to Guardian, Guardian incidents followed.

F: 2006 | 2008 Zersetzen in Calgary

March 31, 2013 9:06 AM

In Fall 2006, my wife and I returned to Calgary, Canada

The Following Happened:

- **A hood with "military intelligence training" threatened my daughter**
- **Shots were fired into my eldest son's living room and independently witnessed**
- **My wife & I were harassed out of our apartment in Calgary**
- **CSIS (Canada's Spy Agency) interferes with Canadian Human Rights Commission**

My daughter Amy stalked and accosted in Calgary

In July 2006 my daughter was stalked and accosted in Calgary by a man the police said had "military intelligence training"

Click on the subpage, in the menu on the right hand side, under the category "**F1: Amy threatened**" for a more detailed explanation

Harassed out of Canadian Apartment; Shots fired into UK Apartment

Between the fall of 2007 and Spring of 2008, my wife and I were harassed out of our apartment in Calgary, Canada; Shots were fired into my eldest son's apartment in Birmingham, UK and we were subjected to an endless campaign of stalking, harassing and intimidating.

Click on the subpage, in the menu on the right hand side, under the category "**F2: Apartment Harassment**" for a more detailed explanation

Lies Threats & Cover-up

Following my publication of an Internet Article "lies Threats & Cover-up", my wife's government funded health care prescription was cancelled without notice. An exceptionally cruel and evil form of harassment by CSIS, since the absence of this medication could be life threatening for her.

As well as the usual stalking there was considerable harassment around our telephones. Not just tapping, but using telephones to intimidate and harass.

Click on the subpage, in the menu on the right hand side, under the category "Lies Threats & Cover-up" (**F3**) for a more detailed explanation

Direct Complaint to Prime Minister Stephen Harper

On May 7th 2008 I got a letter and attachment personally to Sandra Fraas, the Prime

Minister's Constituency Manager. The letter outlines the situation - threats, intimidation, harassment and cover-up - and requests the Prime Minister's help to ensure that there is an honest investigation. I explained my concerns that the matter might be being covered up at high levels, and that I had already contacted the PMO/PCO without any response. Ms. Fraas agreed to get my letter to Prime Minister Stephen Harper personally.

On 10 July 2008 Sandra left a voice message on my telephone indicating that matters were delayed only because "Ottawa is so slow". I never heard from her again.

My complaints to the Prime Minister are outlined in more detail in the Section **Cover-up**. Click on "[M2: Prime Minister Harper](#)" for the details. Other aspects of the cover-up are described in Sections L and M.

Canada's Spy Agency (CSIS) interferes with the Canadian Human Rights Commission (CHRC)

On the advice of a Human Rights activist, I wrote to the Canadian Human Rights Commission (CHRC) bringing my complaint against CSIS (Canada's Spy Agency) to their attention. Amazingly, and this is provable, CSIS interfered with the complaint process and the CHRC backed off.

Click on the subpage, in the menu on the right hand side, under the category "Lies Threats & Cover-up" for a more detailed explanation

I was invited to present my paper "*Corporate Terrorism, Whistle-Blowing, and Failure of Policing*" at McMaster University. Threats, stalking, intimidation and harassment followed.

Click on the subpage, in the menu on the right hand side, under the category "**F4: CHRC / CSIS**" for a more detailed explanation.

Threats re McMaster Univ. Presentation

McMaster University emailed that I could present my paper - *Corporate Terrorism, Whistle-Blowing, and Failure of Policing* - at their Congress. There followed a series of related Threats, Ongoing Stalking, Telephone Harassment, and my eldest son was mugged in the UK.

Click on the subpage, in the menu on the right hand side, under the category "**F5: McMaster University Confirmation**" for a more detailed explanation.

Street Theatre

Street theatre is a known tool of Zersetzen, where CSIS and others act out skits that are aimed at, and often threatening to, the target victim. For example, in one skit a man acted having been stabbed in the throat (2004) and in another earlier skit in Yarm a man appeared to have had his head kicked in (2003).

- 1. 14th street theatre incident (youth chased down hill by hoods – so obvious) – Car surveillance – Motorbike and photo (2007).

Trisha and I were walking down 14th Street in Calgary when a youth ran down in front of us chased by other youths. It was so obvious it was a put on; though it was made to look vicious. I thought it was an implied threat to our children. A few minutes later after we had turned off 14th Street, a car made it plain that we were under surveillance, and a man on a motorbike stopped at the small traffic circle near PDR's house and ostentatiously started

photographing us as we walked past him and then photographed the house.

- 2. Rufus/Fergus incident; P. in lake of the woods – My wife and I were walking my brother-in-laws dogs, Rufus and Fergus, in the early morning of Wed Aug 8 and Aug 9 2007 in Mount Royal, Calgary. A van slowed down beside us and **fired a rock/stone at us** (about 1 inch in diameter). There was a whoosh as if it was a compressed air gun, and the rock, flew between us and nearly hit one of the dogs. It then hit a garage door that was on its path, quite hard (at least with a loud noise). The following morning a 4 by 4 (not the van) slowed down beside us and there was a huge "whoosh" again, the dogs flinched, but nothing appears to have been fired.

F1: Amy threatened again

September 11, 2013 9:48 AM

Amy threatened - 8th Street Bridge (Calgary)

In the Sumer of 2006, my brother-in-law in Calgary attempted to provide help, contracting several professionals to look into this issue. It soon became abundantly clear that **the contracted help** (lawyers, private eyes, etc.) was getting scared off and there is huge 3rd party evidence of this.

- **24/7/06** -- I was in the UK, but I thought it would be useful to document this evidence of the help being scared off. So both by email, and in a telephone call on July 24th, **I asked my daughter Amy in Calgary to write down all that was happening** to the help. We call it the Ringer Report.
- ▲ **26/7/06** – Two days later **Amy was accosted and physically manhandled** on the street in Downtown Calgary as she crossed under the 8th. Street bridge. The hood had followed her from her place of work. As he stopped her from moving and banged her on the shoulder, he said "Don't do it". "Don't even think about it" (i.e. don't write the ringer report that I had asked her to write about the "help" being scared off).

The police officer said he could tell that from his modus operandi that Amy's assailant had had military intelligence operational training (CSIS).

Extract from my daughter, Amy's Affidavit:

On Wednesday, July 26, 2006, at approximately 5:00 p.m., I was intimidated just after leaving work for the day.

I believe that this is the result of my father, Roderick Russell, having sent me an email on July 25, 2006, asking me to help write the ringer report about the ongoing investigation my uncle, Peter Robertson, is conducting from Calgary.

While I was crossing the intersection at 8th Street SW and 10th Avenue SW, I noticed the man from the Starbucks outside area was walking very closely behind me. He walked straight up behind me and tapped me hard on the shoulder. I stopped suddenly and looked at him in shock. I then tried to continue walking. He stopped me and looked me straight in the eye saying forcefully "Don't do it". I looked straight back at him and calmly said "Do what?" he then bent down and moved very close to my face and said "Don't even think about it!" He stared at me for a few more seconds, then turned and walked away, continuing down the road under the bridge. He walked up the stairs on the other side and was gone.

The whole time during this incident I was trying to walk away and ignore the man. However, I was unable to as the man would not let me.

I have no doubt that this incident was intimidation. The man was about 6' 2" and 250 lbs. He was very broad in build. He was wearing a black suit, black shirt and black shiny shoes. In my opinion, he was clearly there to follow me and I am sure he was referring to me being more involved in the investigation.

I walk to work and home from work everyday and those are the only times I am ever completely alone during the day.

As a result of this intimidation, I no longer feel safe walking to and from work.

I believe that this incident is relevant as I have been intimidated before.

Additional comment:

Case # 06340808 – booked by PC Huitt; Reg. # 4187

Quotes from Guidelines for Police: Investigating Criminal Harassment:

2.1 Victim Interview

"Inform the victim that criminal harassment is a criminal offence. Emphasize the seriousness of the offence."

"it is a criminal offence for anyone to try and force you to do something or prevent you from doing something"

(NB - a considerable file of correspondence, including legal opinion, with police and politicians on this matter is available, since there were attempts to cover it up -- suggesting CSIS (Canadian Security Intelligence Service) interference in this matter)



Nov 6, 2006

F2: Apartment Harassment & Much More

April 1, 2013 6:44 AM

My wife and I harassed out of our Apartment in Calgary, Canada

Zersetzen incidents from fall 2007 through the winter of 2008:

- Harassed out of our Apartment in Calgary, Canada
- Shots fired into son's Apartment in Birmingham, UK
- Stalking, Watching, Intimidating, Harassing
- Character assassination program continues

In Aug/Sep 2007 a campaign to **harass us out of our apartment** in Calgary, Canada began.

This included some very **wicked and slanderous emails to my landlord**, who copied me on them all (these emails are available). When they slander - they really go to the extreme! Nasty lies were made about certain of my children, whom the slanderer had never met at the time (he was in the UK): suggesting that someone else (CSIS?) drafted her emails for her.

There were two harassers. One seemed experienced (**Ex East European - CSIS subcontract?**) in this field and she had moved in a couple of weeks after us. Moving in to the same building as a target victim is not uncommon in Zersetzen, and other victims have commented on similar experiences. Indeed I recognized her type straight off - because her modus operandi was so similar to that other East European woman who had harassed us just the year before in Manchester, UK. I thought they may have trained in the same stable.

Here is a clipping from a letter dated 2 June 2008 that I wrote to an Alberta Deputy Minister on this subject:

Harassed out of last Apartment

As I reported to you my wife and I were harassed out of our last building which is the reason we had to move. It was done through a series of poisoness and extremely libelous emails sent to our landlord (who gave us copies). The landlady just believes that the complainant is "loopy" to quote her. I believe that my enemies saw a slightly distressed lady who was upset by the noise throughout the building (I had to wear ear plugs to get to sleep) and cleverly focused her ire on us. And I have reason for making this statement. One of the emails includes this:

- "As a Crisis Social Worker i am aware of the lack of cooperation and lying by Patricia, her husband and their 2 sons; as I have spoken to them in Sept & Oct /07, while they are wearing their shoes in your condo and denying to my face that they were"

My sons are graduates and professionals. They live and work in Europe. They did visit on vacation, but stayed with their sister and not us. We held no parties or late nights in the building whatsoever. How she spoke to my eldest son in Sept and Oct beats me since he did not arrive until Dec 24th.

Shots fired into my son's Apartment in UK:

Shots fired into my son's Apartment in UK:

- Shots fired Nov 2007, **Shots (pellets) were fired into the living room of my eldest son's house** then in Birmingham, UK. Reported to Police (with spent shot handed in) by my son Roderick Russell (same name as myself) and a German National who, both being present and living in the house, were joint complainants and witnesses.
- The lady was further intimidated in Birmingham in this series of threats.

Indeed it was that very same month, Nov 2007, when the campaign began to harass us (his parents) out of our apartment thousands of miles away in Calgary, Canada.

● Character Assassination Program Continues:

In 2007, I had recently arrived in Calgary and decided to have one last crack at job searching. My brother-in-law who is CFO of a NYSE Quoted company based in Calgary contacted several executives on my behalf. Interviews were arranged, but they were also cancelled before they happened. Two temporary accounting agencies, who knew my story, said they had plenty of work and would have no problem getting me something. I never heard from them again. That's what a blacklisting is. Nothing better helps a Zersetzen campaign "to undermine, subvert and corrode" their victim, than to keep him impoverished and ostracized from the community.

Bureaucratic Harassment:

There were a series of other related incidents designed to make things difficult for us. CSIS (?) gets inside a company's systems (or has a friendly insider) and messes around with the systems to harass. These issues all get resolved, but they are designed to make a targeted victim's everyday life difficult:

- 1. **Threats to cut off our electricity** for non-payment. But we didn't know what to pay since the electricity company [Enmax] had never sent us an invoice. Despite our repeated requests we never got an invoice, only more cut-off notices and nobody can explain. This happened 5 times. It took me until Feb 2008 to resolve it. **Same time frame - Nov 2007 - as when Shots were fired at RMR and Jana in Birmingham**
- 2. My wife **hounded for medical insurance bills she didn't owe** in the first place. Made more difficult to resolve because Government had "lost" her file. The matter was only resolved after I contacted the Deputy Minister.

Stalking:

We moved into our new apartment on March 2 2008. There was another series of harassing incidents: On March 8, 2008:

- 1. A **bottle was smashed** outside the window of my new apartment.
- 2. The East European woman from the old apartment, **threw down her gloves** in front of us, and
- 3. **Shook her fists** at us.

On March 9th 2008, I discussed Lichtenstein on the telephone with my eldest son in general terms, and, in particular, commented on Grosvenor's past financing relationship with the Principality which I had advised against all these years ago since, rightly or wrongly, Lichtenstein is associated in people's minds with money laundering and other distasteful transactions.

- **There was heavy and deliberate clicking** on the line when Lichtenstein was discussed – this form of disapproval came from the Interception Center that was monitoring our call, either

in the UK or Canada.

On this date a truck came up and loitered beside me when I went out for a smoke that night, and again the next morning at 7:30 AM.

Weird telephone messages:

15/4/08 – Weird eerie sounding phone messages "Patricia Russell" call 888-606-3976, ref 080423625. There were circa 15 such messages in the preceding 30 days. Amy called the number given to see if there was anything legitimate about the messages, and got an automatic response saying "number not in service"; Circa **15 of these weird calls in last month**. Repeatedly calling a line with a false message (or nothing at all) is a known harassment trick.

More Stalking:

1. On 27/4/08, I was walking from new Apartment towards the Elbow River around 9:30 AM. A block away from me a **stranger kept photographing me**, and then drove off as I got near him.
2. That afternoon, in the Memorial Park library, **a man stood behind me watching** what I was doing on **the computer screen**. I commented on it in an email at the time.
3. Walking home from the library, a vehicle turned across the street, stopping me on the cross walk, in a deliberate way so that its front license plate was pointing straight towards me. It read "**MAFIA**".

The main thrust of this Zersetzen sequence was to harass my wife and I out of our apartment, and make things difficult through ensuring that we were always short of funds through continued blacklisting. The secondary objective was to threaten my children (shots fired) so that their mother and I would be continually stressed. The "bureaucratic harassment", and the additional stalking incidents were just an effort by CSIS to pile on more pressure. This is how a secret police operation conducts Zersetzen torture - they come at you from all angles.

False Vehicle License Plates to Intimidate

We have had 5 such incidents where false license plates were used to intimidate, usually as part of an intimidation sequence that includes other incidents. For example look at what I have written under the caption [RAT/GAG](#).

We also had someone come up to us in the street, strikes up a conversation, and says to us quite casually - I have a friend who uses false license plates all the time, and the police are scared of him; which is just rubbing the intimidation in (when it happens so shortly after an incident where false plates are used).

The incident in Canada using false plates that read "MAFIA" happened in the middle of an intimidation pattern. A car turned right towards me on a crosswalk here in Calgary – so that it was pointing its hood at me on the sidewalk and not down the road (it was turned diagonally rather than straight in the lane so that I would see its front plate and know that it was aimed at me) – its front plate read "MAFIA". If I had seen that passing on the street I would have thought nothing of it, but it was the way it was aimed at me so specifically that made it part of an intimidation sequence.

False plates to intimidate (with a message such as rat/gag) are quite a common tactic, that many victims of Zersetzen have reported. Indeed false license plates are a simple "easy to do" form of intimidation where they are used to send a message.

But false plates are also used to disguise a cars identity. For example:

On May 4/5 2012 I published an internet article "*Fascism in Canada: Are Our Politicians in*

Oversight of Our Spy Agency (CSIS), or is CSIS in Oversight of Them?" Here is the article.



The Article
May 5, 20...

Between May 10 and 14th I was driving a Volvo and had 5 incidents (separate occasions) where the same late model black Mercedes CLS started gyrating around us on the road, driving dangerously, and deliberately drawing attention to itself.

On each occasion I was driving and my wife witnessed it from the passenger seat. For details of these incidents, open the sub-page "**H2 - Mercedes Incidents**" on the menu to the right.

Both CSIS in Canada, and the UK's MI5 are known to have the capacity to manufacture false license plates.

F3: Lies, Threats & Cover-up

April 1, 2013 6:46 AM

The harassment program in Canada between Fall 2007 & Spring 2008 had been just absolutely disgusting.

So on April 30, 2008 I published an article to set the record straight: "Lies, Threats & Government Cover-up - UK and Canada are dangerous places for the victims of a Billionaire Bully"

This is the article:



Lies,
Threats &...

This is what Happened:

- **My wife's government funded health prescription was cancelled**
- **CSIS stops Human Rights Commission from enquiring into my complaint.**
- **Stalking**
- **Telephone Intimidation**

■ Medication Cancellation

On May 24th 2008, my wife went to her pharmacist for her monthly prescription only to find that the government had cancelled it without notice. The pharmacist was amazed saying that this sort of thing didn't usually happen. It seemed the purpose of this deliberate action (***cancellation of medicine without notice, which for her could be life threatening***) was either to deny my wife necessary medication, or to harass her by having her believe that it would be denied until the last moment. We contacted the Deputy Minister and it was sorted out.

A Clipping from my letter to the Ministry:

Wife's Health

My wife requires regular medication. Absence of her prescription could be life threatening to her. On May 24 she went to pick up her monthly prescription at the Co-op, it had been cancelled by government without anybody advising her. The pharmacist was surprised and concerned. She called you a couple of times earlier in the week, finally getting through on Friday and you sorted it out for her. But who cancelled it without notice.

CSIS interferes with CHRC

Go to page marked **F4: CHRC/CSIS** for details of this

Stalking:

There were two incidents of minor stalking - see "some events" paragraph below, items dated 16/10/08 and 18/10/08

Telephone Intimidation:

Not just telephone tapping, but also using the telephone to intimidate and harass. A more detailed description of phones used to intimidate and harass is available [here](#) under the caption J: My Communications

We decided to take our actual examples and complain to the telephone company

Complaints to the TELUS CEO

Two of the complaints were in writing by Peter Robertson, my brother-in-law-, whose telephone line I was using some of the time. Here are the letters that Peter wrote to the President & CEO of TELUS. They are self-explanatory.



29-4-08 P
to Telus



18-8-08 P
to Telus

On 22/5/08 Peter Robertson and myself talked with Telus's Security Supervisor. She said that they only taped telephones on specific requests from the Police (and CSIS?). The target would only find out their phone had been taped when the matter came to court, or an investigation ended. So what some other people, as well as myself, believe happens in Canada is this:

CSIS taps innocent people at will, without any proper control over them. The public only learns that tapping has taken place when the matter comes to Court, or when the investigation ends. But when illegal phone tapping takes place, (1) the matter will never come to Court, and (2) the pretend investigation will never be formally ended - so the public never learns that illegal tapping has taken place.

TELUS / CSIS interfering with phone calls:

Finally on Friday October 31st 2008, PMR (Mrs. Patricia Russell) called the TELUS 310 2255 number.

Two separate contacts were made at Telus, each of which was cut off midstream during the conversation.

On a 3rd contact TELUS could not explain:

1. why our telephone voice mail had not been working for several weeks
2. where messages we know were left on the "voice mail" had gone (i.e. CSIS information centre)
3. why our calls were cut off in midstream.

When our voicemail finally recovers all the messages are lost (note connection to CHRC & CSIS).

View PMR's (Patricia Russell) note on her conversations with Telus written at the time:



31-10-08
PMR calls ...

▲ **It's clear that the TELUS staff new something odd and very improper was**

happening and didn't know how to handle it. So they kept cutting her off.

Some events:

- 7/5/08 – am – **Sandra Fraas – will get directly to PM.**
- 12/5/08 – 2 telephone calls, no answer, munching sound.
- 13/5/08 -- O supervisor Tracy Wilson
- 22/5/08** – **Peter and self talk to TELUS Security** – unhelpful but advised they don't tap without police say so.
- 24/5/08 (Saturday) **Alberta Gov. cancels medicine**; coop informed, not us.
Prescription picks up problem. Who cancelled it without notice?
- 26/5/08 – Trisha calls O – no reply
- 27/5/08 – Trisha calls O
- 30/5/08 (Friday) – Trisha talks to O.
- 2/6/08 - Formal complaint to Deputy Minister Howe resolves it
- 15/6/08** – RMR calls c. 12.10 pm – I heard ringing for 3 calls. RMR says first call answered to fax tones, second to answer machine message, third connected
- 8/7/08 – 9.30 another Patricia Russell message.
- 10/7/08 – Sandra Fraas left telephone message – "Ottawa just slow."
- 11/8/08** – Catherin (name??) (789-495-8680) called Trisha this AM from Canadian human rights commission re my call to them a month ago saying she would call me this afternoon. She did not call. I called her twice and left messages – no response from her.
- 18/8/08** – 12.30 AM R. called saying he had tried to leave many messages that didn't work – no message service or fax tone. T. calls to say my voicemail not working properly. P to send another letter to TELUS. 2.00 pm called Catherin again
- 19/8/08** – Telephone message blanked, but could return call, call returned to 403-234-8169 which said line not in use (odd). Called Catherin again, left message, still no response.
- 10/9/08 – Amy left voice message with Catherin.
- 11/9/08 – I called Catherin and left a voice message.
- ▲ 13/9/08 – Roderick called about 15 times. Rang here but no one on line. Finally got through.
- 29/8/08 – **Phil Chamberlain** email to Amy of 29/9/08 confirms emails sent to me didn't get through.
- 13/10/08** –RR. called & mentioned that yesterday (Sunday) he had tried calling 6 times. The one did not even go to my voicemail.
- 16/10/08 – noticeably watched by two people in library. China guy who tried to start up street conversation being one. Emailed peter.
- 18/10/08 – Under **overt surveillance** from man on yellow motorbike. Trisha & Self
- ▲ 19/10/08 – Amy called. Apparently on Friday c. 4.00 pm she called 3 times and there was no voicemail.

NB - Annotated ** Items also included on other pages (CHRC|CSIS and Telephone | Telus)

F4: CHRC | CSIS

April 1, 2013 6:47 AM

Canada's Spy Agency (CSIS) interferes with the Canadian Human Rights Commission (CHRC)

On the advice of a Human Rights activist, I wrote to the Canadian Human Rights Commission (CHRC) bringing my complaint against CSIS (Canada's Spy Agency) to their attention.

- They phoned me at home on 11/8/2008 to discuss the case. I was not in and so their lady (Catherine) arranged with my wife that she would call back early that afternoon to talk to me. Her line number was 780.495.8680. She did not call back as she had arranged. Indeed I called her numerous times and left messages on her voicemail and she never returned any of my calls. She had been frightened off our case.

What had happened after that call to my wife that morning that caused her not to call back as she had promised to do? Had CSIS, who tap my phones and would have known that she had called us, contacted the CHRC and scared them off?

- On August 19, 2008 Catherine called while we were out and did leave a message on my TELUS voicemail. But **the message (about 20 seconds long) had been wiped and was blank.** However, I was able to call her back and leave another message since call return was still working. She did not return my calls.
- **TELUS then managed to lose the record of all the voice messages (to dump the evidence presumably).** In the end my daughter Amy called Catherine to make the point on Sept 10th and I left my final voice message on Sept 11th. I never did receive a call from the CHRC. Clearly the Spy agency "CSIS" had warned off Canada's Human Rights Commission, the "CHRC".
- Two years later on **March 4, 2010** I posted the above example of CSIS interfering with CHRC on Craig Murray's blog. Later that afternoon my wife and I were both intimidated in Calgary, and my younger son, TPR, called March 7, saying he had reported intrusions March 4-6 to the Manchester police.

Threatened for reporting on Craig Murray blog about Spy Agency CSIS's interference with CHRC ~.

On March 4, 2010 I posted this example of CSIS interfering with a human rights agency on former Ambassador Craig Murray's blog.

Later that afternoon **my wife and I were both intimidated in Calgary** (Returning from Marda Loop with PMR – 2 cars observing us (Jag X + BMW); strangely parked, on consecutive corners, flashing headlights (in daytime) at us - typical stalking behaviour. Also TPR called from Zurich, Switzerland on March 7, saying he had reported intrusions that happened on March 4-6 while he was in Manchester, UK to the Manchester police.

Here is the Blog posting that resulted in the 2010 intimidation:

Amnesty is not alone. For example, on the advice of a local HR person, I wrote to the Canadian Human Rights Commission. They phoned me at home on 11/8/2008 to discuss the case. I was not in and so their lady arranged with my wife that she would call back on a conference call early that afternoon. Her private line number was 780.495.8680 and I think her name was Catherine. She did not call back as arranged with my wife. I left innumerable messages and she never returned any of my calls. Something happened after that call to my wife that morning that caused her to avoid me. Most likely CSIS (who tap my phones) contacted CHRC and advised them not to pursue their contact with me.

This is a most unusual case. Read the WIKI and see for yourself, but keep an open mind. I make no apologies for my comments on the human rights industry; their behavior has been absolutely shocking. Had they helped then all that we have had to put up with would have been stopped long ago. The plain fact is they will not touch cases that involve the high establishment.

Posted by: [Roderick Russell](#) at March 4, 2010 12:54 AM

F5: McMaster Univ. Confirmation

April 1, 2013 6:48 AM

McMaster University Confirmation (and threats, phone interference, mugging)

On Oct 26th 2008, McMaster University emailed that my paper - *Corporate Terrorism, Whistle-Blowing, and Failure of Policing* - "has been accepted for oral presentation" at their Congress.

Followed by:

- **Implied threat against daughter**
- **Numerous telephone issues**
- **Son mugged**

▲ The very next day a stranger told my wife that it was the anniversary of the killing of her "**murdered daughter**" and that she would lay flowers on her grave - My wife has never had a conversation like this before, and given the timing and fact that the lady was unusually cheerful; we felt it was an **implied threat**.

Over the next two weeks there were innumerable "telephone incidents". Several strange/weird calls. All the voice messages we had saved were lost and TELUS couldn't retrieve them.

Then the voicemail stopped functioning altogether, and TELUS couldn't retrieve any of the messages that came through over this period either.

Ultimately the telephone itself stopped functioning for a few days. My wife called TELUS twice to enquire, only to get cut-off twice in mid conversation. The 3rd time she was not cut off, but TELUS was unable (or unwilling) to explain what had happened.

■ When the phone reconnected (Nov 17, 2008), my eldest son, then thousands of miles away in Birmingham, UK, was finally able to get through and called us to tell us that he had been **mugged** earlier that week by 10 guys - mobbed, punched and his mobile phone stolen

Events:

27/10/08 – Trisha – flowers for murdered daughter. Same day as University confirmation.

Telephone # 403 229 0864 voice mail - * 31/10/08 Trisha Complaint**

7/11/08 – voicemail not functioning again (asked P. to cut off voicemail and bought answering machine.

12/11/08 – strange telephone call "please hold while we connect you" then nothing.

13/11/08 – 1.15 pm call rings no one on line.

17/11/08 – (Monday) Amy mentions our landline is not working. R. mentions in off since Sunday. Problem is switching, cured at 3.00 pm Tuesday 18 after p. contacted them.

20/11/08 – (Thursday) call from r. he was mugged by 10 black guys, punched and his mobile stolen. – happened earlier this week. My telephone out till Tuesday, r. mugged and phone stolen

- Diary: Oct 27 2008 receive **confirmation (from McMaster Univ as to speech)** dated Oct 26. On Oct 27 day **lady buys flowers from Trisha to put on grave of murdered daughter**; lady seems remarkably cheery.

A selection of some of the intimidation and harassment in 2009 and 2010:

- **Email Hacked into - 2,000 messages eliminated**
- **Computer Intrusion - Hacked into and information changed**
- **Cyber Bullying & Suicide Smear**
- **More Stalking**

Computer Intrusions (Professional Hacking)

Three (of many) examples of "Computer Intrusion" are cited. In each case my computer was hacked into and critical information interfered with or changed. In one case the change was made manually, in a second case a sophisticated computer algorithm was used; and in a third case, the change was to erroneously adjust information I was pasting into a Web Site.

In each case, there are files available with full examples of what happened

Click on the subpage, in the menu on the right-hand side, under the category "**G1: Computer Intrusion**" for details of four of the intrusions (though many more have happened).

Email Obliterated - 2,000 Messages Destroyed

On 9/12/09 I got calls from friends telling me that earlier that morning at 3:25 AM a strange message had been sent from my then main email account: rtmrussell_ba_ca@yahoo.ca (no longer used).

The account had been criminally hacked into, confidential information was stolen, all my past messages (some 2,000 of them) were obliterated and a completely false message sent to those on my address list.

Indeed the purpose behind the attack on my account was to eliminate these past messages, as some of these messages are evidentiary and the security / intelligence agencies in the UK and Canada could have an interest in destroying them.

The fraudulent email to my contacts did make a request for money. However, it was clearly a bogus excuse, to disguise the real reason for obliterating my emails (i.e. to destroy evidence), since the criminal hackers didn't bother to tell the email's recipients where to send the money!

This was reported to the Calgary Police. As I advised Yahoo, its purpose was to destroy some evidence contained in the emails. I asked Yahoo in writing if they "could restore the eliminated messages for me". Yahoo were unable to recover any of the emails.

Suicide Smear

Messages from professional internet trolls began to appear on the internet erroneously linking me with suicide. Thoroughly nasty and untruthful, these kinds of smearing messages are just par for the course with Zersetzen. Indeed there have been worse smears than this.

The various differing smears are separated over several years. But put all the smears together as if they had all happened at the same time, then rumours have been spread that wrongly paint me as -- *a grossly incompetent employee, who has had some relationship with the drug trade, is a deranged suicidal maniac and a sexual deviant to boot.* That's Zersetzen for you. The Stasi called this type of smearing - KOMPROMAT

Click on the subpage, in the menu on the right-hand side, under the category "**G2: Suicide Smear**" for some examples of what was on the internet, and a more detailed explanation.

More CHRC | CSIS Intimidation - March 4, 2010

Back in 2008 I had commented on my experiences with the Canadian Human Rights Commission. Other victims of Zersetzen have made similar comments [see F4: CHRC | CSIS]

Again 2 years later on March 4, 2010 under the caption "Rare TV Appearance" I had commented on the Craig Murray blog outlining how the Canadian Human Rights Commission were cowed by Canada's Spy Agency "CSIS". Here is what I wrote:

For example, on the advice of a local HR person, I wrote to the Canadian Human Rights Commission. They phoned me at home on 11/8/2008 to discuss the case. I was not in and so their lady arranged with my wife that she would call back on a conference call early that afternoon. Her private line number was 780.495.8680 and I think her name was Catherine. She did not call back as arranged with my wife. I left innumerable messages and she never returned any of my calls. Something happened after that call to my wife that morning that caused her to avoid me. Most likely CSIS (who tap my phones) contacted CHRC and advised them not to pursue their contact with me.

- Later that afternoon **my wife and I were both intimidated in Calgary** (Returning from Marda Loop with PMR – 2 cars observing us (Jag X + BMW); strangely parked, on consecutive corners, flashing headlights (in daytime) at us - typical stalking behaviour.
- ▲ Also TPR called from Zurich, Switzerland on March 7, saying he had reported intrusions that happened on March 4-6 while he was in Manchester, UK to the Manchester police.

Cyber Bullying and Threatening comments on a Blog:

- 1) June 10, 2010 12:42 AM - This warning message was posted on the Craig Murray blog by a known professional troll calling himself "Larry from St. Louis" at June 10, 2010 12:42 AM.

"For egging on Roderick Russell, if someone horribly happens to him or someone around him, you'll own part of it. And you won't care."

- 2) Nov 21 2010 - I commented critically on the CM blog about the relationship between MI5/6 - CSIS and the press. 10 minutes later the following comment came up:

"I have just lost the person I completely and utterly really love. And you know there is no going back. You cannot get back what you have lost - and it is all your fault."

3) When I next reconnected my computer to the internet, the message
“House of Tears” came up on my screen.

G1: Computer Intrusion

May 31, 2013 11:48 AM

● 2 April 2009 – Mostlywater

This is an example of how my computer was illegally hacked into, and some key documentation relating to me (Roderick Russell) manually altered.

On 2 April 2009, my computer reported an intrusion. The next day I noticed that the "Mostlywater" reference that is in my database, as part of URLs I use to connect to some articles I have published, had been changed so that it wouldn't work. In other words so that if it was clicked on, it wouldn't open anything since the URL reference was spelt wrongly.

The A in "mostlywater" had been changed to an O so that the URL link would not work. What should have read "mostlywater" now read -"mostlywater". It's not easy to spot the difference when it's done in smaller print - mostlywater or mostlywater - and is part of a long URL.

■ July 15 2009 – Wiki-Dot/Slash

This is another example of how my computer was illegally hacked into, and some key documentation altered -- to mislead Parliament

This time, instead of making a manual alteration, sophisticated computer techniques have been used.

An item that is part of a URL link while appearing to be a "dot" actually converts to a slash when clicked on so it doesn't work.

For example, on this site that I use for some of my papers – "zersetzen.wikispaces" when clicked on, the dot automatically switches to a "/" (i.e. slash) so that what one actually sends is "zersetzen/wikispaces" which of course doesn't work.

The real link is <http://zersetzen.wikispaces.com>

On an email sent to a Parliamentary Committee the URL still appears correctly on the email as a dot, but acts as a slash on the Internet so that the URL Link won't connect.

It also serves as **another example of how contemptuous CSIS are of Parliament** since they were very deliberately altering a communication sent to a Parliamentary Committee (copies of the emails sent to Parliamentarians that include the fraudulent adjustments referred to above are available for inspection).

■ Nov 9, 2009 - Pat Buchanan's Site

Hacker adjusts a well-known blog so URLs relating to me don't work

The URL linkage to the site <http://zersetzen.wikispaces.com> appeared in a comment I

published on the Buchanan site - but it had 2 commas deliberately added to it by a hacker so that the URL link didn't work.

This is a 3rd type of interference - where I had entered the correct link reference, but somebody had clearly hacked into my site and was able to adjust the URL reference I had posted on Pat Buchanan's site, in real time as I posted the correct link, so that it wouldn't work.

Here is what happened:


1. At 3.12 PM I posted a comment on the Buchanan site under the name RODRUS. As usually with longer comments, I typed it in WORD and then cut and pasted it on the site. What I had in word for the URL linkage was correct.
2. At 3.22 PM I noticed that though my comment was published in the web site, **the URL linkage had had 2 commas added so that it didn't work.** I immediately notified the site (see my 3.22 PM comment) and you can read what I said to them on the attached screen clipping taken from the Site



rodrus

November 9th, 2009 at 3:22 PM [Log in to Reply](#)

The Web site reference previously sent should not have commas (i.e ??) at the end of it. I don't know how the commas appeared in my comment since I didn't put them there; The commas have probably been added so that the site URL won't work. Please remove them for me. If you read the Wiki, you will understand that the story is about an intelligence operation and I am used to this sort of sophisticated interference. Roderick Russell



Linda

November 9th, 2009 at 8:42 PM [Log in to Reply](#)

OK - I fixed the link and removed the odd characters [??]. Don't know why they were inserted.

At 8.42 PM Linda, the site administrator, adjusted the URL Link to make it correct, notified me that she had made the adjustment and that she couldn't explain how the errors happened.

I have noticed several other similar adjustments to my URLs but usually concentrate on fixing it rather than recording it.

■ **May 2014 - Lobster Magazine - "Hanky panky"**

This is an example of how a magazine's computer was hacked into and key information relating to me (Roderick Russell) was altered.

In its Summer 2014 edition Lobster Magazine published the following article in its editorial comment "The View from the Bridge" giving a plug to a Paper I had recently published, "Zersetzen Some Precedents" that outlines 25 publicised cases of where Zersetzen has happened to others. Here is a clipping of the article in Lobster:

Zersetzen

Roderick Russell has written a very good 25 page paper,

³⁵ I was pointed at this by T.J. Coles who wrote on chemtrails in *Lobster* 64 at <<http://lobster-magazine.co.uk/free/lobster64/lob64-chemtrails.pdf>>.

'Zersetzen: Some Precedents'.³⁶ Of this paper Russell writes:

'Zersetzen is a process of character assassination and threats developed by the former communist East German secret police, the "STASI", to persecute dissidents. Shockingly, as our spy agencies morph into a secret police, they are using Zersetzen today to persecute whistle blowers and enemies of power elites. Zersetzen is the biggest threat to democracy since the cold war. The purpose of this paper is to provide examples – precedents – of where Zersetzen/ Cointelpro has happened to others, and to do so in a way that you can check the facts out on the Internet for yourself. Some 25 cases of Zersetzen in the UK, Canada and USA are reviewed.'³⁷

Screen clipping taken: 2014-05-30 11:18 AM

www.lobster-magazine.co.uk

Footnote #36, which is referred to in the above article (the actual footnote is not included here), contains a detailed URL reference that when clicked on was supposed to lead back to my Paper "*Zersetzen Some Precedents*". **This URL reference had been tampered with and changed within Lobster's own database**, so that when clicked on by one of Lobster's reader's it didn't open and the article could not be read.

Lobster's Editor wrote about this intrusion under the headline "**Hanky-panky**" as follows:

Hanky-panky

Roderick Russell, author the paper on *Zersetzen* discussed below, reported that the link to that paper I had given was incorrect. Indeed it was: as

[http://zersetzen.wikispaces.com/file/view/
Zersetzen+25+Precedents+%283%29.pdf/491580646/Zersetzen%2025%20Precedents%20%283%29.pdfg](http://zersetzen.wikispaces.com/file/view/Zersetzen+25+Precedents+%283%29.pdf/491580646/Zersetzen%2025%20Precedents%20%283%29.pdfg)

it had a 'g' on the end which made it invalid: the file wouldn't open. This wasn't a typo on my part; I lifted that URL from an e-mail from Russell as this:

[http://zersetzen.wikispaces.com/file/view/Zersetzen+25+Precedents+
%283%29.pdf/491580646/Zersetzen%2025%20Precedents%20%283%29.pdf](http://zersetzen.wikispaces.com/file/view/Zersetzen+25+Precedents+%283%29.pdf/491580646/Zersetzen%2025%20Precedents%20%283%29.pdf)

No 'g' at the end. The 'g' has been added to disable the link. Russell commented:

'I get hacked into all the time and I have come to expect it. Indeed I had a discussion about this with Microsoft on the internet a couple of months ago. These professional hackers are very sophisticated with lots of money to spend – firewalls, anti-virus programs mean nothing to them. The key is to be watchful and very well backed up.'

Screen clipping taken: 2014-05-30 11:16 AM

G2: Suicide Smear

September 24, 2013 11:20 AM

Here are two very nasty "cyber" smears that falsely try to link my name with the word "suicide" on the internet. I was able to trace some of these "suicide smears" back to their source and advised the police.

Two of many similar items. The objective of these smears appears to be more harassment and character assassination by falsely linking my name with suicide. It is a very nasty series of public statements to put on the internet.- but then that's Zersetzen.

These people falsely link my name (and my full contact details - telephone number and address) all over the Internet with SUICIDE; another SMEAR. I first noticed it in May 2010 and, having traced the message back to source, involved the police in May.

Here are two of these viciously libellous lies that appeared on the internet:

[How to commit suicide without being scared - How to commit suicide ...](#)

8 hours ago - **Roderick Russell #207**, 1733 -27 Ave. SW Calgary AB T2T

and

1G9 Canada 403.229.0864 Feb, 2010. 156 In Suicide. Not answered yet.
Answer this question now to ...

www.answermetrue.com/How_to_commit_suicide_without_being_scared-qa2115.html

I contacted the Calgary Police and, at their request, prepared a detailed written statement, and provided them with full details on my overall Zersetzen complaint as well - which they have on record. My covering email to the police begins as follows:

"Thank you for collecting my statement. Obviously my major concern is that having been threatened with murder for years, it just makes it easier to push me under a bus (or throw me out of a window) if there are smears falsely linking my name with suicide.

My view is that this was a deliberate professionally done smear. The site owner was professional, and professionals simply don't allow smears like this on to a site or to stay on a site for such an extended period. Particularly when one recalls that I made strenuous attempts to get the smear removed...."

Since I was absolutely appalled by this smear, and believed that it should have been investigated, I also wrote the Calgary police on May 13, 2010:

"The defamation that still continues today is as much a part of the criminal conspiracy as it is a civil matter. Not by any means a normal slandering, it has much of the appearance of a hate crime and was designed to render me impoverished and ostracized from all contacts, and professionals were hired to achieve this. It was the first step in the no touch torture campaign ... it was (1) fraudulently concealed ... (2)

involved the intelligence services, and (3) is the motive for the more direct criminal threats that came later.”

It is a criminal offense to encourage suicide in Canada (CCC 241) - not to mention a hate crime. I was able to identify where these statements originated, and provide proof to the Calgary Police. The police did nothing.

H: 2011 | 2012 Zersetzen Calgary

September 23, 2013 11:31 AM

- **Complaint to SIRC - Covered up - More intimidation & Harassment follows**
- **Truck Driven at me | 14 other dangerous Motor Vehicle Incidents**
- **Stalking - Computer Harassment - Email Hacking - Telephone Tapping & Call Interception**

SIRC | CSIS Complaint

Over the Spring/Summer of 2011 I formally complained to the SIRC (Security Intelligence Review Committee) about the illegal persecution activities (Zersetzen) being carried out by Canada's Spy Agency, CSIS (Canadian Security Intelligence Service).

The SIRC, which has a history of whitewashing similar complaints, did not seek to discuss my complaint with myself, nor did they contact a single witness.

Indeed **I was intimidated & harassed some 20 times just for making the complaint to the SIRC.** As I wrote the SIRC

"I should mention that neither your committee, nor any of your people, has ever met or discussed this with me, or checked with any of my witnesses. As I wrote you on Sep 15, CSIS seems contemptuous of the SIRC since we have been intimidated for making this complaint to you."

Click on [M5: SIRC | CSIS Complaint](#) for details of my complaint, and of the SIRC's cover-up, and some details of the additional intimidation that followed in an attempt to stop my wife and I from making further complaints.

CSIS Stops LT Telephone Calls

CSIS tries to Cut off Telephone Contact:

- August 30 2011. LT telephone conversation with Trisha re thwarted attempts to call us, and her email follow up quoting the erroneous messages: "telephone number discontinued"

The Crowfoot Stalking:

- On March 30, 2012 following a luncheon, LT was dropping Trisha (PMR) off at the Crowfoot LRT train station at approx. 3 p.m. on Friday March 30th, when LT said that a man was walking past the car watching Trisha and pointing his finger at Trisha. She told Trisha to lock the car door and wait until he had walked a short distance past before Trisha opened it to leave. As he was walking LT said that he was talking on his cell phone and had turned around to write down the licence number of her car.

Also see first paragraph on this link [H4: A Subtle Accident Threat](#) for further explanation.

Skidding truck Incidents

In this I describe how **a truck was run at me** the day after I had contacted Jeff Stein of the **Washington Post** by email on March 4/5 2011 and described by email what happened after my wife and I had taken our story to the Guardian six years before.

Click on the subpage, in the menu on the right hand side, under the category "**H1:Skidding Truck**" for a more detailed explanation

Computer Harassment

Between March 31 2011 and April 3 2011 I was working online on my brother-in-laws computer drafting an update of what I call the "Aid Memoir" document (a document that further details some of the Zersetzen events).

There were multiple **computer intrusions** while drafting this Report; details are in my diary. Not just observing what I was doing, but interfering in real time as if the criminals had their own keyboard attached to the computer and were operating it in the background.

For example: unusual spacing games messing documents up so that spaces would appear where they shouldn't, or font sizes changed to make them too big, or too small, or paragraphs changed and rotated 90° or phrases deleted as if there was another keyboard operating in the background. Finally **Word was hacked into and made inoperative**, so that one could neither open a new word file, or save an already opened word file.

The purpose of these intrusions was to harass, since it didn't stop me completing the Report on an offline computer.

Mercedes Incidents / other Vehicle Threats in 2012

This includes Stalking with a vehicle - Implied threats of Accident and Injury - **14** separate vehicle threats in 2012 alone (12 of these threats are corroborated by witness testimony)

Click on the subpage, in the menu on the right hand side, under the categories "**H2: Mercedes Incidents**" for a more detailed explanation

Zurich Incidents

I published a critical article while in Zurich in August 2012, and a family member and friend were nearly run off the road near Zurich 6 hours later.

Click on the subpage, in the menu on the right hand side, under the category "**H3: Zurich Incidents**" for more detail

A Subtle "Accident" Threat

In contrast with some of the Mercedes Incidents, see above, this is a more subtle threat to cause accident or injury in a vehicle.

Click on the subpage, in the menu on the right hand side, under the categories "**H4: A Subtle "Accident Threat"**" for a more detailed explanation

Sundry

- 1. On March 3, 2012, my email account "clyn2der" was hacked into, and my contact list obliterated. Unlike the previous hacking (12/9/09) of one of my email accounts - ["Email Obliterated: 2,000 Messages Destroyed"](#) - my messages were not obliterated.
- 2. On March 11, 2011 a false dinner delivery was made to our apartment on 18th avenue. Apparently it was ordered by a "Carla" 403.542.6792.

H1: Skidding Truck

May 28, 2013 10:35 AM

Skidding Truck incident (Calgary)

On March 4/5th 2011, I emailed Jeff Stein of the “**Washington Post**” about my “**The Guardian**” incidents. These were the well-witnessed threats that had happened 6 years before because my wife and I had gone to the Guardian with our story. We were now living in Calgary, Canada. CSIS would have known of the contact with Mr. Stein since they monitor my emails (and telephone).

The following day, at 12:05 on Sunday 6 March 2011, I was walking down 30 Avenue in Calgary near the corner with 14th Street SW. Two hoods **skidded across the road deliberately and dangerously in a truck just as I walked past them.**

They then got out of the truck. When I questioned the larger of the two guys (6’ 4” plus) about his dangerous driving asking him if it was deliberate and aimed at me, he started swearing and **got very threatening**. I was told **you don’t “know your place”**. I told them that this is about basic justice and rule of law, and abuse of power.

As the smaller guy got into his own vehicle (a smaller 4 by 4) to drive off I asked him to tell his handlers what I had said, and he said he would.

As my mail, emails and phone are all monitored it is unlikely that the Washington Post had anything to do with this particular threat. However, when I tried to contact Mr. Stein again, the reply was a form email saying that he had just left on a three month sabbatical and would answer my email when he returned (I’m still waiting!).

H2: Mercedes Incidents

June 3, 2013 10:44 AM

last May 2012 on 5 separate occasions in one week, May 10 to 14 2012, here in Calgary, the same Mercedes car, with the same driver, gyrated around my wife and I in a dangerous manner that could only have been intimidation since it happened 5 times in one week with the same car and same driver involved – the clear intent being to impose a threat (of a vehicle accident or worse).

Just a week earlier I had published an internet article on several internet sites that poses the question - "**Are Our Politicians in oversight of Our Spy Agency (CSIS), or is CSIS in Oversight of Them**"

Here it is:



The Article
May 5, 20...

Less than a week later, on Thursday May 10 PM I went to pick up PMR at Safeway at the end of a shift (6 or 7). I was early so I parked in the car park and walked in the direction of the store.

- (1) A Black Mercedes CLS (late model, looked new) turned the corner (at the flower shop end) in front of me to drive past the front of the store. He was a middle aged man driving alone with no passengers. As he turned in front of the store he suddenly, and briefly, accelerated very rapidly (and dangerously - since it was right beside the store entrance where people come out) deliberately drawing attention to himself. Either he had momentarily lost control and pressed the throttle hard, or it was another incident.

Since I was early I walked back into the car park away from the store

- (2) A few minutes later on my way back to the store, the same black Mercedes turned (in front of me) on the same corner as before, and suddenly, and briefly (just as before) accelerated very rapidly (same car, same driver, same acceleration gimmick, at same corner). Clearly it was deliberate. In both incidents I clearly saw the driver as I was meant to.
- (3) Earlier Morning, Fri May 11– On way to Doggy Park, where we were taking the dogs for their morning walk, just as I made the final left turn onto the road by the Doggy park, a parked Mercedes (just at the turn) started flashing its headlights at us, drawing attention to itself. I didn't see the driver but it was the same black new model Mercedes as before.
- (4) Monday AM – On our way to Signal Hill from Mount Royal, Calgary, I stopped at the (red) traffic lights, just on the Marda Loup side of the Crowchild Trail overpass. When the light changed, the same late model black Mercedes CLS (identical model and colour to Thursday May 10 car), that had stopped beside me at the lights in the inner lane, accelerated away very rapidly away drawing attention to itself. Both myself and PMR observed this, though we didn't see who the driver was.
- (5) A few minutes later I slowed to stop at the traffic lights on 37th St. & Richmond (where the Esso and Husky gas stations are). Just before the lights we came up to the same new model Black Mercedes CLS sitting right over on the left by the turn. It was sitting oddly at an angle to the lane skewed about 30 degrees to the left and over the yellow line. I recognised the same driver as I had seen at the store (same car, same

driver). Just as I was about to arrive on its right quarter (I was in the middle lane), the Mercedes took off and accelerated very rapidly (skidding as it slewed around) right in front of the traffic lights over the yellow line into the Esso station. It went right across the front of the station and off to the back where I lost sight of it.

I kept blasting the Volvo's horn at it. Both PMR and I saw the driver; it was the same driver whom I had seen in incident (1) and (2) above.

- (6) Picking PMR up early evening. I had left the Volvo parked. When I started the transmission malfunctioned. I tried again, and it malfunctioned again. I turned off the engine, started it up again and everything worked - the Transmission incidents, very strange ????

These incidents are just another example of stalking (that constitutes criminal harassment in Canadian Law), but there is also a more threatening component implied such as an accident.

In the previous 12 months, my daughter had two tyre blowouts on the highway, and has found nails in a tyre (causing punctures) three time in one month – and the probability of them all being accidental coincidences is infinitesimally small.

H3: Zurich Incidents

June 3, 2013 11:28 AM

- Twelve dangerous car incidents in Canada in one year
- Nearly driven off the road in Zurich

Last August (2012) Trisha and I vacationed in Switzerland. While in Zurich I published a short article on Aug 17th 2012, in Media Lens entitled "**Hardy Surprising - The Guardian is too close to MI5/MI6**".

- That same evening on their way back from Zurich to their home, my eldest son and his lady friend, who was driving, were **nearly driven off the road** by a car which the lady said came within less than a centimeter of hitting them. The Security Agencies may have believed that I was in the same car since we had just had a meal downtown together and were returning to the same house; though I had chosen to return to their home by public transport as I normally do for obvious reasons.

Of course, it could have been just a drunk driver; but in the past year there have been other vehicle incidents – though not Guardian related - that could not have all been coincidental. Here is the article that I published:



Media Lens
17-8-23 G...

- The day after we returned to Canada (September 1, 2012), Trisha and I were walking across a crosswalk (crossing at corner of 8th Street & 17th Ave.) when a police car, that must have been loitering close to the marked crossing, suddenly turned its siren on (less than a 100 feet before the crosswalk) and with its siren screaming accelerated rapidly across the crossing we were walking on, missing us by about 3 – 5 feet.
- Whether these troubling incidents were deliberate, as I believe, or just a series of unusual coincidences, there have been a number of other incidents involving vehicles and the probability of them all (or indeed any of these incidents) being coincidental is rather small. Here is my published comments on some of them:



13-12
Media Le...

H4: A Subtle "Accident" Threat

September 25, 2013 11:34 AM

Milder Zersetzen – Tampering with Tyre/Break assembly

On Dec 8 2012 on a Blog, Prism, and again on Dec 10 on another blog, I made the following comment:

"... our Prime Minister, Mr. Harper is aware of the issues I have raised since they have been put before him several times. Indeed people known personally to Mr. Harper have been surveyed and stalked just for having lunch with my wife."

This truthful public statement set off the following intimidation sequence.

1. On Dec 13 2012 I received a "no return number" call on my Cell phone that said "Louise Thomas from Gatineau Quebec" and nothing else. This was unusual since I don't know any "Louise Thomas from Gatineau Quebec". However, the similarity in name between "Louise Thomas from Gatineau" and the lady (LT) referred to in the above quote is such that I anticipated another intimidation sequence re my comment on the Prime Minister. The call was not genuine; it was passing a subtle message as to what the intimidation sequence would be about – i.e. my quoted comment above.
2. On Dec 14 2012 at 4pm approx. my wife (PMR) and I were in our daughter Amy's car, which I was driving. It was the first time we had used a car for other than a very short journey (less than a mile) since the "Mercedes Incidents" six months before. We had visited Ikea and Walmart. In Walmart a man had bumped across me, in a rather unusual manner, and I had stopped to avoid him, looked up and seen another man staring directly at me in a very deliberate manner so as to draw attention to himself.
- 3. Driving home from Walmart via the MardaLoup Safeway, we turned into the alleyway that leads to my parking garage and suddenly the **car started a shrieking noise** (shrieking as if moving metallic parts were rubbing badly against each other). The noise came from the front - only when moving. It was extremely loud and I assumed that something hard or metallic had been placed near the front tyre/brake assembly and that we were lucky that it had fallen into place when we were driving slowly in the bumpy alleyway and not, earlier on, when we were at speed on the highway.

This is an example of one of the very subtle actions that Zersetzen often uses to disguise the intimidation and underlying threat. However, bear in mind that we have had more than a dozen threats (Mercedes Incidents, Skidding truck, etc.) in the past year alone, some very direct, of vehicle accidents.

If you look at it you can see that the three incidents of intimidation together deliver a very clear threat – to either cause, or threaten a motor vehicle accident.

J: My Communications & Snooping

September 13, 2013 11:39 AM

My communications - Mail, Email and Telephone - are:

- **Opened & Read, or Tapped & Listened to**
- **Cut off**
- **Interfered & Tampered with**
- **Used to Intimidate**

Cut Off: Computer - Email - Mail - Telephone

Here is what a **former senior Canadian Intelligence Operative, Gareth Llewellyn, wrote** in one of his article about CSIS interfering with his communications.

"Living under CSIS investigation means that reliable contact is difficult or impossible. Sometimes the phone lines sound busy or disconnected or reassigned to a 3rd party when they're not, or you may get referred to a voicemail that isn't really recording after the beep. E-mail is seldom received by me, and CSIS is prone to impersonating other people, perhaps even me. I haven't received a single call on my cell phone throughout the summer"

My experience and that of others is exactly the same as Mr. Llewellyn's. The security services use their control of your communication systems (Computer, Email, Mail, Telephone) to cut their victims off whenever it suits their purpose.

In his book "*Covert Entry: Spies, Lies and Crimes Inside Canada's Secret Service*" on p. 80-2, Author A. Mestrovic describes how a CSIS Operative illegally (though routinely) interfered with the mail at Canada Post. I know that letters sent to myself and my wife (even parcels) have failed to be delivered and that others have been opened.

Electronic communications are even easier to intercept and control. If after reading it (and CSIS reads or listens into everything relating to a victim) the secret security / intelligence agency doesn't want the communication delivered, it won't be delivered to you or received by whomever you sent it too. Occasionally victims of CSIS/MI*s like myself are asked - why don't you reply to your emails/letter/phone message; the answer is that we never got them in the first place since they were intercepted.

Communications Interference

It is routine that targets of the intelligence / security agencies have all of their communications (Mail, Email, Telephone) effectively controlled. Telephone calls are tapped - and listened into - letters are intercepted and read, and also email.

But it goes far beyond tapping - calls are interfered with, or cut off; some emails don't go where they are sent, and some letters are not delivered.

Telephone Tapping and Call Interference

CSIS and MI5/6 operate what's known as "Interception Centers". They have an understanding with the telephone companies so that all incoming and outgoing calls to/from your lines are routed through an "interception center" - where they can control their victim's phone lines.

Incoming callers that CSIS/MI*s don't want us to receive have:

- Been told that our telephone is discontinued
- Been answered by a busy signal, a fax tone, or sent to a bogus voicemail.

Sometimes the line is used to harass:

- Our voicemail is suddenly obliterated or some messages lost.
- Clicking / Interference / cut off is used to intimidate when certain subjects are discussed
- A relative who phoned regularly could only get through on the 3rd call each time he phoned (they were harassing him)

Actual examples of all of the above issues (and more) are included in this document

Computer & Email

- All our incoming and outgoing emails are read
- Many of our emails do not reach their destination
- Spy programs have been discovered that read and transmit my database, or keystrokes
- Documents I've sent electronically have been fraudulently altered in transit
- Several computers have been destroyed (or temporarily taken over) by malicious downloads, etc.

For examples:

1. Click on [Telephone | TELUS](#) below for examples of Telephone Tapping, Call Interference, Calls illegally stopped from connecting. And our complaint to Telus.
2. Click on [Email | Computer](#) for examples of computer intrusion, email interference, etc.
3. Click on [Computer Intrusion](#) for three examples of very sophisticated hacking and interference, or
4. [2009 | 2010](#) for threatening comments on a blog, or obliteration of my email, or
5. [Computer interference](#) .

J1: Telephone | Telus

September 12, 2013 9:09 AM

Telephone tapping – Interception Centre Use – Further Evidence

Proof my telephones are tapped, using an Interception Center.

Yarm Landline

- **The clicking incident.** Continuous warning clicks on my telephone line in the run up to the issuance of my critical Dec. 2003 circular email – RTMR/PMR noticed the clicking on the telephone calls that we made and RMR commented on it. Go to "[C3: phone tapping - Computer hacking](#)" for details. This demonstrates that the MI's were both spying on my computer (hacking into it) to see what I was doing (i.e. that I was drafting the circular email that they didn't like), and listening into and interfering with my telephone calls to send the warning clicks [obviously they were using an interception center, but I didn't know that this was what they did back then].
- **Spies on the train:** The Spies had seat reservations next to mine on crowded trains, which required knowing when I was travelling and knowing the seats I had reserved in advance, and the only way MI5/6 could do that is by tapping my telephone. See section "[C2: Watching, Stalking & Spying](#)" and go to the paragraph "Spies on the train" for the details of what happened on the train journeys. This demonstrates that my phone was tapped.

Manchester

- **Raymond telephone threats** – I made critical comment re Duke of Westminster in call to AVR; I was threatened on phone minutes later, and Amy was intimidated – Obviously my call was being tapped as the "Raymond" threats against myself and children immediately followed it. This proves that my phone was being tapped. See "[D2: Death Threats](#)" for details.
- 6/3/05 Roderick called re 5 withheld phone calls yesterday – nobody at other end of line.
- 1-2/4/05 R. received and recorded some very nasty telephone death threats. Click on section "[E2: The Guardian](#)" threats for details. – at 3.47 AM that same Saturday morning 2/4/05 **my mobile rang with the text "network message please delete"**. Nothing else; No return number - Clearly part of the same sequence of events, **these messages could only have been made by an insider in the telephone system (such as MI5/6).**

Calgary Landline

- Diary:19/12/07 – Peter/Trish confirm telex fax signals (i.e. demonstrates that **incoming calls to our line were being intercepted and answered with fax tones**)
- Diary:28/12/07 – CSIS called c. 10.45 am; wouldn't give name, won't do anything; more cover-up. In an earlier telephone discussion (from a call box) I advised CSIS that my phones were being tapped if not by them, by a foreign intelligence agency operating illegally in Canada. They appeared to take my concern very seriously. I requested that when they examined my concern, they *not call me back on my own phone line* as it was tapped (which CSIS agreed to and told me they would find other ways to contact me). Here they are calling me back on my own line knowing it to be taped – suggesting that **CSIS weren't concerned about the tapping since they were doing it themselves.**
- Diary: 9/3/08 – RMR called; **discussed Lichtenstein. Heavy clicking** on line when Lichtenstein discussed – coming from UK end. **Shows that an interception center was being used, as the line was being interfered with (warning clicks) and listened into.** Intimidation also followed this call: truck suspiciously loitering.

- 10/3/08 – 7.30 am. Same truck passed as I came out of building.
- • 15/4/08 – “Patricia Russell” weird message call 888-606-3976, ref 080423625 – Amy called # not in service; Circa **15 of these weird calls in last month.**
 - 12/5/08 – 2 telephone calls, no answer, munching sound. Diary:22/5/08 – Peter and self, talk to TELUS Security – unhelpful but advised they don’t tap without police say so.
 - Diary:15/6/08 – RMR calls c. 12.10 pm – I heard ringing for 3 calls. RMR says first call **answered to fax tones, second to answer machine message**, third connected. **Again proof of use of interception center.**
 - Diary:11/8/08 – Catherin (name??) (789-495-8680) called Trisha this am from Canadian human rights commission re my call to them a month ago saying she would call me this afternoon. She did not call. I called her twice and left messages – no response from her. Reason – **CSIS tapping my phones and warned her off.**
 - • Diary:18/8/08 – 12.30 am **R. called saying he had tried to leave many messages that didn’t work – no message service or fax tone. T. calls to say my voicemail not working** properly. P to send another letter to TELUS
 - Diary:19/8/08 – **Telephone message blanked**, but could return call, call returned to 403-234-8169 which said line not in use (odd).
 - • Diary:12/9/08 – **Roderick called about 15 times. Rang here but no one on line.** Finally got through.
 - Diary:13/10/08 – r. called & mentioned that yesterday (Sunday) **he had tried calling 6 times.** This one did not even go to my voicemail.
 - • Diary:19/10/08 – a. called. Apparently on Friday c. 4.00 pm she called 3 times and no voicemail.
 - • 7/11/08 – voicemail not functioning again (asked P. to cut off voicemail and bought answering machine).
 - 12/11/08 – strange telephone call “please hold while we connect you” then nothing.
 - 13/11/08 – 1.15 pm call rings no one on line.
 - • 17/11/08 – (Monday) Amy mentions our landline is not working. R. mentions it off since Sunday. Problem they say is switching, cured at 3.00 pm Tuesday 18 after Peter contacted them.
 - • 20/11/08 – (Thursday) call from r. he was mugged by 10 guys, punched and his mobile stolen. – happened earlier this week. My telephone out till Tuesday, r. mugged and phone stolen
 - • 4 April 2009 – T. called. He could hear me, but too much interference for me to hear him.
 - ▲ • Diary:6/9/09 – R. called from Zurich saying an engaged tone on line 2 minutes earlier. I hadn’t had a call for an hour.
 - • Most of the evidence on telephone tapping has not been recorded specifically in the diary. **For over a year until 12/9/08 most of RMR’s calls from UK to RTMR’s line in Calgary required 3 attempts to get through (ongoing telephone intimidation) – RMR/RTMR/PMR**
 - **Call Interception** – Difficult to be certain of numbers, but most calls from potential jobs or help (i.e. journalists) are intercepted.
 - • **Ongoing call interference** – see Lichtenstein comment 9/3/08, above. Similar interference on 40 to 50 calls. RMR/RTMR
 - • Diary: Oct 31, 2008 – See Desktop Proof-AltaGov File for details of PMR complaint to TELUS re voicemail malfunctioning, and **TELUS (or CSIS) kept cutting her off.**
 - ▲ • Most of the evidence on telephone tapping has not been recorded specifically in the diary. On Friday October 31st 2008 PMR called the TELUS 310 2255 number. Two separate contacts were made, each of which was cut off midstream during the conversation. On the 3rd contact they could not explain (1) why our telephone voice mail had not been working for several weeks (2) where messages we know were left on the “voice mail” had gone (i.e. to CSIS)
 - • 8/6/11 2 weird calls to me re “computer security” (NB – T’s computer destroyed 10/6/11)
 - 17/6/11 - 3rd weird computer calls re computer security
 - 30/8/11 L. email (didn’t see until Sept 8). Apparently phone answered with the

message “**telephone number discontinued**” which was untrue (non-diary comment – i.e. being told several times by the telephone company that she couldn’t be connected, using the fraudulent excuse that our line was discontinued (our line was not discontinued)).

- Sep 7th, 11 - 4 no answer calls. Silence then click (relates to my SIRC complaint - but again shows that a CSIS interception center was being used)
- 7/9/11 - my critical Blog comment re MI6 & Libya. Between C 1 AM and 6.15 PM, 4 no answer calls (silence then clicks).
- 8/11/11 - Bought new “Koodo” mobile phone at London Drugs (1) Malfunctioned as all incoming calls were sent to a voicemail that wasn’t ours (2) they had to issue a new phone number to resolve this (3) There are 4 witnesses, 2 London drug employees said “they had never seen this happen before”. Demonstrates that CSIS was rerouting incoming calls to a false voicemail service, so that we wouldn’t receive any message, because **the caller left their message on a false (CSIS controlled?) voicemail.**
- 13/12/12 - On Cell received call (no number) that said was “Louise Thomas from Gatineau Quebec” and I hung up. [non-diary comment - may relate to my comment on the Prism Blog, Dec 8th: “people known personally to Prime Minister Stephen Harper have been surveyed and stalked just for having lunch with my wife.”]

Most of the evidence on telephone tapping has not been recorded specifically in the diary. For example:

- **For over a year until 12/9/08 nearly all RMR’s calls from UK to RTMR’s line required 3 attempts to get through (ongoing telephone intimidation) – RMR/RTMR/PMR**
- **Call Interception** – Difficult to be certain of numbers, but most calls from potential jobs or help (i.e. journalists) are intercepted.
- **Ongoing call interference** – see Lichtenstein comment above. Similar interference on 40 to 50 calls. RMR/RTMR

Complaints to Phone Company CEO

Two of the complaints were in writing by Peter Robertson, my brother-in-law-, whose telephone line I was using some of the time. Here are the letters that Peter wrote to the President & CEO of TELUS. They are self-explanatory.



29-4-08 P
to Telus



18-8-08 P
to Telus

On 22/5/08 Peter Robertson and myself talked with Telus's Security Supervisor. She said that they only tapped telephones on specific requests from the Police (and CSIS?). The target would only find out their phone had been tapped when the matter came to court, or an investigation ended. So what some other people, as well as myself, believe happens in Canada is this:

CSIS taps innocent people at will, without any proper control over them. The public only learns that tapping has taken place when the matter comes to Court, or when the investigation ends. But when illegal phone tapping takes place, (1) the matter will never come to Court, and (2) the pretend investigation will never be formally ended - so the public never learns that illegal tapping has taken place.

TELUS / CSIS interfering with phone calls:

Finally on Friday October 31st 2008, PMR (Mrs. Patricia Russell) called the TELUS 310

2255 number.

Two separate contacts were made at Telus, each of which was cut off midstream during the conversation.

On a 3rd contact TELUS could not explain:

1. why our telephone voice mail had not been working for several weeks
2. where messages we know were left on the “voice mail” had gone (i.e. CSIS information centre)
3. why our calls were cut off in midstream.

When our voicemail finally recovers all the messages are lost (note connection to CHRC & CSIS).

View PMR's (Patricia Russell) note on her conversations with Telus written at the time:



31-10-08

PMR calls ...

It's clear that the TELUS staff new something odd was happening and didn't know how to handle it. So they kept cutting her off.

J2: Email | Computer

September 12, 2013 9:09 AM

Some Examples of:

Computer Spying & Email Interference

West Vancouver~

- 2000 – West Van workplace found that Keystrokes were being read - PMR
- **Pattern of disrupting email accounts at library**, etc. (generally 1 incident in each intimidation series). *Library in West Van; also see Manchester, and Calgary libraries below*. These items are largely outlined in a different document - CS & GI - and in my letters of complaint sent to Library Boards [My comment: MI5/6 & CSIS seem to have libraries well covered since they know that their victims may try to use library computers instead of their own computers, if they believe their own home computer / internet / email is being monitored]

Yarm~

- Diary: 19-22/8/03 – **considerable download computer problems; had to reorder Symantec** Norton anti-virus program was deliberately inactivated and I could not get it going again. AVR noticed **
- Standard log in **screen replaced by an eerie satanic “Black Sun” page** in 2003
 - Keystrokes are routinely monitored (can tell by number of bytes going out when the internet is first connected; which seemed to vary proportionately to the amount of work I had done in the previous offline session)
- **The clicking incident**. Continuous warning clicks on telephone line in run up to issuance of Dec 2003 circular email – It suggest that the **information in my database was being spied on and read.** [C3: phone tapping - Computer hacking](#)

Manchester~

- 17/9/2004 – PMR shut out of Hotmail account for 4 days. Relates to 4 incidents re sending GS report to Grosvenor Trustees. Click on "[D4: Complaint to Grosvenor](#)" for details
- Yarm / Didsbury – Keystrokes routinely monitored from summer 2002 to May 2004. Saw at start up on regular basis bytes going out (i.e. my keystrokes being sent out). After the Dobbie email I noticed large quantity of bytes coming in and I immediately pulled the plug on the internet connection as it suggested a possibly damaging download (computer was indeed damaged – part of the "Laser Threat" series) – See GS Report.
- As per CS+GI – Spy program (Spybot) installed and discovers spying software.
- As per GS+CI – 2004/5 pattern of disrupting email accounts in Didsbury library – both RTMR & PMR
- **Library in Manchester:** Pattern of disrupting email accounts at Didsbury library, etc. (generally 1 incident in each intimidation series). Resorting email accounts to show intrusions / periodically shutting accounts down / threatening screen. Separate document "GS+CI Pages 50-60" (10 pages) shows an ongoing pattern of disrupting my own and my wife's email accounts when we were accessing them in the Didsbury library in Manchester, England over approx. a one year period – both RTMR & PMR

Calgary~

- LF: 13/3/07 – **John Leech MP confirms by email that my emails to him being hidden** (Spam Filter).
- Email 29/8/08 – Phil Chamberlain email to AVR of 29/9/08 **confirms emails sent to me didn't get through**
- Diary 2/4/09 – computer reported intrusion. RTMR
- Diary: 3/4/09 – in "mostlywater" link, the **A** had been changed to an **O** so that link did not work. **Proof that CSIS could remotely get into my database and change it.**
- Diary: 12/5/09 – Turned Laptop Off. Box signaled that it was transmitting. I was not sending anything – must have been downloading my database or keystrokes. Trisha witnessed. Quite A LOT

OF THIS OVER WEEK.

- 9/11/09 – Pat Buchanan Site. See PDF file on desktop re Computer Interference: “File Former UK Ambassador” – **What the spies did was alter my URL reference (zersetzen.wikispaces, etc.) on Pat Buchanan’s article so that it wouldn’t work.** Go to the PDF of the site and you can see my correspondence with Buchanan’s assistant about this. **Very sophisticated computer intrusion!!**
- Diary:12/9/09 *****– (Saturday) 3.30 am this morning, all emails in my main account rtmrussell_ba_ca yahoo obliterated and a false message sent out - D. Stephenson called to inform me, as did AVR and RMR. 13/9/09 – Officer Wallace – #093383459/12/09 – **At 3.25 all emails in my main email account rtmrussell_ba_ca@yahoo.ca were eliminated** with false messages sent to address list and all my past emails [some 2,000] fraudulently deleted. The objective of CSIS et al was to delete my emails as some of them were evidentiary.
- 21/11/10_-- Comment “**House of Tears**” flashed across computer on start-up. On 24/11/10 “House of Tears” on computer again. Then changed to “house of pain”, and didn’t come again. It probably relates to a comment I made on the Murray Blog about the ethics of Grosvenor’s head-hunters. **Proves that CSIS et al was remotely operating my computer**
- 29/3/11 -- Loaded my files onto Peter Robertson’s computer at his house and worked on the aid memoir for two days without incident. On April 1 / Early evening serious intrusions began - (1) paragraph sizes kept changing or being inverted, and (2) there were unusual spacing problems making it impossible to work - i.e. somebody had control of the computer and was messing about. Then it got so that "Word" files could not be opened, and nothing that was worked on could be saved in Word. I gave up trying to work. Proves that CSIS et al had got into Peter’s computer and **CSIS et al could remotely control the computer as if they were operating an invisible mouse**
- SIRC Complaint Related - 8/6/11 2 weird calls to me suggesting that my “computer security” was poor. On 10/6/11 my younger son phoned from Zurich to tell me that his computer had been **attacked by (8?) malicious downloads and rendered inoperative.**
- Oct 6, 2011 - **My Mac put out** (I took a break; when I got back it was “blacked out” and couldn’t be started again) – probably part of SIRC/CSIS complaint harassment.
- Oct 8, 2011 – New (replacement) computer malfunctioning.
- 18/11/11 - Bought new “Koodo” mobile phone at London Drugs (1) Malfunctioned as all incoming calls were sent to a voicemail that wasn’t ours (2) they had to issue a new phone number to resolve this (3) There are 4 witnesses, 2 London drug employees said “they had never seen this happen before” **This incident was retaliation from CSIS** since I had complained about them to their oversight body, the SIRC.
- 26/3/12 - My then **email clyn2der[at]yahoo.ca hacked into**; request for cash sent to my contacts - but didn’t say where to send the money; my **contact list eliminated** as with some emails. *As there was no place to send the money to (as before - 12/9/09 below) the intent was to eliminate emails rather than steal money.* The Yahoo id # was 120326-019224 - but account still functional (unlike previous hacking). *See Diary entry 12/9/09 above **** for earlier attack on other email account.
- 13/12/12 - On Cell received call (no number) that said was “Louise Thomas from Gatineau Quebec” and I hung up. [non-diary comment - may relate to my comment on the Prism Blog, Dec 8th (“people known personally to Mr Harper have been surveyed and stalked just for having lunch with my wife.”)]
- Calgary (Calhoun) Library Complaint.** Some details in diary. 6 attempts to get into email on apple blocked. Allowed to view then it jammed. Happened before. Trisha arrived – I complained loudly – suddenly it worked. Trisha and I tried to look at MI5 / Stasi on Google and computer jammed.2.45 complained to librarian and everything started working. Have documented similar incidents on several other days. Some of it **summarized on 2 letters sent to Calgary Library Board.**
- Diary: 21/7/09 – Proof of. **(dot) / (slash) re wikispaces in diary.** They adjusted my wiki’s URL electronically so that, though it looks OK on the face of the email, the actual link that one clicks on is different. Very sophisticated stuff. See file for demonstration.
RTMR/PMR/RMR/AVR/PDR/TOM/RR(Lobster)

Click on section **["G1:Computer Intrusion"](#)** for a more detailed description of these and **other** very sophisticated hacking incidents.

NB - The above examples constitute only a very small portion of the Email / Computer incidents that have happened. They are provided just as examples of a much greater problem.

K: DENIAL of JUSTICE

September 29, 2013 11:04 AM

It is a great mistake to pretend that what is a human rights issue, a systemic failure of justice after all, can be dealt with adequately in the legal system of the country where the abuses have taken place.

As my own experience shows it is clear that the legal system in the UK and Canada cannot deal effectively with abuses by institutions such as CSIS or MI5 / MI6 that are essentially above the law.

The measure of a country's democracy is not that the country adheres to the rule of law most of the time, but that it still adheres to the rule of law when it is inconvenient for its power elites to do so.

As has been said – **“Eye-witnesses to such things may hence find themselves targeted.”** That’s exactly it? Not just witnesses, also lawyers, police, etc.. For example: one of my sons getting (recorded) death threats because he is a witness, and having shots fired at him for the same reason, and so much more. Not to mention the cover-up to pervert justice. That’s why human rights matters such as this are also a political issue, and one that a free press, that had an interest in the rule of law, would also have an interest in.

But it goes beyond eye-witnesses. A Medical Doctor and Author who was supporting my comments on a blog suddenly came under cyber-attack. I will not go into the details, but it was nasty and vicious, and he was clearly threatened.

Security / Intelligence Apparatus Involved

Click on the subpage, in the menu on the right-hand side, under the category "[K: CSIS - MI5 / MI6](#)" for an explanation outlining involvement by the security / intelligence services.

Indeed there is nothing new in this. These services have been involved in Zer setzen-style abuses with others and, though they continue to deny such involvement, there are many precedents to the contrary.

Indeed look at the degree of the cover up - to deny justice - in my own case, that is outlined in the narrative on Sections L and M. Governments / Authorities don't cover-up to protect crime of this nature carried out by criminals; they cover-up to protect themselves or crime carried out by their own security services.

But it is not necessary to prove involvement by the secret security / intelligence agencies to prove that human rights abuses have taken place. Nor is it necessary to prove that these abuses are crimes of the Authorities; though overwhelming proof is there.

It is only necessary to prove that these crimes have in fact taken place, and **there are over 90 incidents where my own testimony is verifiable by independent sources of corroboration.** That this horrible persecution has in fact taken place is beyond a reasonable doubt. The evidence is overwhelming.

However, the *proof that there has been "a failure to investigate" and a "denial of*

justice" by the Authorities in the UK and Canada is also overwhelming.

Denial of Justice - Failure to Investigate

As colour coded sections of this Report that are headed Vancouver CDN, London-Yarm UK, Manchester UK, Calgary CDN and Cover-up (referenced as B through M) show - there have been many serious offences committed, over 90 of which are supported by independent eye-witness and 3rd party corroborative evidence for verification purposes.

As Sections "L" through "M" show, I have made every attempt to have this matter honestly investigated by the Authorities. It has been reported to the Police themselves in three jurisdictions on many occasions. It has been reported to Police and Intelligence Services Review Committees, and to Politicians of Cabinet Rank on several occasions, both directly and through intermediaries.

As one Member of Parliament wrote to me - "As you are aware the Government have not been at all helpful".

The one consistency has been - a constant failure by the Authorities to investigate.

And that's a denial of justice by the Authorities

K1: CSIS - MI5 / MI6 Involved

September 29, 2013 11:11 AM

Though the key to this "Zersetzen" style persecution is the illegal involvement of the Security / Intelligence Agencies - CSIS in Canada, and MI5 / MI6 in the UK - it is not necessary to prove their involvement so as to be able to prove a "denial of justice", "a failure to investigate" and "a failure to protect" by the Authorities in the UK and Canada.

Consider the facts themselves: *the well-witnessed complaints of intimidation, harassment & threats that have been made repeatedly to the Authorities and been ignored by them; indeed the only response we have had from the Authorities has been further intimidation to stop me complaining. These facts alone are enough to prove a "failure to investigate" / "a denial of justice" and / "a failure to protect".*

There is also overwhelming evidence of a serious **Human Rights Abuse in Canada and the UK** because their security/intelligence agencies are illegally involved in this "Zersetzen" program and their governments are trying to cover this up.

Proof of Involvement of MI5/MI6 & CSIS in Zersetzen

Consider all the following points together, and look at the large pattern of abuse that they show. Taken together they prove involvement of the intelligence agencies in this Zersetzen. MI5, MI6 and CSIS have no possibility of plausible deniability

Each point has multiple sources of corroborative evidence for verification purposes - so they are provable, and don't rely on my testimony alone. Some of the proof is documentary (i.e. letters from Ministers, Review Bodies, Police, etc.); and you can even check out this part for yourself since some of the letters are in the body of this report.

1. The Precedents

There is nothing new here; Zersetzen has happened to others before - Zersetzen is not unique to my case. As you can see there are many other targeted victims, with markedly similar experiences, amongst whistleblowers and critiques of certain power elites - Journalists, Businessmen, Diplomats, Nobel Laureates, Intelligence Officers, etc.

Indeed as outlined by my Paper "Zersetzen: Some Precedents" (or "Zersetzen: 25 Precedents") there are many cases where Zersetzen techniques have been used against others by the secret security services in the UK and Canada. For those who want to review or check these other precedents, my paper describing 25 of these precedents can be accessed on this site:

<http://zersetzen.wikispaces.com>

Of course they often use different names to describe Zersetzen - Cointelpro, D&D (Disrupt and Discredit), Vigorous Harassment, No touch torture, Zersetzung. Call it what you like, there are multiple precedents proving that MI5/6 and CSIS have illegally used Zersetzen against other innocent citizens on many occasions.

2. The High Level Cover-up Conspiracy to Pervert Justice

People don't cover-up for no reason - It's not just the act itself, but the cover-up that pins them.

Governments don't cover-up to protect crime gangs - But governments do cover-up to protect rogue elements in the power elites and in their intelligence/security apparatus from justice. Review **sections L through M5** for an overview of the cover-up in both the UK and Canada and see the story for yourself, including involvement of Cabinet Ministers. The existence of a government cover-up is evidence of intelligence agency involvement - and the evidence, that proves cover-up at very senior government levels, is overwhelming.

3. **Documents Disappear - a Hallmark of MI5**

Documentary evidence, proving cover-up, mysteriously disappears from two secure offices – The Guardian, letter from editor confirms; A Judge's papers, Judge confirms. As reported by the UK press documentation disappearance is a hallmark of MI5.

4. **Cabinet Minister Hazel Blears - MI5 / Special Branch**

Proven cover-up by Minister Hazel Blears – her UK ministerial responsibilities were the MI5 intelligence/security agency and Special Branch - see "**L2: Minister Hazel Blears**" to view her letters. As part of the cover-up, her excuses for not investigating all contradicted each other and were proven to be untrue. Besides I was being threatened to try and stop me complaining to her (see "**L3: Complain & Threats Follow**").

5. **Threatened in 2011 for reporting Canada's Spy Agency CSIS to their Review Body - SIRC**

Over summer 2011 I formally complained about the involvement of Canada's Spy Agency, CSIS, in these threats only to be intimidated for making the complaint. CSIS would not threaten complainants to their review body (SIRC) unless they knew that the complaint was true.

As I wrote the SIRC, I was being intimidated every time I corresponded with them; much of this intimidation is supported by corroborative evidence - so it's provable. The linkage between my correspondence with the SIRC and the intimidation is crystal clear. This "linked" intimidation is outlined on a letter I sent the SIRC which can be viewed on page 5 of the file "SIRC - CSIS Complaint" See "[M5: SIRC | CSIS Complaint](#)"

6. **Threatened in 2005 to stop me complaining about MI5 to their Minister - Hazel Blears**

As outlined in 4, above, back in 2005 I complained, through the good offices of a UK Member of Parliament, to Ms. Hazel Blears, who had Ministerial oversight of MI5, and who was helping to cover-it-up. Just as in 5 above, while I was complaining confidentially, I was intimidated just for making the complaints. Only Minister Blears and her top officials knew about my complaints.

Threatened both for reporting abuses by the UK's "MI5" secret security service to their Minister in oversight of them, and for reporting abuses by Canada's CSIS to their Review Body (SIRC) – *that's **proof of involvement of CSIS & MI5!***

7. **Intimidation is prolonged, sophisticated and costly**

Zersetzen is a highly sophisticated and prolonged ***slander, intimidation and harassment*** campaign that fits with the other precedents (see 1, above) where involvement of intelligence agencies is also proven. The considerable extent of this illegal and abusive campaign is demonstrated by the well-witnessed facts that are described throughout this paper.

Yes, some private companies could do some of this; and may be subcontracted on occasion - though they certainly could not carry out a full Zersetzen campaign on their own. Besides they are all staffed by former Special Branch Police and MI5/6 & CSIS operatives anyway; so they are hardly independent of the intelligence/security agencies.

Estimated cost several million Dollars plus – a hallmark of a taxpayer funded intelligence service. 14 years of Zersetzen threats wherever I go -- well-witnessed threats in West Vancouver, Calgary, London, Aberdeen, Birmingham, Manchester and Yarm. Could a private organization do it? Some of it, but not all of it - it's too sophisticated. Besides Government Ministers are not going to cover up for crime gangs; and the private sector cost is prohibitive; whereas public sector security / intelligence agencies come free for these Power Elites.

8. **The Smear Campaigns - using the Big Lie technique**

Smearing and slandering like this is a known hallmark of an intelligence operation. For example, MI6's dirty tricks department "IOPS". The Stasi even had a word for a professional slander / smear campaign - KOMPROMAT

9. **Bureaucratic Harassment, proving CSIS Involvement.**

4 Government agencies and 2 utility companies in Alberta, Canada have been affected by CSIS illegally messing around with their processes. Some examples are described on "[F2: Apartment Harassment](#)". It's not that these problems couldn't occur naturally; it's their frequency that proves manipulation. At one point we had threats to cut off electricity, our telephone was going haywire, and our medical insurance cancelled for no reason ... all in the same time frame that we were also being harassed out of our apartment.

A well-documented Stasi tactic, designed to "***undermine, subvert and corrode***" their target victim by causing problem after problem. Highly sophisticated stuff; It ***proves CSIS involvement as it requires getting inside organizations, and interfering with their procedures and systems*** without their knowledge

10. **Communications Interference**

It is routine that targets of the intelligence / security agencies have all of their communications (Mail, Email, Telephone) not only tapped and monitored, but also interfered with. Look on **sections J to J2** of this Paper under the following categories for details.

Telephone Tapping and Call Interference

Mail & Email Interceptions / Obliteration

This is a sure sign of "official" MI5/6 or CSIS involvement since it requires ongoing cooperation of others - telephone companies, post office, and internet/email providers -- besides it is too complex and international in scope for private organizations to contemplate.

11. **Computer Spying, Interference & Intimidation:**

"[Section J](#)" and J2 for some of the details. Several computers destroyed through malware/viruses, or badly damaged; numerous examples of computer spying - keystrokes read, data changed or eliminated; even intimidation using computers (nasty screen messages, etc.).

12. **Multiple OTHER areas of proof**

Just 3 of many possible examples - Death threat caller "Raymond" refers to the security organization – a known pseudonym for MI5; a private eye confirms that MI5 has had a file on me for years (the private eye was frightened off the case); Calgary police identify my daughter's assailant as having had intelligence operational training ... *the list goes on; and there is so much more ... with numerous smaller items pointing to the involvement of MI5 / MI6 in UK and CSIS in Canada*

Substantial sources of evidence exist to verify each of the above points. Some of the points are backed by over 20 sources of corroborative evidence for verification.

Taken together these points prove involvement of MI5 / MI6 and CSIS in Zersetzen beyond a reasonable doubt. Indeed it would be impossible for these types of activities to happen without being either **conducted or condoned by CSIS in Canada, and MI5/6 in UK.**

However - One doesn't even have to go in to all this information to demonstrate involvement by the States security / intelligence services. For example:

1. Look at the huge amount of telephone tapping & interference, computer hacking and email interference, and mail interception that has happened. This is evidence of official (intelligence) involvement - private companies can't do all this for years without official sanction - Look at the chapter on "[Communications Interference & Snooping](#)".
2. Review the well-witnessed complaints of *Intimidation, Harassment and Lies* that are detailed throughout this Paper. These types of activities are the hallmark of a secret security / intelligence services operations.
3. The evidence also clearly shows that these issues have been extensively covered-up, and provably so. Look at sections L through M5 for details proving the very extensive cover-up in two countries. *As often is the case it's not just the act, it's the cover-up that pins them.* The very existence of a cover-up is itself an admission of some level of involvement by the Authorities (i.e. to protect their security / intelligence apparatus).

Sections J, L and M of this Paper "Russell Zersetzen" cannot be read without seeing crystal clear evidence of a cover-up conspiracy by two governments to pervert justice.

L: UK Gov't | Cover up - The Bar for Zersetzen must be very Low

September 9, 2013 7:40 PM

The UK Government Cover-up Conspiracy to Pervert Justice:

- **Cover-up by Manchester Police - Not allowed to investigate properly [L1] [L4]**
 - **A failure to investigate**
 - **A failure to protect**
 - **Police can't operate where MI5 / MI6 Spy agencies involved**
- **Complaint to Government Minister & Home Office, asking for an honest investigation:**
 - **Covered-up by the Government Minister responsible for the MI5 Spy Agency [L2]**
 - **Letters from Minister to Member of Parliament contain proven lies to justify not investigating. Each time a lie is disproven, a new lie surfaces [L2]**
 - **Intimidated, Harassed, Threatened by MI5 / MI6 to stop us complaining to the Minister [L3]**
- **Judicial Assistance for an MI5 / MI6 SMEAR Campaign [L5]**

One of the criteria of Zersetzen is the ability of the MI5 / MI6 and CSIS to shut down all normal avenues of grievance. Normal avenues of grievance - police, politicians, the rule of law - simply stop working where security / intelligence agencies are involved. **The rules are there, but they are not enforced. That's Zersetzen** - and there are plenty of precedents of where Zersetzen has happened to others. Zersetzen is more common than one thinks.

Zersetzen: Some Precedents

I wrote a 25 page report "Zersetzen: Some Precedents". The purpose of that paper was to provide examples - precedents - of where Zersetzen has happened to others, and to do so in a way that you can check the facts out on the internet for yourself. One can access the paper through this site:

<http://zersetzen.wikispaces.com>

Here is Lobster Magazine commenting on my paper:

Zersetzen

Roderick Russell has written a very good 25 page paper,

'Zersetzen: Some Precedents'.³⁶ Of this paper Russell writes:

'Zersetzen is a process of character assassination and threats developed by the former communist East German secret police, the "STASI", to persecute dissidents. Shockingly, as our spy agencies morph into a secret police, they are using Zersetzen today to persecute whistle blowers and enemies of power elites. Zersetzen is the biggest threat to democracy since the cold war. The purpose of this paper is to provide examples – precedents – of where Zersetzen/ Cointelpro has happened to others, and to do so in a way that you can check the facts out on the Internet for yourself. Some 25 cases of Zersetzen in the UK, Canada and USA are reviewed.'³⁷

So there are precedents for Zersetzen in the UK and Canada!

Indeed there are many more precedents than those described on my Paper "Zersetzen: Some Precedents"

Here are some details about two UK cases where the UK Security / Intelligence Agencies - MI5 / MI6 - covered up the crime of serial pedophilia, that was committed by two minor establishment figures, for 40 years.

(1) - Take the case of Cyril Smith - a UK Member of Parliament. There are many articles on Cyril Smith, his **serial pedophilia** and the **cover-up by the UK's Spy Agency MI5**. Here is an article dated 11 April 2014 on the subject from the UK's largest circulation newspaper - Daily Mail - headlined "**Monstrous Cover-up**":



Monstrous
Cover-up

Extracts from the article:

"For four decades, 29stone/400lbs politician was free to prey on vulnerable children as young as eight ... Police received at least 144

complaints from victims yet authorities blocked any prosecution ...[Smith] was repeatedly protected despite being arrested for sex crimes ...MI5 and Special Branch officers put pressure on police to drop investigations"

One of the criteria of Zersetzen is the ability of the MI5 / MI6 and the CSIS Spy Agencies to shut down all normal avenues of grievance. MI5s role was to frighten the police and the press away and to ensure that Smith's pedophilia was never investigated.

And the article's URL:

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2602802/How-Liberal-party-police-MI5-concealed-MP-Cyril-Smiths-industrial-scale-child-abuse.html>

And it's not just the Daily Mail. Under the headline "**Police gunman told me to ignore paedophiles**", The Daily Express, another large circulation UK paper, quotes Child Protection Officer Mr. Chris. Fay, of south London saying:

*" It became very dangerous. People seem to forget that **Special Branch** could do what they liked, they were a law unto themselves. At one point they **had me up against a wall by my throat with a gun at my head** telling me in no uncertain terms that I was to back away if I knew what was good for me."*

(2) - And if this doesn't shock you, take a look at the earlier Jimmy Saville case of serial pedophilia that was covered-up by MI5 / MI6 for 40 years. Here is an article on the subject:

<http://www.dailykos.com/story/2012/10/17/1146091/-Jimmy-Savile-Pedophile-Friend-of-Royalty-and-the-Cover-up-to-Pervert-Justice#>

The Bar for Zersetzen must be very low!!!

Many of their underage victims came from "Care Homes" and were assaulted in these homes. Most of Cyril Smith's victims were boys; Jimmy Savile's were girls. How many innocent boys and girls were assaulted by these monsters because MI5 / MI6 were covering-up and protecting these minor establishment perverts from justice?

As the Cyril Smith case shows, the bar for Zersetzen must be very low. What struck me is how relatively minor these figures were. If the Spy Agencies MI5 and MI6 are prepared to protect minor establishment figures like Smith from justice for horrible crimes like serial pedophilia, **then the bar for Zersetzen must be very low indeed.**

L1: Police / Home Office

April 1, 2013 6:35 AM

Cover-Up by Police:

- **My complaint to the police**
- **The Cover up:**
 - 1. Failure to Protect, and**
 - 2. Failure to Investigate**
 - 3. Normal Policing can't operate where Spy Agencies involved**
- **My complaint to the Home Office (Responsible for MI5)**

1. Oct 2004 Complaint Letter to Police

On October 26, 2004 my wife and I hand delivered a detailed complaint to the Manchester Police *complaining of intimidation, and terrorist threats* against ourselves and our family. Here is the covering letter:



Complaint
to Police

This letter provided to the Police included (a) a 63 page report that itemised the intimidation, and (b) a CD of my computer data base that contained some of the evidence.

(The enclosures that were attached to the above letters to Grosvenor and to the Police are available for inspection by interested parties.)

We met with PC Bowman ((pc 3358) - Tel 8564986) of the Didsbury Police and handed our complaint to him. We discussed several items of intimidation with Mr. Bowman to brief him, and met for about 20 to 30 minutes. He advised us to keep copies of our (evidentiary) documentation offsite. He told us that he would need to read the documentation and then get back.

The following week we returned to the police and were told that they would not investigate since the evidence was circumstantial - which it is not. Though we didn't meet Mr. Bowman, we were also told by a lady PC that **PC Bowman himself had tried hard to get an investigation approved but had been overruled by a Police Inspector.**

2. Contacted the Home Office

Well witnessed complaints had been made but not acted on.

The criminals who were threatening us had warned us not to complain to the police (see [Rat-Gag Threats](#)). We had not heeded their warnings and threats, but instead had brought a well-documented complaint to the police. With their total failure to investigate the police had thrown us right back into the arms of the criminals without protection.

I believed our lives were in danger. So I had no choice but to immediately contact the Home Office -- UK Ministry responsible for internal security / policing.

On November 6 2004, I started the ball rolling by contacting the UK's then Home Secretary, Rt. Hon. David Blunkett MP - (1) Telling him about the cover-up to pervert justice, and (2) that it is clear that some people are operating "above the law", and (3) requesting that "these very serious threats be properly investigated". I copied the President ICAEW

(Institute of Chartered Accountants - since the harassment clearly related to my work as a FCA) and my then Member of Parliament, the Rt. Hon Keith Bradley (my letter dated Nov 6th 2004) asking for help.

The letter I sent them is self-explanatory. Here it is:



Complaint
To Gov't

(I now know that the criminals were not an OCG but rogue elements in the Security / Intelligence apparatus. I didn't know that at the time, but I suspect that the police did. Normal policing cannot operate effectively where security / intelligence agencies like MI5 / MI6 in the UK, or CSIS in Canada are involved).

3. Member of Parliament Intervenes

My then Member of Parliament (MP), the Rt. Hon Keith Bradley, himself a former Home Office Minister, then offered to intervene on my behalf, and he contacted Home Office Junior Minister, **Rt. Hon. Hazel Blears directly.**

Much of the cover-up is provable from the correspondence alone. Here is a file of some of that correspondence in black and white - including detailed letters passed by Members of Parliament (MPs) to then UK Prime Minister Tony Blair and Home Office Minister Hazel Blears.



Letters to
UK Govt. ...

L2: Minister Hazel Blears & UK Cover-up

May 31, 2013 10:43 AM

A UK cover-up to pervert justice involving:

- **Police (GMP)**
- **Government Ministry (Home Office)**
- **Cabinet Minister (Hazel Blears)**
- **MI5 and MI6 Spy Agencies**
- **Members of UK Parliament who tried to help & failed**

1. Home Office Lies - Harassment Follows

Mr. Bradley, our then Member of Parliament (MP) offered to intervene and approached The Home Secretary personally on my behalf. He was told that the matter was “under investigation” by the Home Office and he told me so in writing (Mr. Bradley’s letters to me dated Nov 24, Dec 6, 2004 & Jan 11, 2005).



6 Dec 04
LetterFro...

This lie told to Mr. Bradley by the Home Secretary’s Office was the first Home Office lie, since as subsequent events show the matter was never being investigated at all, but instead was being covered up.

Mr. Bradley’s staff continued to chase the Home Office finding, as they told me, great difficulty on this matter. My telephone line was clearly being tapped by the intelligence services – as **I was even being intimidated just for pushing this issue with Mr. Bradley’s office** on the telephone. For an outline of this additional intimidation to try and stop me from complaining to the Home Secretary see section [L3:Complain & Threats Follow](#)

On Feb 7th 2005 I wrote to Keith Bradley complaining about the slowness of the Home Office who had been telling us for two months that they were investigating my issues. I stated that it was clear that the Home Office were deliberately sitting on it to cover it up.

Here is an extract from the letter I sent to Mr. Bradley:

You are probably as surprised as I am that no action has been taken - Though the Home Office has said that they are investigating, it has become clear to me that they are not. I can state this because one of the first steps in any investigation would surely be to check the facts (and our credibility) with myself and my family – and in three months no contact whatsoever has been made.

And the letter itself:



7 Feb 05
My Letter...

I suspect Mr. Bradley passed my letter of Feb 7, 2005 on to The Home Office. Between Feb 16th to Feb 19th 2005 **five further incidents of intimidation took place**, including my being hit on the head by a

pellet fired from a gun.

On March 16th 2005 MI5 et al ordered me to [STOP complaining](#). I could not see the significance of this STOP order at that time. See [L3:Complain & Threats Follow](#) for details of the new threats.

Several days later, I received through Mr. Bradley a letter from Hazel Blears that put a stop to the Home Office's "pretended investigation" – Ms. Blears stated reason for stopping the "pretended investigation" has now been proven to be untrue. The date on her letter was March 16, 2005 the exact same date as I was ordered to STOP complaining. Now I knew what the STOP signal I had received was about. MI5 couldn't even wait until the ink was dry on Ms. Blears signature before their gangs had rushed out and ordered me to stop from making further complaints.

Finally Minister Hazel Blears **dismissed my complaint on the untruthful grounds that I had never been to the police in the first place** (Minister Blears letter to Mr. Bradley Mar 16 2005). Here is an extract from her letter:

I am very sorry for the delay in replying to your letter. My officials have been liaising with Greater Manchester Police, and I am sorry to tell you that they can find no record of Mr Russell contacting them regarding the allegations in his letter. I would suggest that if Mr Russell wishes to report the incidents he has

Nobody bothered to check the accuracy of this untruthful excuse for dismissing my complaint by either calling me or PC Bowman. A 30 second call from a junior official could have determined that Ms. Blears excuse for not investigating was untrue

And the letter itself:



16-3-05Ble
arsletter

As of March 16th, 2005 a UK Government Minister:

- **Had turned down our request for an investigation, citing a provable lie as an excuse for doing so - meanwhile**
- **We were intimidated to STOP us from exposing the lie**

So Cabinet Minister Hazel Blears had stopped the investigation on the untruthful grounds that we hadn't been to the police. And in order to try and make this canard stick, goons were threatening us not to complain further.

Cabinet Minister's / Home Office's determination not to investigate these serious offences

+

Threats to STOP us from complaining

=

Cover-up conspiracy to pervert the course of justice

2. Complaint to Prime Minister Tony Blair

In view of the huge denial of justice and the involvement of a Cabinet Minister, Hazel Blears, I had no alternative but to write to the Prime Minister. This is the letter I sent:



June 15 to
Tony Blair

The above letter to former Prime Minister Blair is headed:

Re “**Death Threats & Serious Criminal Intimidation – Minister Hazel Blears MP – Cover up by the Home Office**”

At this point our MP changed in a general election. The new Member of Parliament (MP), Mr. John Leech MP, offered to contact the Prime Minister & Home Secretary, on my behalf, to seek a response.

Amazingly, Minister Hazel Blears replied on behalf of the Prime Minister on July 12 2005 to John Leech MP once again with the same old canard – **she said [untruthfully] that I had never contacted the police**. Since goons were threatening us perhaps she thought she could get away with this lie again; or perhaps she just signed whatever MI5 put in front of her. Here is her letter to John Leech MP



12 July 05
BlearsLett...

In the meanwhile **Mr. John Leech MP personally contacted the GMP Police and confirmed that I had in fact met with them as I had been saying for a year**. Indeed the Police still had the detailed typewritten complaint that my wife and I had handed them back on 26th Oct 2004. At his request I summarized the situation in this letter:



Oct 14
2005 Lett...

Minister Hazel Blears’ reply of 18 November 2005 now stated that I had actually reported these incidents to the police in the first place, and that they had investigated them.

My officials have contacted Greater Manchester Police about Mr Russell’s concerns and I can tell you that Mr Russell has reported these incidents to the Police who have investigated them. The Police have advised Mr Russell that his concerns are of a civil nature and, as such, Mr Russell should seek redress for the Police to investigate they



18-11-05BI
earsLetter

Please note that the Minister is now for the 1st time admitting that I had gone to the police a year earlier, whereas previously Minister Blears was stating that I had never gone to the police at all. So she is now admitting that all her previous excuses for not investigating were wrong. Since she had no intention of ever investigating, another lie (3 lies so far) was now required as a reason for not investigating.

So she is now introducing a new lie, stating that the police had in fact investigated whereas previously she (and the police) had agreed that no investigation had taken place. Indeed the Home Office had known for a year that the purpose of my complaint to the Home Office was that the police had never been allowed to investigate.

And things get even more complex. Minister Blears has introduced another lie - stating that my complaints are a civil matter. Death threats, vehicles smashed into houses, stalkers, etc. These are criminal not civil matters. **Minister Blears is a lawyer. Lawyers surely know the difference between civil and criminal law**

What Minister Blears letters show is her determination not to investigate no matter what - But it was worse than that - I was also being threatened by MI5 to stop me complaining to Minister Blears. Repeatedly lying in writing to avoid an investigation, whilst the complainant is being harassed to stop him from complaining - **This is evidence of a denial of justice.**

So what is the truth? The truth is that:

- I had reported these crimes to the police back in Oct 2004
- The police had never been allowed to investigate
- They are criminal not civil offences - and very serious criminal offences too

But the truth was also that the UK government was never going to allow an honest investigation of my complaint. In this matter it had become clear that their policy was a cover-up to pervert the course of justice.

Meanwhile my eldest son (in Birmingham, UK, before moving to Zurich) was now getting threatened with a helicopter hovering low over his house in the middle of the night and shining a spotlight on his bedroom window. This is of interest only because it is a show of great power, as indeed is a cover-up conspiracy that involves senior government officials.

Clearly there was no point expecting justice from the UK government on this matter. So on 9th December 2005, Mr. John Leech MP referred the matter to the Independent Police Complaints Commission (IPCC) – Mr. Gavin Forward “Casework Manager”.

See Section [L4: IPCC / Denial of Justice](#)

Other commentary of interest:



UK
Cover-Up ...

The only consistency in Minister Blears' letters: *was that she ensured that my issue - intimidation, harassment and threats - was always covered-up and never investigated*

L3: Complain & Threats Follow

April 1, 2013 6:40 AM

Linkage between Intimidation & my Complaints to Authorities: Complain and Intimidation follows:

1. **Feb 7th 2005 - complained about Home Office slowness – pellet + 5 other incidents followed**
2. **March 16 2005 - ordered to stop – same date as Blears 1st letter.**
3. **March 22 2005 - complained about Blears letters and went to Guardian. Documentation disappearance and Guardian incidents followed.**

Linkage to earlier complaints to Government:

One three occasions in 2005 when I tried to press the UK Home Office for an investigation, I was met by a barrage of further criminal intimidation. Here are the facts.

1. On Feb 7th 2005 I wrote to former MP & Home Office Minister Keith Bradley complaining about the slowness of the Home Office who had been telling us for two months that they were investigating my issues.

I stated that it was clear that the Home Office were deliberately sitting on it to cover it up. I suspect Mr. Bradley passed my letter on to The Home Office. Between Feb 16th and Feb 19th five incidents of intimidation took place, including my being hit on the head by a pellet fired from a gun. My wife saw the bruising and blood on my forehead. (See my email Feb 25th to Keith Bradley for the details of the other incidents). For details also see "[E1:Blue Screen Threats](#)"

I thought the gangs didn't like my complaint that the investigation appeared to be stopped; hence the intimidation – yet they could not have known about my comment from me!

2. On March 16th 2005 I was "ordered" to **STOP Complaining**. I could not see the significance of this order at that time. In the evening a car rushed up the road in front of the house going sufficiently fast to draw attention to itself. I was leaning out the window to smoke a pipe (tobacco). It was going circa 40 mph and, due to the rutted/potholed nature, normal speed was about 10 mph. When it got in direct line of my vision, it stopped and turned on a huge red light. The light was the size of a traffic light, but square not round, and really stood out. It was a STOP signal

Several days later, I received through Mr. Bradley a letter from Hazel Blears that put a stop to the Home Office's "pretended investigation" – Ms. Blears stated reason for stopping the "pretended investigation" has now been proven to be untrue.

The date on her letter was March 16th and now I knew what the STOP signal I had received on that same day was about. They couldn't even wait until the ink was dry on Ms. Blears signature before the gangs had rushed out and ordered me to STOP from making further complaint.

3. On March 22nd I wrote to Mr. Bradley complaining about Ms. Blears' letter pointing out the significant errors of fact that are within it, and stating that it was just a cover up – I also stated in this letter "I can only assume ... that they don't want to find the truth. Presumably the Organised Crime Gangs can be relied on to keep me silent" and I'm sure he passed it on to The Home Office.

Two days later I went to The Guardian and saw Mr. Ward, the Northern Correspondent. The crime gangs reacted and the intimidation included: (1) The death threats against my eldest son which were recorded and reported to the Kings Norton Police, (2) the Diana death threat which Patricia and I witnessed, and (3) having a vehicle (in the middle of the night) smash into and destroy the brick porch that was directly under my bedroom. Four people witnessed this. Coincidentally the documentation (just photocopies) that I deposited with The Guardian all disappeared from their offices, and there is a letter from their Editor apologising for this and stating that he cannot explain how it happened. See "[E2: The Guardian](#)" for details of what happened..

3 times threatened by Home Office/MI5 for complaining about Minister Blears's role in the cover-up.

[1] – Wrote Bradley re HO slowness; pellet incidents.

[2] – STOP incident; same day as Blears signed rejection letter

[3] – Wrote Bradley complaining about Blears, went to Guardian, Guardian incidents followed.

Note – Grosvenor CEO denies slandering, says nobody has made any **derogatory** (i.e. critical, diminishing) comments about me since the day I left – Diary 8/3/05. Ian McGarry advises HO will reply in 2 days. Is it coincidence HO decides on same day Grosvenor sent letter; or were they waiting for Grosvenor to tell them what to do?

L4: IPCC / Denial of Justice

May 31, 2013 10:40 AM

- **Manchester Police Report / A Denial of Justice**
- **Evidence of cover-up / Police Report**

My complaint, with written details of intimidation, harassment, and threats had initially been filed with the Manchester, UK police back in 2004.

The investigation was stopped; so eventually I complained to the Independent Police Complaints Commission (IPCC). Amazingly, after sitting on it for ages and doing nothing, the IPCC passed it back to the same police force (GMP) that I had complained about in the first place.

On the evening of 19th April 2006, I **met with Detective Sergeant Mark Hudson** in the Didsbury, Manchester, UK police station and **we made a 2 ¾ hour videotape** (takes up two tapes) of my evidence. Mr. Hudson took 17 pages of notes and indicated that we would turn it into a statement.

Afterwards I advised Sergeant Hudson that he would never be allowed to pursue this as the criminals involved are above the law in England. The Sergeant indicated that they would follow the evidence no matter where it led. Despite a reminder telephone call from me I did not hear from him, or from any other policeman, or from the IPCC again.

After much pressing by Members of Parliament, and after I had returned to Canada, the Police issued a report on 22 June 2007 that was drafted by a Detective Chief Inspector H Harrison whom I have never met, or had any previous contact with. *The detectives I have had contact with did not write or sign the report.* The police had not interviewed or contacted a single witness, and had had no personal contact with me since my meeting with Sergeant Hudson on 19 April 2006.

Part of my complaint related to the cover-up by Hazel Blears who had Ministerial responsibility for Special Branch Policing and MI5 in the Blair Government.

The GMP Police Report - A Whitewash / A Denial of Justice:

Here is that Police Report. I have commented on each point mentioned by the police, and I sent my comments back to the Police Inspector on 24 June 2007. **My comments are annotated onto the Report in Blue:**



The Police
Report

As the report shows, the **police misrepresented my complaint to minimize it.**

1. ***95% of the complaint that was made to the police is not referred to in their report***, including most of the intimidation and all of the cover up evidence (presumably

to protect Cabinet Ministers – Hazel Blears?). This extreme misrepresentation of my complaint is provable, as my complaint is detailed on the videotape that I made with Detective Sergeant Hudson, and also in my correspondence with Police, the “Home Office” and the IPCC. ***How can police investigate properly or honestly if they avoid looking into 95% of the complaint?***

2. ***Not a single witness was checked by the Police!. How can the police investigate properly if they don't contact the witnesses?***

The police were not allowed to investigate properly - and that's a denial of justice.

As my Member of Parliament in Manchester put it in writing:

“Government have not been at all helpful in all the enquiries made on our behalf” (John Leech MP, March 13, 2007)

L5: The Crooked English Judge

October 3, 2013 6:52 PM

JUDICIAL ASSISTANCE FOR AN MI5 / MI6 SMEAR CAMPAIGN

Deputy District Judge Jones, Manchester County Court. Case # 6MAO2655; Appeal # M6X086

Ultimately they took the opportunity of a debt issue, that I have, to get a part-time Judge to dishonestly question my sanity, presumably so they could quote it (to lend an appearance of credibility to an MI5 / MI6 deception campaign). It is not the debt issue that is important, but the Judge's dishonest smear tactics.

What triggered this new approach was my demonstrating that the UK's security / intelligence agencies were involved in the Zersetzen program.

After I had reported the intimidation to the police and had heard from a Minister that an investigation was in progress, I thought my problems were over and borrowed some money to get myself back on my feet. When the government cover up started and it was clear that my problems were not over, I stopped servicing the debt, advised the bank, explaining the issue in writing, and they went along with me.

On December 17th 2005 I wrote the IPCC providing additional evidence that shows intelligence service involvement in the threats and cover up. Here is the letter:



IPCC Letters
Intelligence

Immediately the bank foreclosed on the loan; too close in date to be coincidental - my exposing the intelligence agencies to the IPCC in my letter to them, above, had been the trigger that set this off.

I decided to defend my position, pleading *the legal defense of the common law doctrine of frustration of contract, citing threats and cover up by the civil authority* as reasons.

The Judge adjourned the 1st hearing (5/6/06) after noting that defense evidence filed in court had disappeared. So far quite fair. But it changed in the 2nd hearing (2/8/06). The Judge moved quickly to judgment without examining the evidence at all (stating to me "***I'm not going to let you have a soapbox***"). He accused me at length in the Judgment of having a mental issue (this accusation was never made in the hearings - it was clearly introduced at the end for the record).

Objecting thoroughly to this dishonest move by the judge, I then interrupted the Judgment, telling the Judge as the approved court transcript shows ~

"This is disgraceful. That's an outrageous suggestion. You should be ashamed. There's recorded evidence of death threats in police hands. You haven't checked with the witnesses. This is a legal lynching"

It should not be thought that the judge made an honest mistake because of the unusual nature of my defense (intimidation and government cover up). He was aware of other unusual things such as defense evidence disappearing from his own Court Papers. This Judge had been fixed.

As the court transcript also shows, the judge:

1. Refused to call a single witness to the threats, and some of the threats are recorded.
2. Refused to examine a letter written 5 days (28/7/06) before the 2nd hearing by John Leech MP who after contacting a Chief Superintendent stated that **police “are currently investigating”**.



Police
Investigate

3. Refused to review the documentary evidence, primarily letters from Cabinet Minister Hazel Blears, in the hearing (proving the cover up) - the evidence that he agreed had disappeared from his court papers
4. The Judge was unable to explain why this defense evidence had disappeared from the court only to reappear after I made a written complaint to him – evidence he then refused to look at, or discuss.
5. The Judge refused to examine a letter, dated 19/04/2005, from “Chris Elliott” Managing Editor of the Guardian accepting that earlier copies of this same documentary evidence had disappeared from the Guardian’s secure offices. That key documentary evidence disappeared twice should have put an honest judge on guard that evidence was being tampered with (by MI5).



Letter-Edito
r Guardian



My letter to
Guardian

On July 26th in Calgary, Canada, **a week before the hearing, my daughter was harassed and intimidated** to stop her from collecting information on an ongoing private investigation that could have been of use in my court case. See **"Extract from her affidavit below"*****

MI5/6 had fixed the judge to lend credibility to a misinformation campaign to smear me with rumors of madness (presumably the Judge’s handlers wanted it in the Judgment so they could quote it to others). I am used to being smeared with untruthful rumors ranging from false association with the drug trade to pedophilia. As Dr. L. Dove reports: Victims of Zersetzen will be "accused of paranoia as part of the actions taken against them by the security services". Here is one case that demonstrates just how nasty the smearing can get.



UNPERSON
A Life Des...

Copies of all court submissions and documents, including the approved court transcript, are available for inspection by seriously interested parties. They are on pdf files and constitute about

Extract from my daughter's Affidavit***

On Wednesday, July 26, 2006, at approximately 5:00 p.m., I was intimidated just after leaving work for the day.

I believe that this is the result of my father, Roderick Russell, having sent me an email on July 25, 2006, asking me to help write the ringer report about the ongoing investigation my uncle, Peter Robertson, is conducting from Calgary.

While I was crossing the intersection at 8th Street SW and 10th Avenue SW, I noticed the man from the Starbucks outside area was walking very closely behind me. He walked straight up behind me and tapped me hard on the shoulder. I stopped suddenly and looked at him in shock. I then tried to continue walking. He stopped me and looked me straight in the eye saying forcefully "Don't do it". I looked straight back at him and calmly said "Do what?" he then bent down and moved very close to my face and said "Don't even think about it!" He stared at me for a few more seconds, then turned and walked away, continuing down the road under the bridge. He walked up the stairs on the other side and was gone.

The whole time during this incident I was trying to walk away and ignore the man. However, I was unable to as the man would not let me.

I have no doubt that this incident was intimidation. The man was about 6' 2" and 250 lbs. He was very broad in build. He was wearing a black suit, black shirt and black shiny shoes. In my opinion, he was clearly there to follow me and I am sure he was referring to me being more involved in the investigation.

I walk to work and home from work everyday and those are the only times I am ever completely alone during the day.

As a result of this intimidation, I no longer feel safe walking to and from work.

I believe that this incident is relevant as I have been intimidated before.

"Get your man involved in something legal - it need not be serious - and you have him"
Stephen Knight "The Brotherhood" page 147

M: Canada Gov't | Cover up

May 6, 2013 8:16 AM

Call it what you like - a cover-up to pervert justice, a denial of justice by the authorities, or a failure to investigate by the authorities. Put simply: the same State Authorities who should be investigating are persecuting instead.

Details of this shocking cover-up, and failure to investigate, by the **United Kingdom** authorities are described in the "Cover-up section" under the sub-pages (sub-headings on the right) below. They can also be viewed by clicking on them:

[UK Gov't | Cover up](#) and
[The Crooked English Judge](#) and
[Police / Home Office](#) and
[Minister Hazel Blears](#) and
[IPCC / Denial of Justice](#)

Added to that, **we were getting threatened and intimidated to try and stop us from complaining**. Here is the reference link"

[Complain and Threats Follow](#)

So much for British justice?

The Canadian Government Cover-up

Prime Minister Stephen Harper contacted - high level cover-up:

- **Letters to PMO contacting Prime Minister and asking for justice [M2]**
- **Member of Parliament Contacted & Police Irregularities [M - see below]**
- **Prime Minister contacted directly through his Constituency Office Manager [M2]**
- **Article on High Level Cover-up [M2]**

Cabinet Minister of Public Safety: Hon. Peter Van Loan [M3]

- **Letter describing issue**
- **Outline of criminal offences**

CSIS | CHRC [M4]

- **Contacted CHRC (Canadian Human Rights Commission) – Canada's Spy Agency "CSIS" interferes & scares them off**
- **I complain on a blog - Intimidated for doing so.**

SIRC | CSIS Complaint [M5]

- **Formally complained to the SIRC (Security Intelligence Review Committee) Canada's oversight body for its Spy Agency (CSIS)**
- **Not a single witness was contacted; wilfully blind, they didn't want to see the truth**
- **Intimidated & Harassed to Stop us Complaining**

Peter's Ringers & Intimidation

It starts with all Peter's Ringers in Calgary in 2006 - see the Ringer Report in the private files - while I was still in Manchester. Peter hired a media consultant to help; then, at his recommendation - a private eye to help; then KPMG Forensics; then at least three separate lawyers. All, after initially taking the contract and starting to work, asked to withdraw. Each one of these "ringers" had been pressured to leave alone. They were being **intimidated**.

When Amy, at my request, began to document all the relevant information of witness/helper intimidation, she was **intimidated** not to - harassed and legally assaulted (July 26th 2006 per her Affidavit - relates to my July 25th request, and Aug 2nd hearing) in the walkway under the 8th Street Bridge. When she complained to the police, it was covered-up at high Calgary Police Levels (The detectives initially involved who had been helpful, were not part of the higher level police cover-up).

See letter sent to Police by lawyer, and full affidavit (in private files).

In Sept/Oct 2006, I returned to Canada from Manchester, UK. From the above it was now clear that a full blown cover-up conspiracy was operating in Canada (several lawyers frightened off the job, and private eyes, media consultants also frightened off the job, my daughter threatened)

My brother in law contacted his Member of Parliament as he had become concerned that the campaign of intimidation, harassment and threats had moved to Calgary with the initial threat against Amy.

Apparently the local Member of Parliament Lee Richardson MP had contacted the police and been told that "no crime had been committed". This was absurd, so a concern was that the cover up conspiracy had now reached Canada.

Member of Parliament / Police Contact

Here is an extract from an email from Peter Robertson to the MP's assistant in which he reiterates the facts:

"Jeff: I am somewhat surprised to hear from you that the police told you that no crime had been committed since the policeman who took my niece, Amy Russell's statement told her that a crime had been committed. The case number being 063408080. Indeed he went further and said that from the method in which the assailant had carried out the intimidation that he could tell that the assailant was ex-military and had been trained by the military."

On October 27 2006 Amy Russell wrote the then Calgary Police Chief, Mr. Jack Beaton, requesting that the facts be clarified. She communicated again by letter with

Chief Jack Beaton on November 6, 2006

Here is her letter to Police Chief Beaton:



Nov 6, 2006

And we thought that that was that. But then on April 30, 2008, possibly because of a fax my brother-in-law sent the Prime Minister, Lee Richardson MP sent him this email:



30-4-08
Richardso...

There were several excuses made for not being able to help that were not factually accurate. Peter Robertson responded with this letter, discussing the excuses, as he thought it advisable to lay out the true facts:

Lee Richardson
PARLIAMENTARY OFFICE
432-C Centre Block
House of Commons
Ottawa,
Ontario
K1A 0A6

Dear Mr. Richardson

I am responding to your letter of April 30th as a matter of record. I apologize for my delayed response.

The letter I sent was not simply in relation to the criminal offence committed against my Niece Amy Russell in Calgary but also in support of my Brother in Law Roderick Russell's request for an honest investigation into the serious criminal offences that have been committed against his family in general and into the cover-up conspiracy. Sadly the cover-up which affects both the UK and Canada would appear to involve UK Politicians of cabinet rank and their Intelligence Services. It is for this reason that he has asked for political support since he has more that sufficient evidence to show that police cannot operate without political support in an environment where intelligence services are involved acting criminally.

Details of this complaint were provided to your office by Roderick some time ago.

However I should just comment on Amy's issue to set the record straight.

1. You had originally mentioned to me in writing that one reason for not being able to help is that UK-MP John Leech had not responded to a letter you had sent him on this subject. This does not seem to be correct since John Leech UK-MP advised Roderick by email on 13/3/2007 that he had responded to you. Perhaps the letter was intercepted by intelligence which would simply be another reason as to why Roderick needs political support in his search for justice in this huge human rights issue.
2. The 2nd reason your office gave at the time for not helping, as you have mentioned in your recent letter, was that you had contacted Chief Beaton who apparently had a representative advise you that no crime had been committed. This was also emailed to me. I responded that Detective L. Happner # 3467 confirmed that a Crime number 06340808 had been issued and that the Police Detective when told of Mr. Beaton's alleged statement to you said that nobody should have stated that no criminal offence occurred. Indeed I had a lawyer look at Amy's affidavit who found clear evidence of a criminal offence - just one of many.
3. 3rdly my nephew, also Roderick Russell, wrote to you directly sending you information of the intimidation that he had suffered in the UK since he was concerned that this was starting in Canada against his little sister. Some of this intimidation was also sent again by my brother in law by email to your Calgary office.

My Brother in Law has not yet heard directly from either of the Minister's referred to in your letter. He has recently got a summary of his complaint directly into Prime Minister Harper's hands. Sadly evidence is developing that some forms of intimidation are occurring in Calgary. As you know the whole thing started in Vancouver some years ago. His request is for an honest investigation. Your interest in stopping crime would not be more relevant than this situation.

Sincerely

Peter D. Robertson

The above letter in full, as a file:

Peter
Robertso...



Peter
Robertso...

By now it was becoming clear that:

- 1- The same high level cover-up conspiracy that was operating in the UK was now operating in Canada.**
- 2- The Zersetzen** in both the UK and Canada was carried out by their secret agencies MI5 / MI6 in the UK and CSIS in Canada; either directly involved or condoning the activities of others.
- 3- Where the secret security services are involved, their victims become non-persons** as societies normal protectors - i.e. lawyers, police, media and politicians - are scared to help.
- 4- The rules are there, but nobody enforces them.** So I decided to contact CSIS (Canadian Security Intelligence Service) directly . And when this failed I took the matter directly to Canada's Prime Minister Stephen Harper.

M2: Prime Minister Harper

September 27, 2013 12:14 PM

Canadian Prime Minister Stephen Harper Contacted and The high level cover-up:

- Letters to PMO contacting Prime Minister and asking for justice
- Member of Parliament Contacted & Police Irregularities
- Cabinet Minister Contacted
- Prime Minister contacted directly through his Constituency Office Manager
- Article on High Level Cover-up

NB - One might well ask: "why was the PM contacted"? The answer is very simple. There is a cover-up conspiracy to pervert justice coming from very high levels indeed - including threats, intimidation, harassment - and it involves the CSIS Spy Agency. Police cannot operate where the secret intelligence agencies are involved. Normal avenues of grievance - police, politicians, the rule of law simply stop working. The rules are there, but they are not enforced. When this happens and it is coming from high up; one has to go to the top for help - and in Canada that's the Prime Minister. All I am asking is that the rule of law be enforced in Canada.

My 1st Letter to PM Harper asking for Justice

On January 7, 2008 I wrote to CSIS and Canada's Prime Minister Stephen Harper (at his office in Ottawa) requesting that there be an honest investigation of my well-witnessed complaints. Here is a small selection of four key, self-explanatory, paragraphs that are clipped from that **letter to the Prime Minister**:

involved here in Canada, and certainly enough to justify an investigation. Evidence that can be made available of intelligence service involvement in these crimes can be summarized as follows:

In Canada

1. **Intimidation, threats in Canada in 1999, 2000 and in 2006, 2007.** Since the threats in Canada and the UK can be shown to be related, one must accept that UK Government / Intelligence Services is involved in the Canadian threats if they are shown to be involved in the UK threats. (see below under caption "in UK" for summary of evidence on UK government involvement).
2. Evidence of telephones aggressively tapped in Calgary, so that incoming calls have been interfered with to cut off my communications. There is also evidence that some of my mail is being intercepted and returned to sender. Telus, Canada Post, email interfered with – evidence of intelligence services involvement.
3. Evidence that untruthful slanders, etc were professionally spread in Canada. The hallmark of MI6; and other lies continue to be spread in Canada today.
4. Evidence that attempts in Calgary to privately investigate, or assist in these matters, were sabotaged by powerful sources, and the helpers scared off (this includes a Conservative Canadian MP). There are several witnesses to back up this statement, and considerable details available. When I asked my daughter by email to put together a report describing all this interference, she was threatened physically in Calgary and given the message "don't do it, just don't do it." This was reported to the police. They said that the modus operandi of the assailant showed operational intelligence training.

Mr. Harper's Constituency Office in Calgary phoned me on receipt of this letter, and the lady said that in view of the serious nature of the complaint she had sent it on immediately to the Prime Minister's Office (PMO / PCO) in Ottawa.

Since we had not heard anything back, on January 30, 2008 my brother-in-law Peter Robertson faxed the Prime Minister in support of my letter. Here is his fax:



Jan 30,
2008

On the 15th of February, 2008 I again faxed the Prime Minister, this time through his constituency office, with a reminder, and also a further concern, from my brother-in-law and daughter's issue in 2006, about the extent of the denial of justice in Canada . Here is the fax:

To: The Rt. Hon. Stephen Harper MP, Prime Minister, Constituency Office

Fax: Fax: (403) 253-8203

From: Roderick Russell, #210, 104 – 24th Ave. SW Calgary, AB T2S 0J8

Tel: 229 – 0864

(2 pages including this one)

Re: Criminal Intimidation & Government Cover Up


Sir

I am enclosing the transcript of an email that was sent to your office today. The letter referred to was previously sent to your Ottawa and Constituency offices and has not been replied to. It is self explanatory.

The issue is about 8 years of threats and intimidation against my family. The matter is being covered up because the source of the threats are very influential. Harry Truman said "the buck stops here" and that's why I am contacting you.

My Brother in Law, Peter Robertson (CFO of Pembina Corporation) recently contacted your constituency in support of my claim and the letter was passed to Lee Richardson MP. Mr. Richardson has already ducked this issue. After my daughter, Amy (works for Highwood Communications – same office as), was threatened in Calgary, Peter contacted Mr. Richardson for help. Mr. Richardson said he could do nothing unless he heard by letter from UK-MP John Leech. Mr. Leech wrote to me saying that he had written to Mr. Richardson; Mr. Richardson wrote to Peter Robertson saying he has not received a letter from Mr. Leech – now both statements cannot be true. The result is that nothing was done and the cover-up continued. This is why I am contacting you.

Roderick Russell


15/2/2008

On Feb 28, 2008 Lee Richardson MP's assistant "Robyn" emailed me to advise that they had also sent my information on, commenting:

"Your information was forwarded to the Minister of Public Safety and as you also addressed it to the Prime Minister, you will receive a response from his office."

Actually, I never received a response from either of them.

By April 29, 2008 neither Peter nor I had received any response from the Prime Minister's Office, or the Minister of Public Safety, to any of our communications, so Peter Robertson faxed the Prime Minister/PMO again with a reminder.



April 29
2008 pr t...

Other than for a telephone call from a lady in the PM's constituency office saying that she would pass our letter on (given its serious nature), neither the Prime Minister or the PMO /

PCO Offices in Ottawa replied to our communications, or even confirmed their receipt.

My 2nd Letter to PM Harper asking for Justice

On May 7th 2008 I got a letter and attachment personally to Sandra Fraas, the Prime Minister's Constituency Office Manager.

The letter outlines the situation - threats, intimidation, harassment and cover-up - and requests the Prime Minister's help to ensure that there is an honest investigation.

I went personally to the Prime Minister's constituency office in Calgary, met with Ms. Fraas, and explained my concerns that the matter might be being covered up at high levels, and that I had already contacted the PMO/PCO without any response.

Ms. Fraas agreed to get my letter to Prime Minister Stephen Harper personally.

Here is the letter that Ms. Fraas got to the Prime Minister:



May 6,
2008

There was no response from the Prime Minister or from his Offices (Prime Minister's Office / Privy Council Office - PMO / PCO)

On 10 July 2008 Sandra Fraas left a voice message on my telephone indicating that matters were delayed only because "Ottawa is so slow". I never heard from her again.

Letter to Canadian Cabinet Minister Van Loan

On January 21, 2009 I replied to a letter from Mr. Van Loan, Canada's Minister of Public Safety, to my Brother-in-law, Mr. Peter Robertson. This letter summarizes some of the issues, and in particular the denial of justice. It is an attempt, once again, to get an honest investigation.

In my letter, which was copied to the Prime Minister, I refer to Mr. Harper's involvement, the cover-up and the years of denial of justice.

Here are some extracts from the letter to Minister Van Loan:

behind it all. Just to briefly update you. I think your letter of Jan 21 to my brother in law, Peter Robertson, was not drafted for you by your officials in response to his particular complaint, which is two years old, and which the government has ignored. Rather I think it was in response to a speech I made on Jan 16 2009 at McMaster University in which I mentioned that the cover-up conspiracy appears to be alive in Canada now, and that I had corresponded with Mr. Harper on the subject.

A press article on me was recently published in the UK-based Lobster Magazine. The article is headlined – **The Persecution of Roderick Russell.**

20 years ago I left an organization in Vancouver and was criminally defamed to the extent that I was rendered unemployable in Canada. 10 years ago my family and I began to get threatened. There have been about a thousand threats and the evidence is that the intelligence services are involved. In 2001 I fled to the UK where the threats got worse. I

involve CSIS unfortunately operating criminally in Canada, and there was irregularities relating to my daughter's complaint in Calgary which have clear federal implications that Mr. Harper is also aware of. I might also add that several federal agencies (including Canada Human Rights), have ducked this issue, where they have statutory responsibilities, since they are scared as to where it might lead – this has also happened meant to. What makes them a human rights abuse is not just the involvement of The State through the MI*s and CSIS in some of the crimes, but the State's cover-up conspiracy to ensure that the crimes are never investigated, while allowing them to continue.

To read my letter in full, click on the subpage "[M3: Minister van Loan](#)" to see the letter in its entirety.

Article on the High Level Cover-up

This June I was asked to write an article on aspects pertaining to the cover-up and "failure to investigate" by the SIRC. It contrasts the allegations made relating to the former SIRC Chief (Security Intelligence Review Committee) with the treatment meted out to my family.



Lobster
Article

M3: Minister Van Loan

September 29, 2013 9:00 AM

This letter says it all!



Jan 21 2009 to Minister Van Loan

The Hon. Peter Van Loan, P.C., M.P.
Minister of Public Safety
Government of Canada

Dear Mr. Van Loan,

Your Letter to Mr. Peter Robertson re Criminal Threats and Government Cover-Up – Human Rights Abuse

Mr. Peter Robertson has asked me to respond to your letter to him dated Jan 21 2009 since it relates to a major human rights abuse against myself and my family in Canada – criminal defamation, threats, and a cover-up conspiracy to ensure that our complaints are never honestly investigated.

Please accept this email as formal response to your letter.

Since you are new in your position you will not be aware of the history of complaints behind it all. Just to briefly update you. I think your letter of Jan 21 to my brother in law, Peter Robertson, was not drafted for you by your officials in response to his particular complaint, which is two years old, and which the government has ignored. Rather I think it was in response to a speech I made on Jan 16 2009 at McMaster University in which I mentioned that the cover-up conspiracy appears to be alive in Canada now, and that I had corresponded with Mr. Harper on the subject.

A **press article** on me was recently published in the UK-based Lobster Magazine. The article is headlined – **The Persecution of Roderick Russell**.

I am enclosing this article by attachment since it briefly outlines the situation we are facing in the UK - which is similar to the situation we are also now facing in Canada (the reason why Mr. Harper was contacted in the first place).

What is being covered-up?

20 years ago I left an organization in Vancouver and was criminally defamed to the extent that I was rendered unemployable in Canada. 10 years ago my family and I began to get threatened. There have been about a thousand threats and the evidence is that the intelligence services are involved. In 2001 I fled to the UK where the threats got worse. I complained to the police and a cover-up conspiracy kicked into operation. It eventually went to Blair through an MP and one of his Cabinet Ministers provably covered it up. This is on the internet. I returned to Canada only to be subjected to more threats and more cover-up. Now my children were getting threatened as well. The company that defamed

me operates at the top of the world's financial establishment; their Chairman has boasted publicly about his intelligence connections and he is known to be Prince Charles' closest friend.

Prime Minister Harper – correspondence on this issue

Over the past 13 months I have written two formal letters to Prime Minister Harper, and have corresponded directly with him through numerous emails. 9 months ago I was promised (in writing) that both the Prime Minister and Mr. Day would respond to me in writing. They did not reply to me.

In this correspondence to the Prime Minister I outlined why this issue is a federal responsibility (as well as an Alberta provincial responsibility) and why it needs his assistance. The crimes have taken place in two provinces (BC and Alberta), they involve a foreign country (UK) and its government who continue to want this covered-up and I don't doubt are pressuring in Ottawa, they involve foreign intelligence services (the UK's MI5, MI6) operating criminally in Canada as my research paper demonstrates, they involve **CSIS unfortunately operating criminally in Canada**, and there was irregularities relating to my daughter's complaint in Calgary which have clear federal implications that Mr. Harper is also aware of. I might also add that several federal agencies (including Canada Human Rights), have ducked this issue, where they have statutory responsibilities, since they are scared as to where it might lead – this has also happened in Alberta and two Deputy Ministers have been advised in writing.

Mr. Van Loan what it boils down to is this – There are clear federal responsibilities as well as provincial ones – but **everybody is ducking it since they do not appear to understand the concept of rule of law where the criminals are high in the establishment. It is really a question of ethics and trust in government.**

Torture in Canada – CSIS involved

These crimes and their cover-up constitute **prolonged psychological torture** as they are meant to. What makes them a **human rights abuse** is not just the involvement of The State through the MI*s and CSIS in some of the crimes, but the State's cover-up conspiracy to ensure that the crimes are never investigated, while allowing them to continue.

Two weeks ago **I spoke at McMaster University** to the 30th International Congress on Economic Crime Prevention. The subject of my speech: Corporate Terrorism and Government Cover-up.

I had previously submitted a research paper to McMaster on the use of the Zerzetsen technique today in the UK and Canada to inflict psychological torture on enemies of the establishment in order to silence them. My paper may be the best study on this issue,

since most victims are scared into silence and the perpetrators of zerzetsen don't publish research papers.

Zerzetsen was developed by the former GDR Secret Police "TheStasi" to persecute dissidents. It is being used in Canada today by the MI*s and CSIS to persecute my family.

I am not a lawyer, but as far as I can see in Canada, the following criminal offences have been committed repeatedly.

- Threats (section 423 – Criminal Code of Canada)
- Intimidation (section 423)
- Assault (section 265)
- Criminal harassment (section 264)
- Torture (section 269.1 – also breaches UN Convention)
- Hate Crime (sections 318, 319)
- Criminal Conspiracy (section 465 (1) C)
- Equality before the Law (Charter section 15), and Magna Carta
- Criminal Defamation re Conspiracy
- Canadian Charter of Rights & Freedom (sections 7, 12, 15)
- Misconduct in Public Office

The experience that my family and I are being put through is far worse than any of the published articles suggest. We have seen nothing but cover-up, passing the buck and excuses from various governments. A UK-MP brought this to former UK PM Blair's attention and he passed it to a UK Cabinet Minister who provably covered it up – the matter is on the internet. This top level foreign involvement, and the continuation of related crimes in Canada, is another reason why it is a federal issue since it is international in scope. This is why as a matter of necessity I have very reluctantly begun complaining on the internet. Indeed any 3rd party who reviewed my correspondence with Mr. Harper would be amazed at my moderation so far in this regard.

There were irregularities relating to my daughter's complaint 2-1/2 years ago to the Calgary police which both Messrs. Richardson and Harper are well aware of. **Mr. Harper's constituency office is well aware of the details, and some are with the PMO. Since we have a long history of cover-up, I saw the signs from past experience and know very well that police cannot deal with an issue like this unless they have strong political backing.**

That people are scared to deal with this issue because of where it may lead should not

make it less of an issue; rather it should make it a more serious human rights issue.

I know you are new in your position and will forgive me for laying things out, but I have put up with this nonsense for 20 years and am fed up with the continued cover-up by officials. To put it bluntly – governments are scared to deal with this issue, and the police cannot unless they have strong political support.

Mr. Van Loan if your family had experienced a fraction of what we have been put through, you would be amazed at my moderation as well.

What I have been asking for is **an honest and thorough investigation** that is not another cover-up, or cover-up by omission through buck passing.

Sincerely

Roderick Russell
304, 2204 - 1 St SW
Calgary AB T2S 1P4
Canada
(403) 229 – 0864

CC

- The Hon. Alison Redford, Minister of Justice and Attorney General of Alberta, by email
- The Rt. Hon Stephen Harper, Prime Minister, by email through his constituency office.

M4: CHRC | CSIS Complaint

April 1, 2013 6:47 AM

- **Canada's Spy Agency (CSIS) interferes with the Canadian Human Rights Commission (CHRC)**

On the advice of a Human Rights activist, I wrote to the Canadian Human Rights Commission (CHRC) bringing my complaint against CSIS (Canada's Spy Agency) to their attention.

They phoned me at home on 11/8/2008 to discuss the case. I was not in and so their lady (Catherine) arranged with my wife that she would call back early that afternoon to talk to me. Her line number was 780.495.8680. She did not call back as she had arranged. Indeed I called her numerous times and left messages on her voicemail and she never returned any of my calls. She had been frightened off our case.

What had happened after that call to my wife that morning that caused her not to call back as she had promised to do? Had CSIS, who tap my phones and would have known that she had called us, contacted the CHRC and scared them off?

On August 19, 2008 Catherine called while we were out and did leave a message on my TELUS voicemail. But **the message (about 20 seconds long) had been wiped and was blank**. However, I was able to call her back and leave another message since call return was still working. She did not return my calls.

TELUS then managed to lose the record of all the voice messages (to dump the evidence presumably). In the end my daughter Amy called Catherine to make the point on Sept 10th and I left my final voice message on Sept 11th. I never did receive a call from the CHRC. Clearly the Spy agency "CSIS" had warned off Canada's Human Rights Commission, the "CHRC". .

Threatened for reporting on Craig Murray blog about Spy Agency CSIS's interference with CHRC ~.

On March 4, 2010 I posted this example of CSIS interfering with a human rights agency on former Ambassador Craig Murray's blog. Later that afternoon **my wife and I were both intimidated in Calgary**

Returning from Marda Loop with my wife we noticed 2 cars observing us (Jag X + BMW); strangely parked, on consecutive corners, and flashing their headlights (in daytime) at us as we walked by - typical stalking behaviour. Also TPR called from Zurich, Switzerland on March 7, saying he had reported intrusions that happened on March 4-6 while he was in Manchester, UK to the Manchester police.

Here is the Blog posting that resulted in the 2010 intimidation:

Amnesty is not alone. For example, on the advice of a local HR person, I wrote to the Canadian Human Rights Commission. They phoned me at home on 11/8/2008 to discuss the case. I was not in and so their lady arranged with my wife that she would call back on a conference call early that afternoon. Her private line number was 780.495.8680 and I think her name was Catherine. She did not call back as arranged with my wife. I left innumerable messages and she never returned any of my calls. Something happened after that call to my wife that morning that caused her to avoid me. Most likely CSIS (who tap

Amnesty is not alone. For example, on the advice of a local HR person, I wrote to the Canadian Human Rights Commission. They phoned me at home on 11/8/2008 to discuss the case. I was not in and so their lady arranged with my wife that she would call back on a conference call early that afternoon. Her private line number was 780.495.8680 and I think her name was Catherine. She did not call back as arranged with my wife. I left innumerable messages and she never returned any of my calls. Something happened after that call to my wife that morning that caused her to avoid me. Most likely CSIS (who tap my phones) contacted CHRC and advised them not to pursue their contact with me.

This is a most unusual case. Read the WIKI and see for yourself, but keep an open mind. I make no apologies for my comments on the human rights industry; their behavior has been absolutely shocking. Had they helped then all that we have had to put up with would have been stopped long ago. The plain fact is they will not touch cases that involve the high establishment.

Posted by: [Roderick Russell](#) at March 4, 2010 12:54 AM

M5: SIRC | CSIS Complaint

September 16, 2013 7:19 PM

- **My formal complaint to the SIRC (Security Intelligence Review Committee) Canada's oversight body for its Spy Agency (CSIS)**
- **Not a single witness was contacted by this tame review body; wilfully blind, they didn't want to see the truth**
- **We were Intimidated & Threatened to Stop us Complaining to the SIRC**
- **SIRC has a history of whitewashing CSIS**

Over the Summer of 2011, I formally complained to the Security Intelligence Review Committee (SIRC) in writing about the Canadian Security Intelligence Service's (CSIS) illegal campaign of intimidation, harassment and slander (i.e. Zersetzen) against myself and family.

A clipping from my correspondence with the SIRC and CSIS summarized my complaint to them as follows:

As you know the illegal "acts or things done" that my complaint is about under Section 41 are years of **Character Assassination, Threats, Intimidation, Harassment, Illegal Surveillance, Illegal Telephone Tapping, Gang Stalking and a government cover-up** denying justice for two decades. I will refer to this process as Zersetzen.

My complaint letter also goes on to define similar issues in other jurisdictions, where a name other than Zersetzen is used to describe what is basically the same abuse technique:

Others have also called it - "no-touch torture", "D and D" (diffuse and disrupt), Zersetzung, Vigorous Harassment, Hidden Evil, Cointelpro, etc.

The SIRC is supposedly the body in oversight of CSIS. **Here is a document (letters & narrative) describing my complaint to the SIRC in some detail.** It includes my correspondence (26 pages) with the SIRC and CSIS and their replies.



SIRC &
RRussell

If you are using a PDF File rather than the OneNote file format that this Paper was drafted in, then the above file attachment can't be opened as it is just a picture. However, the file can be assessed on the internet on either of the following URLs:

[SIRC-CSIS Complaint.pdf](#) OR

<http://www.scribd.com/doc/74075269/Sirc-Csis-Complaint>

The Canadian mainstream media has reported that CSIS, though officially in denial, actually calls its illegal Zersetzen programs "D & D" (Disrupt & Discredit) or "Vigorous Harassment". Yes, CSIS even has a name for what they pretend doesn't exist. Indeed a former senior Canadian intelligence analyst has written articles on the subject. The above documents also contain a summary of the intimidation we got from CSIS just for making this complaint to the SIRC.

Yet amazingly, despite all the other precedents to the contrary, the SIRC dismissed my complaint on the grounds that CSIS would not do such things.

As others have found, the SIRC simply whitewashed CSIS without investigating at all, or checking with a single witness. But what is truly shocking is that **I was now being intimidated and harassed just for complaining** - and there are witnesses - about CSIS to the SIRC. The inbuilt bias of the SIRC in favor of CSIS isn't even subtle; it's obvious and corny.

Here are the facts about the Threats/Intimidation that are linked specifically to our complaint about Canada's Spy Agency (CSIS) to its oversight body - the SIRC:

1. On May 30, 2011 I wrote the Director of CSIS formally complaining of serious wrongdoing by CSIS. This is a requirement of the process.

This letter can be viewed on pages 8 to 15 of the "SIRC & RRussell" file that is attached in this document above.

The letter was probably received by CSIS around June 3rd. Here is what happened:

- (a) June 5th (Sunday) As per my diary: "all day on walks I was being clearly observed by police cars (on at least 12 occasions)" - The cars would drive along the road I was walking on (Prospect et al) and then noticeably slow down as they passed me. **Stalked by Police in Calgary!** The following morning (June 6) when I went out, an occupied police vehicle was waiting just outside my apartment. I got my wife (PMR) to come and have a look and the vehicle drove off.
- (b) June 8th I received two weird telephone calls re my poor "**computer security**". They were drawing my attention to computer security issues, though I couldn't then see the purpose of drawing attention to computer security.
- (c) June 10 C 4PM MST. TPR phoned from Zurich. **His computer in Zurich had been destroyed by malicious downloads.**

2. On July 23 2011, having received an unsatisfactory response for CSIS, I wrote the SIRC making my complaint formally against CSIS.

Details of my complaint are also on the "SIRC & RRussell" file above. Once again intimidation followed:

- (a) August 30th LT, who also knows Canadian Prime Minister Stephen Harper, wrote us that they had tried to telephone but were getting messages saying that our **telephone was "permanently discontinued"**
- (b) Sept 7th - Four no answer calls (However, this may not relate to the SIRC and CSIS, but to a comment I made on that date on a blog as follows - "*When [the UK's] MI6 (or MI5) operate within normal businesses they are not behaving as an intelligence organization, but as a secret police and as such are a menace to any society that believes in democracy*")

3. **On Oct 4th the SIRC met and reached a decision to reject my claim without any investigation, and without interviewing any witnesses.**

CSIS couldn't wait for the formal letter to be issued, and so:

- (a) Oct 6 - My **Mac computer ceased to function.**
- (b) Oct 8 - My **new computer began malfunctioning.**
- (c) Oct 12 - My wife had her **medical prescription cancelled** without notice yet again.

4. **On Oct 18 - I wrote the SIRC saying that:**

"I had expected that my complaint would be whitewashed because of the extent of the cover-up, and because others who made similar complaints against CSIS have also alleged that the SIRC whitewashed them" and adding "CSIS seems contemptuous of the SIRC since we have been intimidated for making this complaint to you".

This letter is on pages 3 to 4 of the "SIRC & RRussell" file above.

- (a) On Oct 25, I got a telephone call that led me to believe that a **smear** of a very nasty nature was being created.
- (b) On Oct 27, I was walking in downtown Calgary when a hood came up aggressively to me saying "**pedophile, pedophile, pedophile** repeatedly". Even CSIS knows that this nasty smear is ridiculous, so it was stopped as soon as it was commented on.

On November 4th I posted the following commentary in the Craig Murray Blog outlining my experiences with the SIRC and their whitewash of CSIS:

Extract from Craig Murray Blog headlined 'Assange and Sweden'

Over the summer I complained formally to Canada's Security Intelligence Review Committee (SIRC) about Canada's Spy Agency CSIS. Of course they did nothing at all, dismissing my complaint on the grounds that CSIS would not do such things, without any discussion with me or with any witness. Other complainants have found the same thing –the SIRC whitewashes CSIS without reviewing the evidence. However I am delighted to report that CSIS is most protective of the SIRC. Here are some examples:

#

During the week after I had made my formal complaint (1) I was being noticeably stalked in Calgary (2) I received weird telephone calls, voicing concern about my computer security – 2 days later a computer was annihilated by viruses/malware that came from all angles. Subsequently friends of ours in Calgary, who also know Prime Minister Harper, wrote us that they had tried to telephone but were getting messages saying that our telephone was "permanently disconnected" even though it was working for us.

#

Just 10 days ago I sent the SIRC a written critique as to their (lack of) review. Almost immediately (1) My wife's health care prescription was cancelled at the last minute, which could be serious for her – it was reinstated after we complained and (2) more SMEARING. On Oct 25, I got a telephone call that led me to believe that a smear of a very nasty nature was being created. On Oct 27 I was walking in downtown Calgary when a hood came up aggressively to me saying "pedophile, pedophile, pedophile repeatedly". This has no legs to it since even CSIS knows it to be

ridiculous. I did, however, comment on it this afternoon only to find that 2 hours later my mobile phone stopped operating. The failure of an oversight committee to do its job properly, coupled with smears and (sometimes deliberately weird) intimidating activities is known to be typical of Zersetzen.

On November 8th, we purchased from London Drugs a new "Koodo" brand CEL/Mobile phone to replace the one that had stopped operating.

■ **The new phone too malfunctioned with incoming calls routed to somebody else's voicemail.**

The sales clerks sorted it out with TELUS (Koodo's owner), gave us a completely new number, and told us **they "had never seen this happen before"**, but then they have probably never sold a Cell phone to a victim of a Zersetzen campaign before either.

And, of course, my wife and I had been harassed just for complaining about Canada's Spy agency, CSIS, to their tame oversight committee - the SIRC as this correspondence shows:



SIRC-CSIS
Complaint

Details of my complaint about CSIS to the SIRC are in the above file "SIRC-CSIS Complaint"

Just to complete Canada's SIRC farce: In November 2011, their Chairman & CEO, Dr. Arthur Porter, had to resign amidst another scandal.

As of Jan 2014 former **SIRC Chairman Dr. Porter is in prison in Panama** awaiting extradition to Canada where he faces multiple charges that include allegations of **bribe taking, money laundering and conspiracy**. This article published in the Summer 2013 edition of Lobster Magazine just sums the CSIS / SIRC scandal up:

"Canada's spy agency gone rogue: Prime Minister Harper couldn't care less"

Open



Lobster
Magazine

Or click on:

<http://lobster-magazine.co.uk/free/lobster65/lob65-canadian-spy-agency.pdf>